Internal Hernias: Unusual Causes of Small Bowel Obstruction, About 11 Cases

Abstract

Internal hernias are rare and develop when one or more viscera protrude through an intraperitoneal orifice while remaining within the peritoneal cavity. The clinical diagnosis is always difficult and leads to an urgent operation for intestinal obstruction. The treatment is often simple and the results are generally excellent [1]. In the literature, some case reports have been described, but rarely series [1,2]. The aim of our study is to report 11 cases of internal hernia representing different anatomical types. Of the 11 cases presently reported, the sex ratio showed a male prevalence with a mean age of 39 years. Symptomatology was totally non specific and the preoperative diagnosis was possible only for tow cases by scan imaging.

All patients were explored urgently: 7 were hernias through a paranormal orifice (3 left and 2 right par duodenal, 1 intra-mesosigmoidal and 1 retrocecal), 4 were hernias through a pathologic orifice: 2 trans-mesenteric, 1 in the posterior cavity through a colo-omental disinsertion hole and 1 trans-mental. Reduction of herniated viscera was simple, by gentle traction for nine patients, but requiring dilatation of the hernial orifice with resection of the ischemic small bowel in 2 cases. So, internal hernias remain a rare cause of consultation on emergency. It must be evoked in patients with no medical history and consulting for acute intestinal obstruction. The CT scan suggests often the diagnosis that is confirmed at surgical exploration. The treatment is always surgery.
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Introduction

Internal hernia is defined as the protrusion of an abdominal organ through a peritoneal or mesenteric aperture. It is a rare cause of small bowel obstruction. The incidence of these hernias is estimated at between 0, 2 and 2% of abdominal hernias, and 0.2% to 0.9% of autopsies [1,2]. It can be primitive or secondary to an abdominal intervention. Internal hernias are classified based on the location of the potential defect [3]. The aim of our study is to report 11 cases of primitive internal hernia, representing different anatomical types.

Patients and Methods

Eleven patients of a mean age of 39 years (range 19-71) were admitted, on emergency, to our department of Visceral surgery, at HASSAN II university hospital of FEZ (Morocco), during a period of five years (from 2012 to 2016). We reported 7 males and 4 females. All patients denied any surgery or intra-abdominal inflammatory process. We reviewed the patient’s records, imaging modalities and operative findings of these cases. All patients were operated.

Results

Incidence of these hernias in our series being 0.15% (11 of 7241 cases) of laparotomies, 1,17% (11 of 935 cases) of parietal hernias and 1,4% (11 of 790 cases) of bowel obstruction. The sex ratio showed a male prevalence (7males/4 females), age distribution demonstrating the onset of internal hernias at all ages with a preference for the 4th decade and a mean age of 39 years. All patients consulted on emergency (Table 1). shows the presenting symptoms of our patients. All patients were symptomatic: nine (81,82%) presented a clinical picture of small bowel obstruction, two of peritonitis.

Table 1: The presenting symptoms of the eleven patients of our series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms At Presentation</th>
<th>Number of Patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute abdominal obstruction</td>
<td>n=9 (81,82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>n=11 (100 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>n=9 (81,82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>n=8 (72,73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis</td>
<td>n=2 (18,2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis</td>
<td>n=6 (54,55%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other abdominal symptoms were found: abdominal pain (n=11), nausea (n=8), vomiting (n=9). The interval between the beginning of symptoms and hospitalization ranged from 24 to 72 hours (mean: 48 hours). The physical exam revealed abdominal distention in 6 patients (54, 55%), abdominal tenderness in 2 patients (18, 2%), and peritonitis in tow patients (18, 2%).
Abdominal X-ray showed air fluid levels in nine patients (81, 82%). Ultra sonography examination showed peritoneal fluid in five patients. CT scan was done in seven patients on emergency. It suggested non specific internal herniation in five patients. In the other two patients, it suggested a specific internal herniation: a right paraduodenal hernia in two cases (Figure 1).

All the patients were explored urgently by midline incisions. The different types of hernia found were through: Paranormal orifice: 3 left paraduodenal (Figures 2, 3a&3b), 2 right paraduodenal, 1 intra-mesosigmoidal and 1 retrocecal. Abnormal pathologic orifice: 2 trans-mesenteric (Figures 4a-4c), 1 in the posterior cavity through a colo-omental disinsertion hole (Figure 5) and 1 trans-omental.

The Reduction of the herniated viscera was never a problem but a bowel necrosis was found in two cases, which required dilatation of the hernial orifice and resection of 1, 25 m and 60 cm of small bowel with immediate restoration of continuity. The closure of peritoneal fossae or an abnormal orifice was done easily with a resorbable suture (Table 2).

We had no death in our patients. Two cases of wound infection were reported classified Grade I in the Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications. These patients were treated by antibiotics and had local treatment. The length of hospital stay was 8 days (3-11 days).

In the follow-up, there was no recurrence.

**Figure 1**: Abdominal CT scan showing right paraduodenal hernia with twisted bowel loops through it.

**Figure 3a**: Left paraduodenal hernia, sac opened and the bowel reduced from it.

**Figure 3b**: Closure of a Left paraduodenal hernia’s sac.

**Figure 2**: Left paraduodenal hernia, sac opened and the bowel reduced from it.

**Figure 4a**: Trans mesenteric hernia with bowel necrosis.

**Figure 4b**: The Trans mesenteric orifice and the bowel reduced from it.
Figure 4c: Closure of a trans mesenteric hernia’s orifice, after the resection of bowel necrosis and immediate restoration of continuity.

Figure 5: The Orifice of a Trans mesocolic hernia.

Table 2: Surgical findings and specific surgical treatment of each type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Primitive Hernia</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left para duodenal hernia (Figures 2, 3a, 3b)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Closure of the defect, small bowel resection of one cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right para duodenal hernia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Closure of the defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter mesosigmoidal hernia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reduction of small bowel, closure of the defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retro cecal hernia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reduction of small bowel, closure of the defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans mesenteric hernia (Figures 4a-4c)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Closure of the defect, small bowel resection of one cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans mesocolic hernia (Figure 5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reduction of small bowel, closure of the defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans omental hernia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Resection of the primitive adhesion, Reduction of small bowel, closure of the defect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Internal abdominal hernias develop when one or more viscera extrude through an intraperitoneal orifice but remain within the peritoneal cavity. The orifice may be normal (Winslow’s foramen) or paranormal (peritoneal fossae: paraduodenal, ileocecal, intermesosigmoidal, paracolic, and fossae of the large ligament of uterus). All these hernias possess a sac and are true hernias. The orifice may also be abnormal: pathologic origin if formed in a mesentery or an omentum (trans-mesenteric, trans-mesocolic, trans-omental, by colo-omental disinsertion) or in the form of an anomalous orifice if it occurs in a congenital anomaly of a ligament (falciform ligament of liver) or a mesentery (mesentery of Meckel’s diverticulum): all these hernias lack a sac and are “internal prolapses or procidentia” [1,2].

Incidence of these hernias reported in the literature is between 0.2 and 0.9% of autopsies and 0.2 and 2% of parietal hernias, findings in our series being 0.15% (11 of 7241 cases) of laparotomies and 1.17% (11 of 935 cases) of parietal hernias.

As traditionally described by Meyers [4] and according to the literature on internal hernia of 1871 cases described in the accident or near accident, 160 (8.55%) were hernias through Winslow’s foramen, 1035 (55.31%) through a para-normal orifice (para-duodenal hernias are the most frequent, then the retrocecal, and only 5% of intra-sigmoidal or pararectal hernia). And 676 (36.1%) through an abnormal orifice (pathologic and anomalous) [1,2].

Some series reporting that the primitive internal hernias have no sex predilection and the age distribution demonstrating the onset of internal hernias at all ages with a preference for the 5th decade and a mean age of 46 years [1,5]. But our series reflected a male prevalence with: 7 males / 4 females.

Clinically, it presents from intermittent and mild digestive complaints to acute intestinal obstruction. Sometimes, this condition may be silent if spontaneously or easily reducible. Majority often present with epigastric discomfort, periumbilical pain, and recurrent episodes of intestinal obstruction features eg. Nausea.

Vomiting, tenderness, abnormal bowel sounds, palpable mass. Internal hernias are clinically apparent only when strangulation +/- ischaemia results [1,5]. Symptom severity relates to the duration and reducibility of the hernia and the presence or absence of incarceration and strangulation [5].

Imaging studies often play an important role in the diagnosis of internal hernias because of the non specificity of clinical signs.
CT is the first-line imaging technique in these patients because of its availability, speed, and multiplanar reformatting capabilities. CT could show the hernia sac and its anatomic relationship to the surrounding organs and vasculature. It can also find mesenteric vessel abnormalities, with engorgement, crowding, twisting, and stretching of these vessels commonly found and providing an important clue to the underlying diagnosis [6-8]. In our series, it showed no specific signs suggesting internal hernia in five cases, but in the other two cases, it confirmed the exact type of the hernia.

The treatment of these herniations depends on the surgical findings. In the absence of necrosis, it consists of a reduction of the hernia, with closure of the defect when possible. The reduction of the hernia is generally not a problem, except through the foramen of Winslow where the reduction must be bimanual and atraumatic [9,10]. Closure of a sac is easy when one is present, or closure of an abnormal or paranormal orifice; a problem one may face is, what to do with a normal orifice such as the foramen of Winslow : most authors don’t close it because of the risk of portal vein thrombosis [1,9], perhaps the greater omentum should be used. This procedure can be done, depending on the patients and the experience of the surgeon, by laparoscopy or laparotomy [3,5].

Approximately 50% of the patients developed morbidity after surgical procedures. Age, delayed laparotomy time (>3 days after the onset of the symptoms) and the presence of a comorbidity were related to morbidity [9-11].

**Conclusion**

An internal hernia remains a rare condition, pre operative diagnosis is practically impossible.

In the presence of intestinal obstruction or peritonitis, an urgent surgical intervention is the rule, preferably through a midline incision to allow a thorough inspection of the abdominal cavity. While treatment is often uncomplicated, the foramen of Winslow remains problematic. In the absence of peritonitis, results have been excellent and without a recurrence.
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