Synchronous double primary cancer of the penis and urinary bladder

Abstract

Multiple primary cancers (MPC) are a term used to define two distinct cancers arising from two distinct organs, in a single individual. It was Billroth who in 1889 reported the first case of multiple organ cancer and later Warren and Gates in 1932 defined the criteria for MPC’s as
1. Each cancer must be definitively malignant by histopathology,
2. They must be histologically different and
3. The possibility of metastasis among the cancers must be excluded.

Conjecture regarding the definitions of synchronous or simultaneous and metachronous cancers has been resolved, the former means two cancers discovered within 6 months of each other while the later stands for two cancers developing in an interval greater than 6 months in a single individual. We, here report a case of synchronous bladder and penile cancer in a 75 year old male. To the best of our knowledge this is only the second report of this combination of MPC, the first by Yong Soo Cho et al in 2010.

Introduction

Multiple primary cancers (MPC) are a term used to define two distinct cancers arising from two distinct organs, in a single individual. It was Billroth who in 1889 reported the first case of multiple organ cancer and later Warren and Gates in 1932 defined the criteria for MPC’s. There has previously been conjecture regarding the definitions of synchronous or simultaneous and metachronous cancers but, subsequently it has been accepted that the former means two cancers discovered within 6 months of each other while the later stands for two cancers developing in an interval greater than 6 months in a single individual. The point to be noted here being that the definitions are based on time of discovery rather than time of onset of cancers. The incidence of MPC’s has been reported to be 3-7%.

Ray et al. reported that 13.7% of patients with MPC have genito urinary cancer. Cancer of the bladder is estimated to be the ninth most common cause of cancer worldwide (357,000 cases in 2002) and the 13th most numerous cause of death from cancer (145,000 deaths). However, penile cancer incidence has been less than 1% of all male cancers and thus is extremely rare to occur as a part of MPC.

Case presentation

A 75 year old male, farmer and a heavy smoker (40 to 50 cigarettes in a day from the age of 20 yrs) was referred to our institute with the complaint of gross total painless intermittent hematuria for one month. On examination pre-pucial opening was not retractable and though meatus could be seen through pre-pucial opening. Palpation revealed that there was a growth over the glans, which the patient was unaware of (Figure 1). On CECT a 4 × 3 cm mass was present over right Postero-lateral wall (Figure 2). After completing the preoperative workup the patient was planned for penile growth biopsy and cystoscopic biopsy of bladder tumour. Dorsal slitting was done before cystoscopy and biopsy taken from bladder mass. After that biopsy was taken from the penile growth. Fine needle aspiration of the inguinal lymph nodes was also done. Bladder biopsy revealed a Low grade Transitional cell carcinoma with no muscle invasion seen on biopsy specimen. Penile growth biopsy showed a moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. FNAC (Fine needle aspiration cytology) of inguinal lymph nodes came out as non-specific reactive lymphadenitis.

The patient was then planned for a partial penectomy along with transurethral resection of the bladder tumour. The patient underwent a partial penectomy Figure 3 along with transurethral resection of the bladder tumour. The pathology results from the TURBT (Transurethral resection of bladder tumour) revealed a low grade transitional cell carcinoma, with no muscle invasion (Figures 4 & Figure 5). Penile resection specimen showed a moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma with no lympho vascular invasion with the re-sected
margin being negative for tumour (Figures 6 & Figure 7). The TNM stage of the penile and bladder tumour was T1N0M0 and T1N0M0, respectively. The final diagnosis was double primary cancer of the penis and bladder. The follow-up check Cystoscopy and CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis done six months after the operation showed no evidence of recurrence. The inguinal lymphadenopathy too subsided post-operative lyon antibiotics treatment, presumably being reactive in origin. Patient reports no lower urinary tract symptoms and has good stream and minimal post void volume.

**Discussion**

Although Warren and Gates criteria are useful, Moertel et al. has suggested a classification including multiple primary cancers and multicentric cancers to avoid confusion between the two.

1. Group I-multiple primary cancers occurring in organs with the same histology.
2. Group II-multiple primary cancers from different tissues.

Group I is further subdivided into:
a. Group A—cancers that occur in the same tissue and organ
b. Group B—cancers that are from the same tissue and different organs
Group C—cancers that occur in bilateral organs (i.e., breast, ovary, etc.).

Group III—cancers from different tissues and organs that concurrently exist with group I, forming multiple primary cancers of three or more cancers. The reason behind development of MPC has been a source of much debate with reasons ranging from family history, immunologic and genetic defects (for example, Li-Fraumeni or Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome) to prolonged exposure to carcinogens, radiation and chemotherapy for the primary cancer and the field cancerization theory suggested by Slaughter et al. in 1953.10 The concept being this theory is that when the body is exposed to carcinogens, other organs besides the organ with cancer are also exposed to the carcinogen and carry a high risk of cancer.

Penile cancer is thought to be the result of infection from human papilloma virus, phimosis, poor hygiene and cigarette smoking,11 while bladder cancer is due to schistosomiasis, chemical exposure, pelvic radiotherapy and smoking.12 The history of our patient, along with the above suggests cigarette smoking as the possible etiology. Also to be considered as a possible etiological factor in our case is his exposure to pesticides, ultraviolet radiation exposure and poor hygiene. Prior exposure to insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides or seed treatments are associated with an increased incidence of SCC.13 Therapy regarding MPC is not standardized but patient characteristics and tumor biology are the decision drivers. In general surgery with curative intent is advised if possibility of a R0 resection is there. Organ preservation is of utmost importance in penile cancer so as to provide a better quality of life to the patient along with minimal morbidity, especially when a favorable histology (stages Tis, Ta, T1; grades 1 and 2) is present as they are at a low risk for local progression and distant metastatic spread.14 In our case partial penectomy and TURBT proved to be sufficient.15

Increasing age, improved survival rates, better diagnostic techniques, changing lifestyle are suggested as the possible etiology for the rising rate of MPC.3 Causal mechanisms of multiple primary cancers include genes, the environment, treatment effects, and combinations of these three mechanisms. When researchers focus on genes as causal mechanisms, they often concentrate on cases diagnosed earlier in life (i.e., younger than age 55). Data indicate that when a person is genetically predisposed to develop cancer, he or she more often will do so earlier in life than a person who develops cancer sporadically. A study linking populations from the Utah Cancer Registry, the Genealogical Society of Utah (a database of 1 million Utah descendants), and Utah death certificates found that first-degree relatives of probands were more likely to develop various types of cancers at a younger age than controls. A significant association with the development of cancers also was linked to smoking and alcohol consumption behaviours.
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