

# Cosmological Parametrizations and Their Scalar Field Descendants

## Abstract

In literature, there exist numerous cosmological solutions based upon some specific scheme of parametrization of cosmological parameters. Our present work is an attempt to reconstruct the field potentials in case of (non)phantom fields for different models resulting from parametrization of  $a(t)$ ,  $H(t)$  and  $q(t)$  in the framework of Friedmann Robertson Walker (FRW) geometry. In addition we carry out similar procedure to reconstruct the field potentials for tachyonic field for the same models. In this note, we reconstructed the field potentials for some known models e.g. constant deceleration parameter model, linearly varying deceleration parameter model and a model based on a specific parametrization of Hubble parameter. The procedure adopted here shows that in principle, the scalar field potentials for quintessence, phantom and tachyonic fields can be reconstructed for any scheme of parametrization of cosmological parameters  $a(t)$ ,  $q(t)$ ,  $H(t)$ ,  $w(t)$ ,  $\rho(t)$  or  $p(t)$ .

**Keywords:** Scalar field potential; Parametrization; Dark energy

## Research Article

Volume 1 Issue 6 - 2017

**Pacif SKJ<sup>1\*</sup>, Myrzakulov K<sup>2</sup> and Myrzakulov R<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of General and Theoretical Physics, Eurasian National University, Kazakhstan

**\*Corresponding author:** Shibesh Kumar Jas Pacif, Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi-110025, India, Tel: +91 8826244791; Email: shibesh.math@gmail.com

**Received:** September 13, 2017 | **Published:** December 28, 2017

## Introduction

The revolution in observational cosmology during the past two decades has provided sufficient evidence for late time acceleration of the Universe [1-8]. This phenomenon can be explained in several ways such as by incorporation of an extra term in the right hand side of Einstein's field equations or by modifying the left hand side of the field equations. In general relativity the concept of *dark energy* seems to be more relevant to the observed accelerated expansion of the Universe. In this framework, dark energy constitutes nearly 69% of the total energy budget of the Universe along with other components - dark matter (27%) and the baryonic matter (4%) (Planck 2015 results). However, important questions concerning the nature of dark energy, its interaction with other material components in the Universe, yet remain to be answered. A large number of candidates for dark energy including cosmological constant have been proposed in the recent years [9-16]. Phenomenologically quintessence field [17-20] with standard kinetic term and minimally coupled to gravity can be considered as a very good candidate for dark energy. In slow roll approximation (potential dominated scalar field i.e.  $\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \ll V(\phi)$ ), it can also act as a cosmological constant.

The scalar field with the wrong sign in the kinetic term, dubbed phantom [21-27] is also allowed observationally. There are other scalar field models relevant to dark energy namely, quintom [28-31], k-essence [32,33], tachyon [34-39], light mass Galileons [40-45], chameleon [46-48] etc. There is plethora of field potentials that can describe the smooth transition from deceleration to acceleration. In this context, various canonical as well as non-canonical scalar field potentials (e.g. exponential potential, flat potential, linear potential, quadratic potential etc.) for different fields have been proposed that can lead to different theoretical and observational consequences.

On the other hand, the inclusion of one more component (dark energy) into the evolution equations in the form of scalar field adds an extra degree of freedom. And for a unique solution, one requires a constrain equation. This can be achieved, in particular, by parameterizing the deceleration parameter  $q(t)$ , Hubble parameter  $H(t)$ , the equation of state parameter  $w(t)$  or the scale factor  $a(t)$  (for a recent review on various parametrization one can see [49]). An interesting article [50] can be found in literature wherein tachyonic potential is reconstructed on the FRW brane. There are other reconstructions of scalar field potentials describing the late-time acceleration of the Universe e.g. reconstructions of scalar field potential to unify early-time and late-time Universe based on phantom cosmology [51,52], reconstruction of scalar field potential in light of supernovae data [53], reconstruction of phantom scalar potentials in two-field cosmological models [54], holographic reconstruction of scalar field dark energy models [55] and many more. In this paper, following the recommendation of [50, 51], we reconstruct the scalar field potentials for models obtained by various parametrization of  $q(t)$ ,  $a(t)$  or  $H(t)$  in case of quintessence, phantom and tachyonic fields.

## Scalar Field Potentials for Quintessence and Phantom Field

We consider an action describing a general scalar field  $\phi$  as

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{M_p^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \omega \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) + L_{Matter} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

Where  $\omega = +1$  or  $-1$  for quintessence and phantom field respectively and  $V(\phi)$  is the potential function for the scalar field. In the flat FRW background the energy density  $\rho_\phi$  and pressure  $p_\phi$  of the scalar field can be written as

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\omega\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \quad (2)$$

$$p_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\omega\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi). \quad (3)$$

From equations (2) and (3) we may obtain

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_\phi - p_\phi) \quad (4)$$

And

$$\omega\dot{\phi}(t) = \int (\rho_\phi + p_\phi) \frac{1}{2} dt + \phi_i, \text{ where } \phi_i \text{ is a constant of integration.} \quad (5)$$

The effective energy density and pressure can be written as

$$\rho_{eff} = \rho_\phi + \sum \rho_i \text{ and } p_{eff} = p_\phi + \sum p_i, \quad (6)$$

Where  $\rho_i$  and  $p_i$  are the energy densities and pressures of all relativistic and non-relativistic components of the Universe. Using the perfect fluid equation of state  $p_i = w_i \rho_i$  ( $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$ ) for the matter fields and substituting (6) in (4) and (5), we may obtain the expressions

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 - w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \sum (1 - w_i) \rho_i \right] \quad (7)$$

And

$$\omega\dot{\phi}(t) = \int \left[ (1 + w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \sum (1 + w_i) \rho_i \right] \frac{1}{2} dt + \phi_i. \quad (8)$$

Where  $w_{eff} = \frac{p_{eff}}{\rho_{eff}}$  is the effective equation of state parameter.

For flat ( $k=0$ ) case, Friedmann equations reduce to

$$\rho_{eff} = 3M_p^2 H^2, \quad (9)$$

$$p_{eff} = -M_p^2 (3H^2 + 2\dot{H}). \quad (10)$$

Observations suggest that the dominant constituents in the Universe are dark energy and cold dark matter. So, considering a two fluid Universe (dark energy and cold dark matter), equations (7) and (8) reduce to

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 - w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \rho_m \right] \quad (11)$$

And

$$\omega\dot{\phi}(t) = \int \left[ (1 + w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \rho_m \right] \frac{1}{2} dt + \phi_i. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, if we assume the minimal interaction between matter and the scalar field then from the conservation equation, we have  $\dot{\rho}_\phi + 3H(1 + w_\phi)\rho_\phi = 0$  and

$$\dot{\rho}_m + 3H(1 + w_m)\rho_m = 0, \quad (13)$$

Which yields  $\rho_m = \rho_0 a^{-3}$ , where  $\rho_0$  is a constant of integration and is generally attributed to present value of matter energy density. Here and afterwards a suffix '0' for any variable refers to present value of the concerned quantity. Hence, the potential for the scalar field can be written as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 - w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \rho_0 a^{-3} \right], \quad (14)$$

Together with the expression of the scalar function

$$\omega\dot{\phi}(t) = \int \left[ (1 + w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \rho_0 a^{-3} \right] \frac{1}{2} dt + \phi_i. \quad (15)$$

From the two Friedmann equations (7) and (8), it is easy to derive

$$w_{eff} = -1 - \frac{2\dot{H}}{3H^2}. \quad (16)$$

Which can also be represented as

$$w_{eff} = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}q = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{2a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2}. \quad (17)$$

We can observe that, for any parametrization of the parameters  $q(t)$ ,  $H(t)$  or  $a(t)$ , all the quantities  $\rho_{eff}$ ,  $w_{eff}$ ,  $a$  can easily be obtained using equations (9) and (16) (or (17)). Hence, we can obtain scalar function  $\phi(t)$  using equation (15) and eliminating  $t$  from  $\phi(t)$  and using in (14), we can obtain the potential function  $V(\phi)$  for any model resulting from the parametrization of  $q(t)$ ,  $H(t)$  or  $a(t)$ . It is to be noted that for quintessence field ( $\omega = +1$ ),

from equation (15) we can have  $\phi(t) = \phi_i + \int \left[ (1 + w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \rho_0 a^{-3} \right] \frac{1}{2} dt$  while for phantom field ( $\omega = -1$ ), we can write the scalar function

$$\phi(t) = \phi_i - \int \left[ (1 + w_{eff}) \rho_{eff} - \rho_0 a^{-3} \right] \frac{1}{2} dt.$$

Potential in  $q(t)$  parametrized model

Equations (14) and (15) can be written as a single unknown variable  $q(t)$  as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{(2-q)M_p^2}{\{q_0 + \int (1+q)dt\}^2} \frac{\rho_0}{2a_0^3} \exp \left\{ -3 \int \frac{dt}{q_0 + \int (1+q)dt} \right\}, \quad (18)$$

Where  $q_0$  and  $a_0$  are integrating constants. The scalar function  $\phi(t)$  is given by

$$\omega\dot{\phi}(t) = \phi_i + \int \left[ \frac{2(1+q)M_p^2}{\{q_0 + \int (1+q)dt\}^2} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3} \exp \left\{ -3 \int \frac{dt}{q_0 + \int (1+q)dt} \right\} \right] \frac{1}{2} dt. \quad (19)$$

The potential for the Berman's parametrization [56] of constant deceleration parameter  $q(t) = m - 1$ , is then obtained as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(3-\beta)M_p^2}{(q_0 + \beta t)^2} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 (q_0 + \beta t)^{\frac{3}{\beta}}} \right] \quad (20)$$

Together with

$$\omega\dot{\phi}(t) = \phi_i + \int \left[ \frac{2mM_p^2}{(q_0 + mt)^2} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 (q_0 + mt)^{\frac{3}{m}}} \right] \frac{1}{2} dt. \quad (21)$$

At late times, when the dark energy overtakes the matter energy i.e.  $\rho_{eff} = \rho_\phi$ , we have  $\omega\dot{\phi}(t) - \phi_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} M_p \ln(q_0 + mt)$  and the potential is found to an exponential potential in the form

$$V(\phi) = (3-2m)M_p^2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{2m}}{M_p} (\omega\phi - \phi_i) \right\}. \quad (22)$$

Similarly, the potential for Linearly Varying Deceleration Parameter model (LVDP) [57]  $q(t)=-2\alpha t+\beta-1$  (at late times) is given as

$$V(t)=\frac{(3-\beta+2\alpha t)M_p^2}{(q_0+\beta t-\alpha t^2)^2}, \tag{23}$$

Where  $t$  is to be eliminated from

$$\frac{(4q_0\alpha+\beta^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2}M_p}(\omega\phi-\phi_i)=\tan^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{\beta-2\alpha t}}{(4q_0\alpha+\beta^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}-\tanh^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{\beta-2\alpha t}}{(4q_0\alpha+\beta^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \tag{24}$$

Potential in  $a(t)$  parametrized model

Equations (14) and (15) can be written as a single unknown variable  $a(t)$  as

$$V(\phi)=M_p^2\left(2+\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2}\right)\frac{\rho_0}{a^2} \tag{25}$$

Together with the scalar function

$$\omega\phi(t)=\phi_i+\int\left[2M_p^2\left(1-\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2}\right)\frac{\rho_0}{a^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}dt. \tag{26}$$

The potential for the power law cosmology [58]  $a(t)=\beta t^n$ , is given by

$$V(\phi)=M_p^2\frac{n(3n-1)}{t^2}\frac{\rho_0}{2\beta^3t^{3n}} \tag{27}$$

Together with

$$\omega\phi(t)=\phi_i+\int\left[2M_p^2\frac{n}{t^2}\frac{\rho_0}{\beta^3t^{3n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}dt. \tag{28}$$

At late times, when the dark energy overtakes the matter energy i.e.  $\rho_{eff}=\rho_\phi$ , we have  $\omega\phi(t)-\phi_i=\sqrt{2n}M_p\ln t$  and the potential is found to be again an exponential potential in the form

$$V(\phi)=n(3n-1)M_p^2\exp\left\{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\frac{1}{M_p}(\omega\phi-\phi_i)\right\}. \tag{29}$$

Potential in  $H(t)$  parametrized model

Equations (14) and (15) can be written as a single unknown variable  $H(t)$  as

$$V(\phi)=M_p^2\left[3H^2+\dot{H}\right]\frac{\rho_0}{2a_0^3}\exp\{-3\int H(t)dt\} \tag{30}$$

Together with the expression of scalar function

$$\omega\phi(t)=\phi_i+\int\left[-2M_p^2\dot{H}-\frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3}\exp\{-3\int H(t)dt\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}dt. \tag{31}$$

The potential for the parametrized Hubble function of the form

$$H(t)=\frac{\beta t^m}{(t^n+\alpha)^p} \tag{49}$$

$$V(\phi)=M_p^2\left[\frac{3\beta^2t^{2m}}{(t^n+\alpha)^{2p}}+\beta\frac{mt^{m-1}}{(t^n+\alpha)^p}\frac{np t^{m+n-1}}{(t^n+\alpha)^{p+1}}\right]\frac{\rho_0}{2a_0^3}\exp\left\{-3\beta\int\frac{t^m}{(t^n+\alpha)^p}dt\right\}$$

Together with

$$\omega\phi(t)=\phi_i+\int\left[-2M_p^2\beta\frac{mt^{m-1}}{(t^n+\alpha)^p}\frac{np t^{m+n-1}}{(t^n+\alpha)^{p+1}}\frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3}\exp\left\{-3\beta\int\frac{t^m}{(t^n+\alpha)^p}dt\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}dt. \tag{33}$$

For a specific model with  $m=0, n=1, p=\frac{1}{2}$  (Model-VI of [49]), we have  $H(t)=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t+\alpha}}$ . At late times, when the dark energy overtakes the matter energy i.e.  $\rho_{eff}=\rho_\phi$ , we have  $\omega\phi(t)-\phi_i=4M_p\sqrt{\beta}(t+\alpha)^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and the potential is obtained as

$$V(\phi)=\frac{256M_p^3\beta^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(\omega\phi-\phi_i)^2}\left[3\beta-\frac{8(M_p\sqrt{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\omega\phi-\phi_i}}\right]. \tag{34}$$

### Potential for Tachyonic Field

We consider an action describing a general tachyon field  $\phi$  as

$$S=-\int d^4x V(\phi)\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu}+\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi)}, \tag{35}$$

Where  $V(\phi)$  is the potential function for the tachyon field. In the flat FRW background the energy density  $\rho_\phi$  and pressure  $p_\phi$  of the tachyon field can be written as

$$\rho_\phi=\frac{V(\phi)}{\sqrt{1-\dot{\phi}^2}}, \tag{36}$$

$$p_\phi=-V(\phi)\sqrt{1-\dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{37}$$

Here also, we consider two fluid (tachyons and matter) models. If we assume the minimal interaction between matter field and tachyon field and making use of the Friedmann equations (9) and (10) along with the perfect fluid equation of state, we obtain the tachyonic potential as

$$V(\phi)=\sqrt{-w_{eff}\rho_{eff}(\rho_{eff}-\rho_0a^{-3})} \tag{38}$$

And

$$\phi(t)-\phi_i=\int\sqrt{\frac{(1+w_{eff})\rho_{eff}-\rho_0a^{-3}}{(\rho_{eff}-\rho_0a^{-3})}}dt, \phi_i \text{ is an integrating constant.} \tag{39}$$

As in the case of quintessence and phantom fields, we can obtain the tachyon potential  $V(\phi)$  and the tachyon field  $\phi(t)$  using the relation (38) and (39) for any parametrization of any

cosmological parameter  $a(t)$ ,  $q(t)$ ,  $H(t)$  where the quantities  $\rho_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $w_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $a$  can easily be obtained using equations (9) and (16) (or (17)).

Tachyonic potential for power law cosmology [58]  $a(t)=\beta t^n$ , is obtained as

$$V(\phi)=M_p \sqrt{\frac{(3n^2-2n)}{t^2} \left( \frac{3n^2 M_p^2}{t^2} \frac{\rho_0}{\beta^3 t^{3n}} \right)} \quad (40)$$

Together with

$$\phi(t)-\phi_i = \int \left[ \left( \frac{2nM_p^2}{t^2} \frac{\rho_0}{\beta^3 t^{3n}} \right) \left( \frac{3n^2 M_p^2}{t^2} \frac{\rho_0}{\beta^3 t^{3n}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \quad (41)$$

At late times, when  $\rho_{\text{eff}}=\rho_\phi$ , we have  $\phi-\phi_i=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3n}}t$  and the potential

$$V(\phi)=2M_p^2 \sqrt{n^2 \frac{2}{3} n} \frac{1}{(\phi-\phi_i)^2}. \quad (42)$$

Tachyonic potential for Berman's model of constant deceleration parameter [56]  $q(t)=m-1$ , is given by

$$V(\phi)=\frac{\sqrt{3-2m}M_p}{(q_0+mt)} \sqrt{\frac{3M_p^2}{(q_0+mt)^2} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 (q_0+mt)^{\frac{3}{m}}}} \quad (43)$$

Together with

$$\phi(t)-\phi_i = \int \left[ \left( \frac{2mM_p^2}{(q_0+mt)^2} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 (q_0+mt)^{\frac{3}{m}}} \right) \left( \frac{3M_p^2}{(q_0+mt)^2} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 (q_0+mt)^{\frac{3}{m}}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \quad (44)$$

At late times, when  $\rho_{\text{eff}}=\rho_\phi$ , we have  $\phi-\phi_i=\sqrt{\frac{2m}{3}}t$  and the potential is given as

$$V(\phi)=\frac{\sqrt{3(3-2m)}M_p^2}{\left\{ q_0 + \sqrt{\frac{3m}{2}}(\phi-\phi_i) \right\}^2} \quad (45)$$

Similarly, the potential for LVDP model [57]  $q(t)=-2\alpha t+\beta-1$ , is given by

$$V(t)=\sqrt{3}M_p^2 \frac{\sqrt{3+2(2\alpha t-\beta)}}{(q_0+\beta t-\alpha t^2)^2}, \quad (46)$$

Where  $t$  is to be eliminated from  $\phi(t)=\phi_i-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{9\alpha}(\beta-2\alpha t)^{3/2}$ .

Tachyonic potential for the  $H(t)$  parametrized model [49]

$H(t)=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{t+\alpha}}$  (Model-VI in [49]) is obtained as

$$V(\phi)=M_p \sqrt{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{(3\beta\sqrt{t+\alpha}-1)}{(t+\alpha)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left( \frac{3\beta^2 M_p^2}{t+\alpha} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 \exp(6\beta\sqrt{t+\alpha})} \right)} \quad (47)$$

Together with

$$\phi(t)-\phi_i = \int \left[ \left( \frac{\beta M_p^2}{(t+\alpha)^{3/2}} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 \exp(6\beta\sqrt{t+\alpha})} \right) \left( \frac{3\beta^2 M_p^2}{t+\alpha} \frac{\rho_0}{a_0^3 \exp(6\beta\sqrt{t+\alpha})} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \quad (48)$$

At late times, when  $\rho_{\text{eff}}=\rho_\phi$ , we have  $\phi-\phi_i=\frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}\beta}(t+\alpha)^{3/4}$  and the potential is given as

$$V(\phi)=\frac{8}{9} \frac{1}{2^{1/3}} \frac{1}{\beta^{1/6}} M_p^2 \left[ \frac{9\beta^{4/3}}{(\phi-\phi_i)^{8/3}} \frac{2^{2/3}}{(\phi-\phi_i)^{10/3}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (49)$$

Following the same procedure, scalar field potentials can be constructed either explicitly or implicitly for any cosmological parametrization.

## Conclusion

In this paper, we considered models based upon a specific scheme of parametrization. We have constructed the scalar field potentials in  $q(t)$ ,  $a(t)$  and  $H(t)$  parametrized models for quintessence, phantom and tachyonic fields in the FRW framework. In case of constant deceleration parameter or power law cosmology, the scalar field potential reduces to exponential form as expected. In case of tachyon field, the potential corresponding to scaling solution is provided by inverse power law,  $V(\phi)\sim\phi^{-2}$  as noted earlier. For a specific model (model-VI in [49]) resulting from a parametrization of  $H$ , the potential  $V(\phi)\sim[V_1(\phi)+V_1(\phi)]$  where  $V_1(\phi)\sim\phi^{-1}$  and  $V_1(\phi)\sim\phi^{-3/2}$  for (non) phantom case and  $V(\phi)\sim[V_3(\phi)+V_4(\phi)]$  where  $V_3(\phi)\sim\phi^{-8/3}$  and  $V_1(\phi)\sim\phi^{-10/3}$  in case of tachyon. Similarly, we can also constructed the scalar field potentials for all other  $H(t)$  parametrized models obtained in [49]. The potentials for the linearly varying deceleration parameter model have also been obtained for both (non) phantom and tachyonic fields as implicit functions of  $\phi$  and  $t$ . In principle, for any scheme of parametrization of  $a(t)$ ,  $q(t)$ ,  $H(t)$ ,  $w(t)$ ,  $\rho(t)$ , the scalar field potentials for quintessence, phantom and tachyonic fields can be constructed.

## Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank M. Sami for his useful comments and suggestions throughout the work. The authors also thank to S. D. Odintsov for his valuable comments. Author SKJP wishes to thank National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM), Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), Government of India for financial support through post doctoral research fellowship.

## Conflict of Interest

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Perlmutter S, Aldering G, Goldhaber G, Knop RA, Nugent P, et al. (1999) Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae. The Astronomical Journal 517: 565-586.

2. Riess G, Filippenko AV, Challis P, Clocchiattia A, Diercks V et al. (1998) Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant. *The Astronomical Journal* 116(3): 1009-1038.
3. Riess G, Filippenko AV, Liu MC, Challis P, Clocchiattia A, et al. (2000) Tests of the Accelerating Universe with Near-Infrared Observations of a High-Redshift Type Ia Supernova. *The Astronomical Journal* 536(1): 62-67.
4. Riess G, Strolger LG, Casertano S, Ferguson HC, Mobasher B, et al. (2007) New Hubble Space Telescope Discoveries of Type Ia Supernovae at  $z > 1$ : Narrowing Constraints on the Early Behavior of Dark Energy. *The Astrophysical Journal* 659(1): 98-121.
5. Weinberg DH, Mortonson MJ, Eisenstein DJ, Hirata C, Riess AG, et al. (2013) Observational probes of cosmic acceleration. *Physics Reports* 530(2): 87-225.
6. Astier P, Guy J, Regnault N, Pain R, Aubourg E, et al. (2006) The Supernova Legacy Survey: measurement of  $\Omega_M$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda$  and  $w$  from the first year data set. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 447(1): 31-48.
7. Amanullah R, Lidman C, Rubin D, Aldering G, Astier P, et al. (2010) Spectra and Hubble Space Telescope Light Curves of Six Type Ia Supernovae at  $0.511 < z < 1.12$  and the Union2 Compilation. *The Astrophysical Journal* 716(1): 712-738.
8. Rubin D, Knop RA, Rykoff E, Aldering G, Amanullah R, et al. (2013) Precision Measurement of The Most Distant Spectroscopically Confirmed Supernova Ia with the Hubble Space Telescope. *The Astrophysical Journal* 763(1): 1-10.
9. Copeland EJ, Sami M, Tsujikawa S (2006) Dynamics of Dark Energy. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 15(11): 1753-1935.
10. Sami M (2009) Dark energy and possible alternatives. Cornell University Library, USA, p. 1-12.
11. Sami M (2009) A primer on problems and prospects of dark energy. Cornell University Library, USA, p. 1-23.
12. Sami M (2014) Why is Universe so dark? Cornell University Library, USA.
13. Hossain W, Myrzakulov R, Sami M, Saridakis EN (2015) Unification of inflation and dark energy à la quintessential inflation. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 24(5): 1-53.
14. Sami M, Myrzakulov R (2016) Late-time cosmic acceleration: ABCD of dark energy and modified theories of gravity. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 25(12): 1-49.
15. Yoo J, Watanabe Y (2012) Theoretical Models of Dark Energy. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 21(2): 1-53.
16. Bamba K, Capozziello S, Nojiri S, Odintsov SD (2012) Dark energy cosmology: the equivalent description via different theoretical models and cosmography tests. *Astrophysics and Space Science* 342(1): 155-228.
17. Ratra B, Peebles PJE (1988) Cosmological consequences of a rolling homogeneous scalar field. *Physical Review D* 37(12).
18. Caldwell RR, Dave R, Steinhardt PJ (1998) Cosmological Imprint of an Energy Component with General Equation of State. *Physical Review Letters* 80(8): 1582-1585.
19. Sahni V, Sami M, Souradeep T (2002) Relic gravity waves from braneworld inflation. *Physical Review D* 65(2).
20. Sami M, Padmanabhan T (2003) Viable cosmology with a scalar field coupled to the trace of the stress tensor. *Physical Review D* 67(8).
21. Parker L, Raval A (1999) Nonperturbative effects of vacuum energy on the recent expansion of the universe. *Physical Review D* 60(6).
22. Sahni V, Starobinsky A (2000) The Case for a Positive Cosmological  $\Lambda$ -Term. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 9(4): 373-443.
23. Nojiri S, Odintsov SD (2003) Quantum de Sitter cosmology and phantom matter. *Physics Letters B* 562(3-4): 147-152.
24. Singh P, Sami M, Dadhich N (2003) Cosmological dynamics of a phantom field. *Physical Review D* 68(2).
25. Sami M, Toporensky A (2004) Phantom Field and the Fate of the Universe. *Modern Physics Letters A* 19(20): 1509-1517.
26. Astashenok V, Nojiri S, Odintsov SD, Yurov AV (2012) Phantom cosmology without Big Rip singularity. *Physics Letters B* 709(4-5): 396-403.
27. Elizalde E, Nojiri S, Odintsov SD (2004) Late-time cosmology in a (phantom) scalar-tensor theory: Dark energy and the cosmic speed-up. *Physical Review D* 70(4).
28. Feng B, Wang XL, Zhang XM (2005) Dark energy constraints from the cosmic age and supernova. *Physics Letters B* 607(1-2): 35-41.
29. Guo ZK, Pio YS, Zhang YZ, Zhang XM (2005) Cosmological evolution of a quintom model of dark energy. *Physics Letters B* 608(3-4): 177-182.
30. Setare MR, Sadeghi J, Amani AR (2008) Shape invariance method for quintom model in the bent brane background. *Physics Letters B* 660(4): 299-306.
31. Setare MR, Saridakis EN, Cosmol J (2008) The quintom model with  $O(N)$  symmetry. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 9.
32. Armendariz-Picon C, Damour T, Mukhanov V (1999)  $k$ -Inflation. *Physics Letters B* 58(2-3): 209-218.
33. Chiba T, Okabe T, Yamaguchi M (2000) Kinetically driven quintessence. *Physical Review D* 62(2).
34. Sen A (2002) Tachyon Matter. *Journal of High Energy Physics* 65: 1-13.
35. Padmanabhan T (2002) Accelerated expansion of the universe driven by tachyonic matter. *Physical Review D* 66(2).
36. Copeland J, Garousi MR, Sami M, Tsujikawa S (2005) What is needed of a tachyon if it is to be the dark energy? *Physical Review D* 71(4).
37. Garousi MR, Sami M, Tsujikawa S (2005) Constraints on Dirac-Born-Infeld type dark energy models from varying alpha. *Physical Review D* 71(8).
38. Panda S, Sami M, Tsujikawa S (2006) Inflation and dark energy arising from geometrical tachyons. *Physical Review D* 73(2).
39. Ali A, Sami M, Sen AA (2009) Transient and late time attractor tachyon dark energy: Can we distinguish it from quintessence? *Physical Review D* 79(12).
40. Nicolis A, Rattazzi R, Trincherini E (2009) Galileon as a local modification of gravity. *Physical Review D* 79(6).
41. Jamil M, Momeni D, Myrzakulov R (2013) Observational constraints on non-minimally coupled Galileon model. *The European Physical Journal C* 73: 2347.



42. De Felice A, Tsujikawa S (2012) Cosmological constraints on extended Galileon models. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 03: 025.
43. Ali A, Gannouji R, Sami M (2010) Modified gravity à la Galileon: Late time cosmic acceleration and observational constraints. *Physical Review D* 82(10).
44. Shahalam M, Pacif SKJ, Myrzakulov R (2016) Galileons, phantom fields, and the fate of the Universe. *The European Physical Journal C* 76: 410.
45. Myrzakulov R, Shahalam M (2015) Light mass galileon and late time acceleration of the Universe. *General Relativity and Gravitation* 47: 81.
46. Khoury J, Weltman A (2004) Chameleon Fields: Awaiting Surprises for Tests of Gravity in Space. *Physical Review Letters* 93(17).
47. Brax P, Van de Bruck C, Davis AC, Khoury J, Weltman A (2004) Detecting Dark Energy in Orbit: the Cosmological Chameleon. *Physical Review D* 70(12).
48. Sttar A, Prajapati SR (2011) An Exact Chameleon Cosmological Model Unifying Phantom Inflation with Late-Time Acceleration. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 50(8): 2355-2365.
49. Pacif SKJ, Myrzakulov R, Myrzakul S (2017) Reconstruction of cosmic history from a simple parametrization of  $H$ . *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* 14(7).
50. Sami M (2003) Implementing Power Law Inflation with Tachyon Rolling On the Brane. *Modern Physics Letters A* 18(10): 691-697.
51. Nojiri S, Odintsov SD (2006) Unifying phantom inflation with late-time acceleration: scalar phantom–non-phantom transition model and generalized holographic dark energy. *General Relativity and Gravitation* 38(8): 1285-1304.
52. Capozziello S, Nojiri S, Odintsov SD (2006) Unified phantom cosmology: Inflation, dark energy and dark matter under the same standard. *Physics Letters B* 632(5-6): 597-604.
53. Li C, Holz DE, Cooray A (2007) Direct reconstruction of the dark energy scalar-field potential. *Physical Review D* 75(10).
54. Andrianov AA, Cannata F, Kamenschchik AY, Regoli D (2008) Reconstruction of scalar potentials in two-field cosmological models. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 02.
55. Chattopadhyay S, Pasqua A, Khurshudyan M (2014) New holographic reconstruction of scalar-field dark-energy models in the framework of chameleon Brans-Dicke cosmology. *The European Physical Journal C* 74(9): 3080.
56. Berman MS, Gomide FM (1998) Cosmological models with constant deceleration parameter. *General Relativity and Gravitation* 20(2): 191-198.
57. Akarsu O, Dereli T (2012) Cosmological Models with Linearly Varying Deceleration Parameter. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 51(2): 612-621.
58. Lohiya D, Sethi M (1999) A programme for a problem-free cosmology within the framework of a rich class of scalar-tensor theories. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 16(5).