Treatment modalities in poor responder patients undergoing assisted reproductive techniques

Summary
Accomplished IVF/ICSI treatment depends on obtaining enough oocyte sample and electing patients observantly. The COH (Controlled Ovarian Hyperstimulation) treatment in patients with poor ovarian response is one of the most important issues of IVF programmes. The ovarian response given ovarian stimulation is the most important determiner in ART treatment. Although many other stimulation protocols have been applied on patients with poor ovarian response, contemporarily low pregnancy rates reported.
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Introduction
In this review, treatment and stimulation protocols in poor responder patients undergoing ART will be discussed. PubMed database was searched in August 2013 with various combination of following terms in English language: ART, poor responder, stimulation protocols, improvement pregnancy rates, ovarian response, ovarian reserve, IVF/ICSI treatment.

Definition
Poor ovarian response was reported by Garcia et al. in 1983, first. Poor responder cases constitute 9–24 % of ART cycles. The rate is reported as 50 % in women over 40 years old. FSH begins increasing before 13 years from menopause. With increasing FSH; follicules, oocytes, embryos, implantation rates decrease, and cycle cancellation rates increase. Inspite of improvements in ART, there is no consensus on the managements of patients with poor response. Parameters like increased FSH levels, low E2 levels at hCG day (300–660 pg/ml), low oocyte samples (below 4–6), antral follicules below 3–5 at the day of hCG administration, advanced age of the patients, increased FSH dosage used, extended stimulation period are used for describe of poor ovarian response. The ESHERE meeting in Bologna at 2011 was concluded with a new consensus to describe poor ovarian response for a common language. i) Advanced maternal age (>40) or other risk factors for POR, ii) obtaining <=3 oocytes with conventional stimulation before, iii) Abnormal ovarian reserve tests (AFC <5–7, or AMH<0.5–1.1ng/ml). Again, according to the criteria of Bologna, regardless of age, a patient produces < 4 oocytes at 2 cycles even maximal stimulation and patients aged over 40 years have poor ovarian reserve tests without using stimulation are also accepted POR. Many factors like diminished ovarian reserve, advanced maternal age, low levels of FSH receptor numbers, neoplasm of FSH receptors, turner syndrome, flagyl X syndrome, previous radiotherapy and chemotherapy story, mutations of FMR1 gen, existence of FSH binding inhibitor at follicular liquid, degraded signal transduction as result of FSH binding, existence of autoantibody against granulosa cells, deficiency of vessel web that spread of gonadotropins, low levels of GnSAF (gonadotropine surge attenuating factor) were reported for POR ethiology. And also previous surgical endometrioma, previous PID, obesity, environmental factors, smoking and functional ovarian cysts may be counted situations may be releated POR.

Clinical situation
Number of embryo has substantial importance for IVF/ICSI success rate in POR patients. Therewith high levels of gonadotropin dosages may provide more follicle election. However, this contribution is not clear on pregnancy rates. High rates of mitochondrial DNA mutations was reported at advanced maternal age. And also mitochondrial DNA deletions were observed in oocytes of women at advanced ages. As a result, it is thought that decreased energy production effects mitotic activity badly. More decreased ovarian stromal blood flow is also determined in patients have POR with 3D power doppler. Also increased abortus rates were also reported in patients with poor ovarian response, as well as decreased pregnancy rates. In patients with POR, poor response incidence at the second cycle follows first cycle was reported as 62 %.

Management of poor responders
Although different COH protocols are applied for increasing IVF succes rate in these patients, there is no consensus about optimal procedure. Increasing gonadotropin dosages during COH procedure, using different types of gonadotropins, changing start time of gonadotropins or GnRH analogs, utilization of OCS, addition of GH, DHEA–S, CC, aromatase inhibitors, testosterone, E2, nitric oxide (L–arginine), aspirin, colony–stimulating factor, dexametasonse, pyridostigmine or another adjuvants or usage of natural cycles at Assited Reproductive Technology are recommended. Despite illegal in some countries, oocyte donation is an alternative way. But it may not always be feasible for couples because of different religious and cultural characteristics. Small number of oocyte maturaton is observed as result of decreesed ovarian reserve in patients with POR. Therefore acceptable pregnancy rates also have been reported if IVF/ICSI is applied in patient’s own cycles even high LH surge possibilities exist. Increasing mature follicules and embryos is main purpose for patient with poor response. Yet there are two questions. Can we make a non–exist follicule grow? And the second one: Can we fix the quality of ruined oocyte? A succesful ART is related to especially well COH.
Inadequate response to gonadotropines is resulted with cancellation of cycle, decreased quality and number of embryo that will be frozen or transfer, low pregnancy rate and psychological trauma.

**Cycle cancellation criterias in poor responders**

Similar cycle cancellation parameters are used in all IVF cycles. These are i) 3 or less follicles in USG, ii) highest E2≤300 pg/ml.

**Clinical and laboratory administration**

Increasing the number of trial and usage of ICSI instead of IVF in patients with poor ovarian response and aged over 40 seem to provide an increase in pregnancy rates.11 Depending on decreased reserve in patients with POR a small number oocyte development is monitored. Therefore IVF–ICSI applications during natural cycles (advantage of requiring lower drug costs) was reported with acceptable pregnancy rate in several series.12

Decreased ovarian reserve is related with decreased oocyte quality. At the end of thirties and early forties are related with increased aneuploid rates, decreased natural fecundity, decreased number of follicle and worsening oocyte quality. Therefore transfer of the healthy oocyte cytoplasm to oocyte with a poor prognosis using microinjection procedure and transfer of the germinal vesicle of defective oocyte to denucleated healthy oocyte have become a current issue. In In vitro Maturation procedure, immature oocytes are gathered, are grown in vitro and ICSI procedure is applied. Contemporarily better follicle development is provided with this procedure. In preliminary studies of in vitro maturation (IVM), decreased cancellation of cycle and acceptable pregnancy / implantation rates were also reported.13 Assisted hatching is a newer lab technique that was developed when fertility experts observed that embryos with a thin zona pellucida had a higher rate of implantation during IVF. Higher clinical pregnancy and implantation rates have been observed after assisted hatching.14 Increased pregnancy results with early embryo transfer in patients with POR was also reported.15

Genetic studies on embryos and transfer of elected healthy embryos are named with PIG (Preimplantation Genetic). This technique is used for single gene disease. And this procedure also seems to increase IVF success in patients with POR. Endometrial co–cultures environments; as also known imitation uterus; are systems allow development of embryos in nearly naturel environment before transfer period. Higher implantation and pregnancy rates are obtained with co–culture techniques.16

In recent years another technique initiated is electroacupuncture treatment. Electroacupuncture is the usage of electric impulse stimulation with acupuncture needles. Increased oocyte quality and better pregnancy outcomes for electroacupuncture treatment undergoing patients with POR have been also reported.17 And also stem cell technology will be in treatment of POR patients in the future. Studies on the use of embryonic stem cells in reproductive medicine is promise for patients that have no oocytes or sperm.

Although studies are experimental to gain differentiated gamete cells from embryonic stem cells, successful results have been reported. With the beginning of the formation of embryonic bodies in the mouse stem cell culture , expression of the marker of germ cells were shown. When germ cell markers expressing cells was cultured with retinoic acid solution to obtain male germ cell , these cells is transformed to preseperm cells. Again , culturing the embryonic bodies with the solution contains neonatal testis tissue , was successful to gain ovariian tissue contains oocyte-like structures These ocytes like structures have been shown to express markers specific for oocytes , and the indicator for meiosis SPC3 expression.18 Again, in vitro male gamet cell differentiation from mouse embryonic stem cell and the injection of the obtained cell to the oocyte has been successful in obtaining blastocyst formation.19 Non-tail sperm gain in mouse and fertilization was shown when injected into the oocytes was reported by other studies.20 It was shown that 0.1% of human embryonic stem cells was differentiated to primordial germ cells by the surface and gene expression markers.21 In another study in the mouse , oocyte gain from embryonic stem cells has been successful.22 And also trofoblaste differentiation from embryonic bodies gained from embryonic stem cell and, human chorionic gonadotrophine secretion from these trofoblastes was shown.23 There are some limitations in the use of stem cells in treatment. It is known the potential of stem cells differentiate various cells, the mechanisms of this differentiation is not certain. Before the use in the treatment all of the mechanisms in differentiation , potential side effects and in vivo situation of these cells must be illuminated. And also stem cell applications have some ethical problems. In the use of stem cells in clinical practice in the future most studies has to be made on animal experiments.

**Treatment of poor responders**

Assuming that ovarian reserve tests predict an acceptable success rate, the next question is what stimulation protocol would optimize patients chances for success. Contemporarily despite of technological progresses, IVF is still an expensive treatment. More gonadotropin doses are used in patients with POR and it increases costs. Prolonged treatments and high rates of cycle cancellation are another important problems in POR. The best ovarian hyperstimulation procedure in these patients should provide low cycle cancellation rates, enough number of mature oocyte, lower cost, optimal pregnancies, more lived births. However the best treatment for PORs might be discussed.

**Administration of gonadotropin**

The first and basic approach to the poor responder patients is seems to increase the dose of gonadotropins when inadequate response is obtained with standard dose ovarian stimulation in COH cycles. Decreased cycle cancellation rates and increased pregnancy rates were reported in a study that gonadotropin doses were increased from 350U to 400U.24 Manzi et al.25 reported that they got more oocytes with 150U increment of daily FSH dose. Yet an increment for pregnancy rates was not reported. In another study, FSH dose was increased to 450U cause of there was no adequte response to 250U and more follicules were gathered. Yet pregnancy rates were observed low.26 Again another studies in patients with POR, it was observed that increasing gonadotropin dose had no effect on level of E2, number of embryo and pregnancy rates.27 In spite of increased FSH dose in patients with POR, poor oocyte retrieval may be related with poor ovarian reserve. However increasing FSH dose seems to fail about oocyte retrieval, recombinant FSH is shown more potent than urinary products for number of oocyte retrieved, more embryo obtain and higher pregnancy rates.

FSH and LH have same parts in folliculogenesis and ovulation. Barrenetxea et al.28 have reported that addition of rLH to stimulation protocol after seventh day of cycle has no effect on clinical pregnancy, implantation rates and cycle Dynamics.29 Also, a recent prospective
Administration of gnRH analogues

In recent years, the advantages of microdose GnRH agonist flare–up technic have been reported in some studies. In several studies, GnRH antagonists have been found avail. In a previous study that compares two stimulation protocols, we have observed no difference between them.

GnRH agonists

GnRH agonists are one of the main medicines of COH cycles. GnRH agonists suppress the production of pituitary gonadotropin and become effective in preventing premature LH surge and increase gonadotropin require. Decreasing GnRH dose in patients with POR decreases gonadotropin require and increases number of oocyte. Existence of GnRH receptors in human ovarian tissue that is shown by studies indicates that agonists may have direct and negative effects on ovary beside pituitary. This situation have caused an argument about classical usage of GnRH agonist in patients have limited ovarian reserve. Therefore modified agonist protocols have been identified in patients with POR. There are many different modified GnRH–a protocols for patients who had previously shown a poor response to long luteal GnRHa protocol– mostly by altering dose and timing of administration. Among the various types of modified GnRH–a protocols, microdose flare–up is one of the most popular regimen.

Some studies support short and flare protocols against long protocols have been reported in literature. Decreased cycles cancellation, increased pregnancy rates without premature LH surge for microdose flare–up protocol, thoroughly decreased dose (40–80µg), have been reported. In microdose GnRH agonist (GnRH–a) flare–up protocol; the ovarian suppression is not excessive and the initial stimulation of GnRH receptors and consequent secretion of endogenous gonadotropins reinforce the effects of exogenously administered gonadotropins. These are main advantages of this protocol.

In a recent review, comparison of two agonist protocols, there was no statistically difference between two protocols. These protocols are stop and non–stop long GnRH agonist protocols. In the stop agonist protocol GnRH agonist was initiated in the midluteal phase and was stopped upon adequate down regulation. In the non–stop protocol, a standard long GnRH agonist was applied and GnRH agonist administration continued until the day of hCG administration.

In a study that considers co–flare 450 cycles in POR patients retrospectively, 24% cycle cancellation, 20% pregnancy rate per cycle, 14% live birth rate have been reported. Lower cycle cancellation rate is detected in patients have ‘Estradiol doubling’. Flare effect at the beginning seems better stimulation indicator but has no effect on pregnancy outcomes significantly.

GnRH antagonists

Defining extra–pituitary effects of GnRH and possible importance of these effects on poor ovarian responders caused to head finding different cycles seeking instead of classical long luteal agonist cycles. First times, these negative effects were tried to overcome with modified agonist procedures. With invention of GnRH antagonists, usage of these medicines in POR patients have become current issue. Most recently the use of GnRH antagonists has been suggested as the preferred ovarian stimulation protocol in poor responders. In recent days use of GnRH antagonists in patients with POR represent a new perspective to clinicians. And studies about this issue increase gradually. Lower cycles cancellation rates, more number of oocyte, more transferable number of embryo and higher clinical pregnancy rates with antagonists have been reported in studies compare GnRH antagonists with especially the usage of long luteal GnRH analogs in poor responders. As the reason, more excessive supression of GnRH analogs on ovens in patients already have poor ovarian reserve has been claimed. Nevertheless FSH and LH blood serum levels are supressed excessively at the third day of cycles used GnRHas. When ovarian stimulation starts, blood serum FSH and LH levels are frequently 5–8IU at cycles used GnRH antagonists. Early LH peak is prevented with addition of GnRH antagonists at late follicular period of stimulation protocol. Thus GnRH antagonist protocols, in proportion to GnRH agonist long protocols, do not supress endogenous FSH and LH at the early follicular period and allow natural follicle election.

In a study was performed on 48 POR patients, equal pregnancy rates have been reported between microdose flare–up and antagonist protocols. Cycle cancellation rate were found higher in antagonist group in comparison to long protocol group in a study that the evaluation performed when the leading follicul was reached 16 mm. In the study, series of Humaidan et al. with 72 patients, compared flexible GnRH antagonist protocols with long protocol significant differences were detected for number of follicle, number of oocyte, implantation and pregnancy rates.

In a study performed by Fsouliotis et al., the stimulation was made with antagonist protocols at new cycle to 53 patients could not be pregnant with long protocol at previous cycle. Higher implantation, pregnancy rates and pregnancy ongoing rate were detected in antagonist group. Marcì et al. who compared ovarian response in antagonist protocol with standard long protocol, reported more number of follicle and lower cancellation rates in antagonist group.

In a recent meta–analysis compared GnRH agonists and antagonists, better outcomes for antagonist protocols in proportion to analogs, lower cycle cancellation rate, more number of oocyte and metaphase 2 oocyte, higher clinical pregnancy rate were determined. Nevertheless there was no significant differences between antagonist protocols with flare–up protocols, More number of oocytes were gathered in flare–up protocols than antagonist protocols yet.

Despite these theoretical advantages of GnRH antagonists, there is some concern that the use og GnRH antagonists in poor responders may have adverse effects on ovarian steroidogenesis, follicular growth, embryo development and implantation process.

In the study of Di Luigi et al. that compared microdose leuprolid asetat protocol with protocols that got started with GnRH antagonists and E2 replacement at luteal phase, no significant is detected for cycle cancellation, number of oocyte, clinical pregnancy rates between these two groups.

In a study including 300 consecutive cycles, similar pregnancy rates are found between the microdose flare–up group and antagonist.

Aromatase inhibitors

Aromatase inhibitors inhibit last step of estradiol synthesis. Letrozole the 3. generation selective aromatase inhibitor firstly used...
to decrease gonadotropin dose at ovulation induction. It was shown that aromatase inhibitors have positive effects on follicular response against FSH in poor responder patients. In an earlier study including 70 patients undergoing flexible antagonist protocol, it was shown that adjunctive letrozole administration seems to restore an IVF cycle by decreasing the rate of cycle cancellation and seems to reduce the cost by reducing the total gonadotropin dosage.

In a study including 12 POR patients, less gonadotropin require was detected with 2.5mg (7 days) letrozole. More over in another study, 71 of 147 patients had cancelled cycle, got high dosage of FSH/hMG-antagonist and 2.5mg Letrozole, and when this group outcomes were compared with the group got same protocol without letrozole addition, increased testosterone in follicular liquid, androstenededon concentrations, number of oocytes gathered and implantation rates were detected significantly higher in letrozole group.

### Additional treatments

Passage of egg cells to functional pool that is sensitive to reproductive hormone, take about four months. In present day, modern medicine has drugs and knowledge can interfere in last 15–20 days of this process. There are some hormones detected; that are believed to effect earlier periods of this process.

### DHEA (dehydroepiandrosterone)

Dehydroepiandrosterone is an endogenous steroid that originates from zona reticularis (%80) of the adrenal cortex and from ovarian theca cells (%20). Dehydroepiandrosterone is an essential prohormone in ovarian follicular steroidogenesis. The level is decreased with age. This hormone converts to estrogen and androgen in women. This conversion is in favor of androgens. In case reports and laboratory studies, It was shown that test–tube baby and fertilization administrations of DHEA increase pregnancy rates and live births rates in patients with poor ovarian reserve, advanced ages (>38). At the same time it was shown that DHEA decreases abortion rates. In our earlier study, It is shown that addition of DHEA provides enhancement on IVF outcomes. There is thought about that DHEA increases number oocytes passed functional reserve and decreases aneuploid by optimum effect on oocyte cytoplasm. Therefore 6–8 weeks administrations of DHEA (75mg/gün) have become a routine in POR patients contemporarily.

### GH (Growth hormone)

It is known that growth hormone has a beneficial effect on ovarian function. In laboratory studies, it is shown that GH provides to increase oocyte maturation and capacity of DNA repair in human cells. In a study including over 40 aged women, addition of GH to treatment augmented pregnancy and live births rates significantly. Again in another study, positive outcomes were reported. Usage of GH has become a routine for treatment protocols in patients have no response. But addition of GH releasing factor in poor responders undergoing IVF treatment does not appear to beneficial.

### Metformin

Today, the use of metformin is not offered to treat anovulatory infertile women. In patients with reduced ovarian reserve, metformin worsens the response to gonadotropins. It is thought that the use of metformin reduces response to stimulatin by reducing androgen levels.

### COC pre–treatment

COC pre–treatment suppresses endogenous gonadotropins and sensitizes estrogen receptors depending on estrogen components inside. Copeman et al. reported increased pregnancy rate, and decreased cancellation rate in the antagonist cycles of poor responder patients who received OCP treatment, when compared with patients not receiving OC pills.

### Androgen

Androgens play a critical role on follicular growth. Androgens receptors have been identified in the human ovary. The addition of androgen during the early follicular phase might have a beneficial effect on the number of small antral follicles and improve the ovarian sensitivity to FSH. In a meta–analysis, it was detected that the use of transdermal testosterone in POR patients has benefits for live birth rates, clinical pregnancies per cycle and gonadotropin doses used in group received testosterone significantly.

### Luteal phase supplementation

Luteal supplementation with either hCG or progesterone significantly improves fertility outcomes compared with no treatment. Addition of oral estrogen to progesterone also improves implantation rates. So luteal phase support is mostly offered in IVF/ICSI protocols. But it has a potential risk of OHSS.

### Expert commentary

Poor response criteria are increased cycle cancellation rates, poor embryo development, decreased pregnancy rates in POR patients. Assessment of ovarian reserve before COH is important for the choice of adequate protocol. Various solution strategies have been tried for increasing IVF success in POR patients. Some variations have been made for type, dose and timing of gonadotropins, agonists and antagonists, a certain superiority could not have been shown between them yet. Addition of adjuvants to treatmant has contributed to IVF success. Individualising COH protocols for each patient seems like more appropriate.

### 5–year view

Main factor that effects fertility success as a result of ovarian aging effect, is defect of oocyte quality. A lot of stimulation protocol have been offered for increasing quality and number of oocyte. Importance of adjuvants and hormones supports seem like become more important with developing technology and increasing knowledge. Developments in IVF technology increases day by day. Electroacupuncture is one of these. In recent years, increasing stem cell studies give hope. In future maybe we can retrieve oocytes from stem cells.
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Key issues

i. Short protocol has flareup effect on pituitary gonadotropin release, on the other hand the use of long protocol results more coordinated follicular growth.

ii. Use of GnRH antagonists has better results regarding stimulation time, gonadotropine total dosage, and retrieval of oocytes. But more comparative studies are required.

iii. There are no differences between the long GnRH agonist protocols and CC+ rFSH in GnRH antagonist protocols.


v. Natural cycle IVF can be an alternative to standard ovarian stimulation. Natural cycle is less invasive, and less costly. This can be offered for poor responders who do not produce more oocytes with ovarian stimulation.

vi. Short GnRH and long GnRH agonist protocols have no differences.

vii. Recombinant FSH is shown more potent than urinary products for number of oocytes retrieved, more embryo obtain, and higher pregnancy rates in IVF protocols.

viii. Shortening the duration of embryo culture might be associated with an improvement in pregnancy rates. So early embryo transfer in POR might be beneficial.

ix. Adjuvant treatment allows an increase in the success of IVF. Especially addition of GH appears to improve the probability of pregnancy.
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