Uterine Sparing Techniques in Placenta Accreta

Placenta Accreta (PA) is a major challenge facing obstetricians nowadays owing to increased incidence of PA parallel to increased Caesarean Section rates. Although the age of patients with PA getting younger and fertility preservation is required, there is no definite planned management method widely accepted except for hysterectomy. Uterine sparing techniques were developed to prevent poor sequelae of hysterectomy but neither one methods proved to be superior to the other nor got wide acceptance by obstetricians and hysterectomy remains the most popular and accepted method for PA management as it minimize maternal morbidity and mortality. The aim of this review is to put the light on Uterine Sparing techniques aiming to preserve future fertility and, minimizing the poor sequelae of hysterectomy.
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Introduction

Placenta accreta become the most serious problems during third stage of delivery and some obstetricians call it “Obstetricians Nightmare” [1]. PA leads to massive intractable hemorrhage with loss of about 3-5 liters of blood, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, adult respiratory distress syndrome, massive blood transfusion, electrolyte imbalance, and renal failure [2].

Although hysterectomy in this situation is a life saving procedure but it has major complications like injury to the ureters, bladder, bowel, or neurovascular structures, and permanent loss of fertility with its psychological insult. Placenta accreta accounts for (38%) of peripartum hysterectomy [3]. Maternal mortality may occur despite adequate planning, disciplinary management and transfusion management [4]. As fertility preservation is required in many cases due to their young age and uterine preservation is directly related to psychology, feminity and self esteem of female, obstetricians developed many conservative approaches in management of PA [5].

Incidence of placenta accreta

The incidence of accrete syndromes has increased remarkably, in direct relationship to the increasing cesarean delivery rate. The incidence of placenta accreta was estimated in the 1980s to be 1 in 2500 deliveries; in 2012 the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists stated the incidence to be as high as 1 in 533 deliveries. Currently, the rate is higher [3,6].

Diagnosis of placenta accreta

Antenatal diagnosis of placenta accreta is crucial for the best management as multidisciplinary planning minimize potential maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. Diagnosis is usually made by ultrasonography and sometimes completed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Ultrasonography: Grayscale transvaginal or transabdominal ultrasonography could be used with a sensitivity of 77-87%, specificity of 96–98%, a positive predictive value of 65-93%, and a negative predictive value of 98% [7,8].

The ultrasonographic features suggestive of placenta accreta include multiple irregular placental lacunae with a “moth-eaten” or “Swiss cheese” appearance of placenta, thinning of the myometrium overlying the placenta, loss of the retroplicental clear space, invasion of the placenta into the bladder; increased vascularity of the uterine serosa–bladder interface, and turbulent blood flow through the lacunae on Doppler velocimetry [7,9].

Magnetic Resonance Imaging: MRI is required when there are insufficient ultrasound findings or a suspicion of a posterior placenta accreta, with or without placenta previa, so MRI is considered an adjunctive method to ultrasonography and adds little to its accuracy. In 2005 a prospective study done on 300 cases showed that MRI was able to determine the anatomy of the invasion and its relation to the regional anastomotic vascular system plus detection of parametral invasion and possible ureteral involvement [10].

The American College of Radiology guidance advocated the safety of MRI practices but stated that intravenous gadolinium should be avoided during pregnancy and should be used only if absolutely essential [11].

Timing and Place of delivery

Scheduled preterm delivery is necessary and justified by many obstetricians to avoid serious adverse maternal consequences of emergency cesarean delivery [12]. It is advised to be in tertiary care hospitals for disciplinary team management and possibility of ICU need [13,14]. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (2012h) recommends individualization of the time of delivery. Many studies advocate elective delivery without fetal lung maturity testing after 34 completed weeks [15]. Recently surveys indicate that most obstetricians do not deliver PA patients until 36 weeks or later. [16,17]. At Tanta University Hospital, we
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Management of Placenta Accrete

Caesarean hysterecmy

The only recommended management of placenta accreta is planned caesarean hysterectomy with the placenta left in situ because removal of the placenta is associated with significant hemorrhagic morbidity. However, this approach might not be considered first-line treatment for women who have a strong desire for future fertility [18,19].

Uterine Sparing Approaches in management of placenta accreta

These are techniques developed to preserve uterus and future fertility which is crucially linked to societal status and self-esteem:

Conservative management: It is the expectant management by leaving placenta in situ for spontaneous resorption and autolysis. It consumes cutting cord close to the placenta and closure of uterus over placenta followed with or without methotrexate treatment [20]. The role of adjuvant methotrexate in cases of conservative management is uncertain. No large studies have compared methotrexate with or without methotrexate in the treatment of placenta accreta, and at the present time, there are no convincing data for or against the use of Methotrexate in cases of placenta accrete [21]. Follow up is made by β-HCG level and Ultrasound or MRI [22]. The time required for spontaneous resolution ranges from 4 weeks to 12 months [23], with a mean 6 months [24-26].

Conservative method require aggressive antibiotic therapy to combat infection and many adjuvant approaches to minimize bleeding like oxytocin, compression sutures, or intervention radiology methods like embolization [27].

Although some cases succeeded and placenta was expelled spontaneously, the great majority had hysterectomy either promptly or later on due to hemorrhage or infection. Infectious complications of conservative method include septic shock, sepsis, infection, endometritis, wound infection, peritonitis, pyelonephritis, vesicouterine fistula and uterine necrosis [25]. Other rare morbidities like choriocarcinoma and uterocutaneous fistula were also reported [28].

Sentilhes et al. [23] reviewed 167 cases of PA in a retrospective study performed in France between 1993 and 2007 and included 40 university hospitals and found that 78% of patients preserved the uterus with resolution occurred at a median of 13.5 weeks with a range of 4 to 60 weeks postpartum. Additional procedures were required in majority (65%) of cases, including pelvic artery embolization, hypogastric artery ligation, and uterine compression sutures. Postpartum hemorrhage occurred in (51%) and (22%) of those patients required subsequent hysterectomy. Ten patients suffered severe morbidity, including septic shock, vesicouterine fistula, and uterine necrosis [23].

Pather et al reviewed conservative management of 57 cases of placenta percreta that were diagnosed by antenatal imaging. They showed that many patients (60%) required further surgery (40% as emergent hysterectomy) and morbidities occurred in about 42% of patients including sepsis, DIC, hemorrhage, pulmonary embolism, fistula and AV malformation [29].

Hysteroscopic resection of conservatively managed placenta accreta was described to hasten resolution or treat delayed bleeding and/or pelvic pain [30,31]. Potential advantages of using a hysteroscopic approach in the uterine manipulation to confirm absence of cleavage plane, visualize tissues during resection, and reduce risk of adhesion formation [32].

Intervention radiology methods: In these methods either Balloon-tipped catheters are placed in a retrograde fashion through the femoral arteries immediately before surgery and be inflated during the dissection in attempt to decrease the morbidity associated with placenta accrete or intervention radiologist is preoperatively place a femoral catheter with selective embolization of the uterine vessels at the time of delivery [33,34].

Current evidence is insufficient to make a firm recommendation on the use of balloon catheter occlusion or embolization to reduce blood loss and improve surgical outcome.

Local resection of placental implantation site: Placenta accreta and placenta increta can be safely and successfully treated, in some well-selected cases, by resection of the placental implantation site and repair of uterine defect. This method provides immediate therapy, reduces blood loss and preserves fertility. Local resection seems to be associated with fewer complications within 24 hours postoperatively compared with hysterectomy or leaving the placenta in situ [37].

The Triple-P procedure involves perioperative placental localization and delivery of the fetus via transverse uterine incision above the upper border of the placenta; pelvic devascularization; and placental non-separation with myometrial excision and reconstruction of the uterine wall. It is considered a safe and effective alternative to conservative management or peripartum hysterectomy [38].

The authors of Triple-P procedure reported remarkably low blood loss, ranging from 800 to 1500 mL per patient. A follow up cohort study by the same group showed reduction in estimated blood loss, the need for delayed hysterectomy, and length of hospital stay when compared to leaving the placenta in situ plus arterial occlusion [39]. The potential benefit of Triple-P procedure may be minimizing the surgical dissection necessary to do adequate hemostasis while removing all or most of the placenta. The authors state that Triple-P procedure is also beneficial in case of lateral extension of placenta percreta into the broad ligaments, or deep infiltration into the cervix or the ureters [40].

Systematic pelvic devascularization

Uterine artery ligation (UAL)

Uterine artery ligation is crucial step for success of uterine preservation in many patients, with a total success rate of 78.9%. The first reports of bilateral UAL were published by Waters [41]
A new study done at Assiut University Hospitals (Egypt) comparing bilateral uterine artery ligation versus a new compression suture of lower uterine segment with shorter operative time and less blood loss in group of bilateral uterine artery ligation [44]. Another new study done at Tanta University Hospitals (Egypt) which reported 100% success of double uterine artery ligation in management of PA [45].

Uterine and Ovarian arteries ligation

Salvat et al. [46] stated that stepwise procedure with progressive ligation of the uterine and ovarian arteries is a good solution with 100% success compared to bilateral ligation of the hypogastrics which provided success in only 66% of cases. Gungor et al. [47] reported a 100% success rate for a stepwise procedure with progressive ligation of the uterine and ovarian arteries and the authors recommended uterine artery ligation and high ligation of ovarian artery to be first applied instead of implementing internal iliac ligation first, because ligation of internal iliac arteries is less effective and technically more difficult to carry out.

Internal Iliac artery ligation

Bilateral internal iliac artery ligation (IIAL) was the first choice in 23 cases and the procedure failed in 11 cases, so in our study the success rate was 52% [47]. Boynukalin et al. [48] conducted a study on A total of 26 cases required hypogastric artery ligation due to intractable postpartum hemorrhage including uterine atony, placental abruption, uterine rupture, and placenta accreta. Hemorrhage was effectively controlled in 20 of 26 cases (76.9%) and hysterectomy was avoided. Iliac vein injury occurred in one patient (3.8%) as an operative complication. 48 reported effectiveness of IIAL in avoiding hysterectomy in up to 50% of cases. Joshi et al. [49] told about 88 therapeutic IIAL for postpartum hemorrhage and 33 of 84 women failed to arrest hemorrhage. They concluded that bilateral ligation of the internal iliac arteries does not result in complete blockage of blood supply to the female pelvic organs but contributes to significant decrease in blood loss. They concluded also that IIAL is useful in the treatment and prevention of postpartum hemorrhage from any cause. Early resort to IIAL effectively prevents hysterectomy in women with apenic PPH [49].

Keleci et al. [50] performed bilateral ligation of the 2 hypogastric arteries and utero-ovarian anastomosis branches were also ligated. The clamped cord was released and hydrodissection was performed by heated saline at 37°C. If placenta was not sufficiently dissected from the uterus, placental curettage or excision was performed. After hemostasis is secured, a balloon of 3-ways 20F Foley catheter was inflated by 80 cc saline and placed into the intrauterine cavity and they reported success in 11 cases with preservation of their uteri [50].

Many authors advocate its routine ligation in placenta accreta [51]. Others reported no value for its ligation [52]. On the other hand some authors reported severe complications such as glutal necrosis, bladder necrosis, uterine gangrene and occasionally leg ischemia if external iliac artery is ligated by mistake [53-56].

Compression suture

The principle is mainly the compression of the uterine body, and is basically the same for all types of compression sutures. The main differences being the figure at which the suture is applied, the numbers of longitudinal and/or transverse sutures used, and whether or not the uterine cavity is penetrated. The success rate for uterine compression sutures ranging from 68% to 100% with an overall success rate of 92% [57].

a) Vertical sutures

Hwu et al. [58] conducted a study on fourteen women with placenta praevia (including one who also had placenta accreta) undergoing caesarean section had massive bleeding after removal of the placenta. In order to preserve the uterus, two parallel vertical compression sutures were placed in the lower segment to compress the anterior and posterior walls of the lower uterine segment. The haemorrhage from the lower segment stopped immediately after the knots were tightened. All women later resumed normal menstrual flow with no apparent complications. This parallel vertical compression suturing technique is simple, easy and effective for controlling bleeding in women with placenta praevia or accreta. The authors suggest that this technique should be tried first before other more complex procedures are undertaken [58].

Maldon et al. [59] also tried compression sutures as ‘double vertical compression sutures’ because it has dual actions: haemostatic compression of the bleeding surface and reduced uterine blood flow [59].

b) Transverse B-lynch sutures

Transverse B-Lynch sutures are also effective in controlling bleeding from placenta accreta cases. The new modification of B Lynch by making horizontal sutures passed in a vascular area in the broad ligament make more tension around and pressure in the lower segment so the technique easily applied to placenta previa and accreta [60].

c) Other sutures

i. Cho suture which is a haemostatic multiple square suture to approximate the anterior and posterior uterine wall [61].

ii. Hayman suture which involved two vertical apposition sutures together with two transverse horizontal cervico-isthmic sutures [62]. Pereira suture was reported in 2005, which consisted of longitudinal and transverse sutures applied with superficial intramyometrial bites only [63].

iii. Bhal suture which entailed two sutures instead of one, with the knots tied in the anterior-inferior margin of the lower uterine segment, without any difference in the compression effects compared to the original B-Lynch suture [64].

iv. El Shazly suture consists of continuous 8 compression suture with anterior and posterior bites. They resorted to this suture after failure of uterine artery ligation to stop bleeding in placenta accreta cases [44].


1952 and O’Leary in 1966 [42]. The reported success rate varies from 80% to 96% [43].
v. Modified suture: An observational study was conducted in China, among patients with placenta previa centralis (with or without placenta accreta). During surgery, a Foley catheter balloon containing 60-120 mL of water was used to compress the hemorrhage site and an absorbable suture was placed around the lower uterus segment to provide extra pressure on the balloon [65].

Stepwise procedures

I. One step procedures

First developed by Placios et al. [66] and include pfanesteil incision ligation of newly formed vessels then dissection of posterior bladder wall, hysterotomy is done above placental margin, the entire placenta and invaded myometrium are removed in one surgical piece. In this way, uterine reconstruction is performed using healthy tissues [66].

The uterine hemostasis is performed by a pair of square stitches following the technique. With the aim of preventing hematometra, a Hegar’s bougy dilator no.10 is placed in the uterine cervix, which will move towards one of the sides. In this manner the application of a square stitch is easier, and the potential for accidental closure of the internal cervical os is minimized. Then repair of uterus in two layers. It is somewhat difficult to apply in all cases, time consuming and there are reports of intrauterine synechia following this procedure [66].

II. Two step procedure

Include leaving placenta in situ plus methotrexate injection at the operative time and follow up by weekly β-HCG level and MRI. The second step is delayed hysterectomy (Interval hysterectomy) after 6 weeks conservation with minimal blood loss. It had the complications of both conservative treatment and hysterectomy so it is not recommended [24,67]. Afia et al. [68] conducted a study to determine the interval of hysterectomy compared to immediate caesarean hysterectomy. They found less blood loss, less visceral injuries, and better dissection in the group of delayed hysterectomy [68].

II. Shehata’s simple procedures

It includes three simple procedures without major vessel ligations, the first step was double ligation of uterine artery on both sides before and after placental removal, the second step was suturing the placental bed by two quadruple sutures and the third step was triple way Foley’s catheter insertion through the cervix and inflation to 50 cc saline to compress lower segment and drain bleeding [45].

Conclusion

Conservative treatment in management of placenta accreta had changed greatly from the conventional leaving placenta in situ which had a lot of complications to uterine sparing techniques that have less operative time and lesser complications. These techniques are based on either resection of placental endometrial implantation site or compression sutures with pelvic devascularization or combination of both. Adjuvant procedures as Foley’s catheter insertion or methotrexate administration may be required. Uterine sparing techniques are getting world wide acceptance and applicability. Researches on these techniques are going up every day till become standardized in management of placenta accreta.

References


41. Waters EG (1952) Surgical management of postpartum hemorrhage with particular reference to ligation of uterine arteries. AJOG 64(5): 1143-1148.


