Healthcare organizations and sleep deprivation. Is adequate sleep still granted to healthcare workers?

Abstract

Modern-day healthcare organizations strive to provide sufficient protection to healthcare workers concerning their time of recovery sleep. Instead, the progressive reduction of staff, the problem of filling temporary vacancies and rotas, and the urgent requirements of on-calls put extra stress on healthcare workers who might borrow time from their sleep hours to stretch their working time. However, this organizational habit might impact on professional liability of healthcare workers who cannot get enough sleep while involuntarily making them more vulnerable to professional errors. The current article examines the theories that link productivity to working hours and recovery sleep in the healthcare services.
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Introduction

Inadequate amount of sleep is a universal characteristic of contemporary culture. Besides, insufficient sleep in healthcare workers can lead to medical errors and questions on the professional liability of those who were aware of their reduced sleeping hours. The current article will explore some theories in healthcare organizations which unwillingly have conducted to altered sleep patterns in healthcare workers. Some identifiable causes of the ‘sleep problem’ in the healthcare professions are the national shortage of healthcare workers, the need to fill unexpected gaps in the shifts, the urgency to provide coverage for on-call rotas, and the financial incentives provided to healthcare workers who borrow hours for work from their sleep time.

Discussion

Although reduced sleep is recognized by many as being a matter of concern for healthcare workers, there seems to be little progress in the healthcare management to improve working hours, understaffing of hospital wards, an increased workload with reduced recovery sleep, work-related stress, and employees’ insomnia. One obvious consequence is that staff will try to neutralize the ongoing tiredness and reduce the need for sleep by using caffeine-containing drinks which also reduce the pressure to sleep. Other times, the overuse of energy-containing food or drinks can somewhat impair normal metabolism and conduct to carbohydrate overload to feel less the exigency to sleep. Nonetheless, the typical consequence is a background variation of the sleep-modulating neurotransmitters (e.g. serotonin and dopamine) which will be conducive to altered sleep once the physiological sleep pattern is restored. There is another aspect which often escapes from surveys about the consequences of poor sleep on medical errors: professional accountability. On one side, healthcare organizations are struggling against a progressive reduction of staff. Similarly, healthcare managers need to have more night shifts covered by stretching working hours of healthcare workers who have already stayed during day shifts. As lumps provide the total weekly working patterns in healthcare workers. Some identifiable causes of the ‘sleep problem’ in the healthcare professions are the national shortage of healthcare workers, the need to fill unexpected gaps in the shifts, the urgency to provide coverage for on-call rotas, and the financial incentives provided to healthcare workers who borrow hours for work from their sleep time.

Chronic disturbed sleep patterns

i. Sleeping in lumps are periods of reduced hours of sleep with long shift alternated to periods of recovery sleep when not on working shifts. More commonly found with temporary healthcare staff.

ii. On-off sleep is short (one to two days) periods of deprived sleep when covering on-calls and vacancies. More frequent with locum doctors and agency staff covering weekend rotas.

iii. Chronic disturbed sleep patterns with poor sleep and chronic tiredness. Once poor sleep patterns have been established, it becomes arduous to revert to healthy sleep habits.
iv. Jet-lag sleep pattern is more frequent in healthcare workers who replace night with day shifts and who have altered sleep patterns as they might alternate restorative day sleep with night sleep.

v. Concealed insomnias which are a pattern of those who deny that reduced sleep hours are occurring not to impact on their professional responsibility.

vi. Toxic optimistic insomnia is a condition characterized by the subjective feeling of requiring little sleep and denying tiredness and insomnia accompanied by an ‘unrealistic optimism’ all triggered by reduced hours of sleep.4

The Office for National Statistics defines productivity also as production per individual employee.9 When linking work productivity to the number of working hours, the authors of the current study designed curves explaining the manager’s fallacy and the real course in calculating efficiency in the healthcare productivity (Figure 1). The misconception consists in assuming that productivity is directly linked to the number of hours worked, hence, linking the outcome to the twenty-four-hour cycle (Figure 1). Instead, the real curve of productivity does include recovery sleep into the equation thus providing a peak for work efficacy and productivity constrained into the regular five to eight working hours. Afterward, sleep pressure counteracts work productivity while increased sleep deprivation lowers efficiency and increases the likelihood of a professional’s errors. In other words, sleep too enters the equation for job productivity for every single worker (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Fallacy and right curve of productivity excluding and including sleep hours and curve of the likelihood of professional errors (expressed as percentages). At the point of crossing (6 hours), healthcare management might consider that extra working hours borrowed from employee’s sleeping hours will not impact on workers’ productivity. Instead, our study hypothesizes that productivity has a steep decline after six to eight hours of work with an increased likelihood of reduced job productivity and increased likelihood of professional errors.

Conclusion

The current communication highlights some of the drawbacks inherent in a healthcare model operating on outcome measures but relying on a limited number of human resources and involuntarily reducing planned sleep breaks for healthcare workers. One consequence of the mounting pressure to cover shifts and vacancies in the healthcare services with no personnel is to ask current staff to cover extra-shifts at the expenses of their sleep time. As seen in the current study, the promotion of this habit originates from an apparent fallacy in the managerial theory which assumes that individual and organizational productivity can increase by accretion of working hours. This position does not consider recovery sleep as being central to the productivity equation. Instead, work efficiency drops soon after five to eight hours of work with increased risks of professional errors if employers do not grant proper recovery sleep to individual workers.
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