Abstract

Nephrotic syndrome is the most common glomerular disorder in children, and corticosteroids are the first choice of treatment. While the majority of children respond to corticosteroid therapy, a few do not enter remission after daily therapy for 1-2 months, hence showing steroid-resistance. Most of these children show focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) upon renal biopsy. Steroid resistance and associated complications make management of these patients very challenging, with their higher chance of progression to end-stage renal failure. Various immunosuppressive drugs have been used to induce remission with different success rates, though most of them have shown weak effectiveness. However, careful weighing of their toxic effects and effective dose should be done, especially when treating children with a non-malignant disease like nephrotic syndrome, thus obtaining optimum results. This review discusses steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome and treatment strategies that have been attempted.
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Introduction

Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is characterized by heavy proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, edema and hyperlipidemia. The syndrome can be sub-classified as congenital, primary and secondary forms [1]. The majority of children with primary disease have minimal changes in the glomeruli on histology, and 90-95% will respond corticosteroid therapy [2]. In children unlike in adults, it is not routine practice to perform renal biopsy at the initial presentation of NS, unless atypical features such as macroscopic haematuria, renal impairment or persistent severe hypertension are present. Most pediatric nephrologists will consider a biopsy in children with a non-malignant disease like nephrotic syndrome, thus obtaining optimum results. This review discusses steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome and treatment strategies that have been attempted.

Steroid-resistant NS is a therapeutic challenge for the Pediatrician or the Pediatric Nephrologists, and there is currently a debate regarding the optimal therapy for such patients. Although treatment for this group of patients is far from satisfactory, an important guiding principle stems from the fact that children who have refractory proteinuria have a very poor prognosis, with a higher propensity for progression to end stage renal failure, perhaps 50% within 5-10 years [7]. It is therefore justified to try to induce a remission by the empirical use of immunosuppressive drugs of various types, even when (as is usually the case) the evidence for drug effectiveness is weak. In spite of toxic side effects, if these drugs are used carefully, the side-effects can be
minimized, and the gain to the patient if treatment is successful is phenomenal. On the other hand one should exercise caution when prescribing immunosuppressive therapy as failure to achieve remission results only in renal death and over immunosuppression can lead to the death of the child.

If a child fails to enter complete remission following the administration of daily prednisolone 60mg/m²/day for four weeks then a renal biopsy should be performed [8]. Moreover, following investigations are generally performed to screen for secondary causes of NS.

a) Anti streptolysin O titer  
b) ESR  
c) C3 and C4  
d) Anti nuclear antibody and double stranded DNA  
e) Screening for Hepatitis B  
f) HIV screening (optional)

If the investigations exclude a secondary cause for NS then depending upon the renal histology other immunosuppressive therapy will be considered.

Available Drug Therapy

Prolonged steroid treatment

The initial response to conventional doses of glucocorticoid therapy for idiopathic FSGS is poor in contrast to that of minimal change glomerulopathy [9]. In a majority of studies published, the response rate has been less than 30% [10]. Pei et al. [11] reported that, using a more prolonged course of prednisolone therapy, 44% of children with idiopathic FSGS entered complete remission. The available evidence on the efficacy of such treatment is inconsistent and therefore it is more appropriate, effective and more gentle to the patient to introduce other immunosuppressive drugs at this juncture.

High-dose intravenous methylprednisolone

Methylprednisolone administered intravenously either daily or on alternate days at a dosage of 1 g/1.73 m² body surface areas to a total of 3-6 doses is effective in the treatment of renal allograft rejection and some forms of rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis [12]. It has succeeded in a small number of cases in inducing remission in children with NS who have not responded to a conventional course of oral steroids [13-15]. This treatment is usually well tolerated, especially if given on alternate days, and some prefer to try this approach before exposing patients to the multiple toxic side-effects of the other drugs discussed below. However, many clinicians will be concerned about the long-term exposure to steroids which is already so great and would reserve intravenous methylprednisolone for combination therapy with an alkylating agent at a later stage.

Alkylating agents

Reliable data on the use of alkylating agents in SRNS are scanty, making evidence-based recommendations difficult to formulate. Evidence suggests that steroid-resistant children with MCD are more likely to respond than those with FSGS [5]. Because of the potentially serious consequences of failure to induce remission of proteinuria, it is worth a trial of a standard course of cyclophosphamide (CYC) before abandoning a child to the prospect of renal replacement therapy. Additional strength is given to this argument because children with FSGS who receive transplants have a high incidence of early recurrence of their original disease in the graft, often leading to graft loss. One study group has treated children with SRNS with a prolonged course of intravenous methylprednisolone, combined with chlorambucil (CHL) or CYC if the steroid alone failed to induce a remission after 10 weeks of treatment.

Methylprednisolone was given as six alternate-day doses of 30 mg/kg during the first 2 weeks, then weekly in the same dose for a further 8 weeks, then fortnightly for a further 8 weeks, then monthly for a further 32 weeks and finally alternate months for a further 2 months (78 weeks in all). After the first 2 weeks of treatment, the children were also given oral prednisone, 2 mg/kg on alternate days until the end of the course. The alkylating agents were given as either CYC 2 mg/kg/day or CHL 0.2 mg/kg/day for 8-12 weeks. Of 23 children described in the original report, 12 went into complete, sustained remission, six lost their NS but remained proteinuric, four remained nephrotic, and one died in chronic renal failure. Fifteen of the 23 had at least one course of an alkylating agent. All patients had FSGS either in the original biopsy or in later biopsies. Although these results are impressive, the regimen is potentially very toxic and is perhaps best reserved for selected cases [16].

Cyclosporin A

Cyclosporin A has successfully induced remission in children with SRNS due to FSGS, in whom previous attempts to control the disease with alkylating agents had failed. Increasingly CsA has been used as second-line treatment for corticosteroid-resistant FSGS, with supportive evidence from randomized controlled trials [17]. A higher proportion of sustained remissions have been achieved with CsA administered in conjunction with alternate day corticosteroids than CsA alone [18-20]. CsA was given in a starting dose of 150 mg/m²/day or 3-5 mg/kg in two divided doses, adjusted to achieve a trough plasma CsA concentration of 100-200 ng/ml. Prednisone is given at 30 mg/m²/day, also in two divided doses, for the first month, followed by 30 mg/m² on alternate days for 3-6 months [21]. However, many children relapse following discontinuation of CsA therapy, making them CsA dependant or increasing steroid sensitivity in steroid-resistant children [22]. In conclusion, it remains unclear whether CsA therapy improves long-term renal survival despite the encouraging short-term success in induction of remission in SRNS due to FSGS.

Levamisole

There is no evidence that levamisole has any beneficial effect in SRNS [23].

Tacrolimus

Complete or partial remission in patients who were resistant to both steroids and cyclosporine when treated with tacrolimus...
has been reported infrequently in the literature. However, most studies included a small number of patients [24,25] and controlled data are not available and this drug should be considered experimental at present.

Vincristine

A handful of children with steroid and alkylating agent-resistant nephrotic syndrome have lost their proteinuria following treatment with the antibiotic alkaloid vincristine [26,27]. In most cases the drug was given with steroids and a second or third course of an alkylating agent. It is therefore impossible to be certain whether the success of the treatment was due to vincristine or the other simultaneously administered drugs. Vincristine is neurotoxic and must be given intravenously, its effect on tissues being similar to that of mustine if extravasation occurs [28]. It cannot be said to have an established place in the management of SRNS but encouraging results are emerging.

Rituximab

The chimeric anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody Rituximab is used for B cell lymphomas. The mechanism of action of rituximab in NS is not yet clearly illustrated; however one hypothesis says that its effect on T cells causes a lasting effect to reduce proteinuria [29-30]. Several studies reported their results for rituximab use in steroid-dependent (SDNS), frequently-relapsing (FRNS) and steroid-resistant NS. From these, results for SDNS are the most successful with 12-16 months sustained remission whereas they are less effective but still encouraging in SRNS patients [31-35]. Bagga et al. [36] reported complete remission in 3 out of 5 patients treated with rituximab, with significant increase of serum albumin and no side effects. In contrast, Magnasco et al. [37] state that rituximab gives no benefit when used as add-on therapy to steroids and calcineurin inhibitors in SRNS. Though rituximab seems to be a potent drug in NS, further randomised studies would definitely shed more light on its use in SRNS.

Mycophenolate mofetil

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) can inhibit the de novo pathway of guanosine nucleotide synthesis which is important in the proliferation of T and B-lymphocytes. Since MMF is neither nephrotoxic nor gonadotoxic it might seem a good option to use for B cell lymphomas. The mechanism of action of rituximab in NS is not yet clearly illustrated; however one hypothesis says that its effect on T cells causes a lasting effect to reduce proteinuria [29-30]. Several studies reported their results for rituximab use in steroid-dependent (SDNS), frequently-relapsing (FRNS) and steroid-resistant NS. From these, results for SDNS are the most successful with 12-16 months sustained remission whereas they are less effective but still encouraging in SRNS patients [31-35]. Bagga et al. [36] reported complete remission in 3 out of 5 patients treated with rituximab, with significant increase of serum albumin and no side effects. In contrast, Magnasco et al. [37] state that rituximab gives no benefit when used as add-on therapy to steroids and calcineurin inhibitors in SRNS. Though rituximab seems to be a potent drug in NS, further randomised studies would definitely shed more light on its use in SRNS.

Toxicity of cytotoxic and immunosuppressive drugs: a pediatric perspective

The toxicity of cytotoxic drugs such as CYC and CHL has been well documented for many years, and include short-term as well as long-term toxicity. Bone marrow suppression with leucopenia, anaemia, and thrombocytopenia, alopecia, nausea, abdominal pain, and hemorrhagic cystitis (for CYC), constitute the most important short-term side effects. Arterial hypertension, hypertrichosis, gingival hyperplasia, hypomagnesaemia, hyperuricemia, and nephrotoxicity may be encountered during CYA therapy [41-44]. Infection is a universal concern in patients receiving cytotoxic or immunosuppressive therapy. Concomitant glucocorticoid therapy adds to this problem and should be administered as an alternate day regimen wherever possible. A detailed account of the plethora of opportunistic infections that can occur is beyond the scope of this article, however risk of infection with cytomegalovirus, Pneumocystis carinii, and varicella zoster [45] remains ever present [46,47].

Of particular concern regarding the use of cytotoxic drugs is the long-term cancer risk although this risk has not been quantified in children [48,49]. There is generally a lack of data regarding cumulative dose toxicity for the various agents mentioned above, but this is an important factor to bear in mind and should be discussed with parents and child before the onset of therapy. Other potential medium and long-term side effects such as teratogenicity and infertility are also important considerations although in reality rarely become a major concern at the doses and durations of cytotoxic immunosuppression employed in the treatment of childhood NS [50,51]. Cytotoxic and immunosuppressive drugs undoubtedly play an important role in the treatment of certain forms of childhood NS, although these drugs in themselves are associated with significant morbidity and even mortality. It is therefore of particular importance that the benefits and risks of these agents are weighed when considering their use in the treatment of non-malignant diseases.

Membranoproliferative (mesangiocapillary) glomerulonephritis (MPGN)

This disease is uncommon in children and very rare in the first decade. It typically presents with haematuria (macroscopic or microscopic), non-selective proteinuria which is usually, but not always, in the nephrotic range, and frequently hypertension and deteriorating renal function. Hypocomplementemia (persistently reduced plasma concentration of C3) is commonly seen associated with MPG [52]. Pedia the traditional classification of MPGN divided the disease into three subtypes as MPGN I, II and III depending histological features. The newer pathogenesis-based classification of MPGN depends on immunofluorescence (IF) staining, and hence identifies MPGN types as follows:

i. Immune complex–mediated MPGN

ii. Complement-mediated MPGN/ C3 glomerulopathy (C3 dominant IF)

iii. MPGN not related to complement or immune complex

iv. Negative IF

Depositions of immunocomplexes can be observed in Immune complex-mediated MPGN. Frequent infections that result in this type are Hepatitis C and B. Systemic lupus erythematosus, spondyloarthritis, Sjögren syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis are the most common autoimmune diseases that cause MPGN due to persistently circulating immunocomplexes [53,54]. C3 glomerulonephritis (C3GN) and dense deposit disease (DDD) are the two subtypes of C3 glomerulopathy. Prominent deposition of
C3 in the mesangium and capillary wall is seen in C3GN whereas electron-dense deposits in the lamina densa of the glomerular basement membrane are the defining feature of DDD, which was earlier known as MPGN type 2 [55]. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers are prescribed to reduce proteinuria, and non-specific strategies such as controlling the blood pressure and lowering serum lipid levels would also have beneficial effects in patients having C3 glomerulopathy [56]. Eculizumab, a monoclonal antibody which inhibits the cleaving of C5 has been used as a targeted therapy to block the complement cascade. However, its effect has been reported only in reports on single patients and one study that included 6 patients [57].

A controlled study of 5 years alternate-day prednisone by the ISKDC showed little or no overall advantage of treatment over control, although a small benefit was claimed for patients of types 1 and 3 [58]. Data on the efficacy of cyclophosphamide in MPGN are quite conflicting since a study by Cattaran et al. [59] [60] showed no difference in survival and renal function compared to the control group whereas an uncontrolled study by Faedda et al. [61] [62,63] showed 79% remission rate after 10 months treatment with cyclophosphamide and prednisolone. Data are limited for the efficacy of cyclosporine A tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil in immunoglobulin-associated MPGN. Excellent results were claimed in patients with type 1 membranoproliferative disease for a regimen beginning with six alternate-day high-dose infusions of methylprednisolone followed by alternate-day oral prednisone for 1-5 years. The aim of methylprednisolone infusions is to promote early stabilization of the disease. Due to the reclassification and emergence of new knowledge on the pathophysiology of MPGN, it seems advisable not to consider very early studies on treatment for this disease, since the study cohorts could have been a mixture of patients with different path biologic conditions. More targeted therapies based on current knowledge should be considered after evaluation.

Conclusion
Steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome currently does not have a precise treatment guideline for optimal therapy, and is therapeutically challenging. Though aggressive steroid therapy seems to resolve proteinuria in some patients, it would be wiser to use immunosuppressive drugs at this point. Renal histology should also be taken into account when deciding treatment strategies for SRNS patients. Cyclosporine has shown successful short-term remission rates with SRNS children, whereas alkylating agents and other immunosuppressive therapy used in steroid-sensitive disease have not shown much efficacy. This could be due to the scarce amount of studies done on their action in SRNS, with less numbers of patients. However, patients should be monitored for toxic side effects of immunosuppressive therapy, especially infections. More future research on this area would definitely aid in formulating proper guidelines for the treatment of SRNS.
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