Necessity of a tourniquet during cemented total knee replacement; let the debate continue

Introduction

The use of the pneumatic tourniquet in limb surgery was introduced by Sir Harvey Cushing in 1904. With the advent of this easier way of tourniquet application, its benefits and use were quickly popularized. Today the pneumatic tourniquet is widely used, particularly in orthopaedic surgery of the limbs inclusive of arthroplasties. Despite several randomized clinical trials the debate of the necessity of a tourniquet in total knee arthroplasty (TKA) continues and its use remains controversial. The vast majority of total knee arthroplasties in the United States are however performed using a tourniquet.1

The global prevalence of osteoarthritis of the knee is 3.8% and is expected to increase with the increasing life expectancy.2 This, together with osteoarthritis of the hip, is ranked 11th in contribution to global disability.2 Total knee arthroplasty offers a solution to improving the quality of life in these patients.3 There however remain unanswered questions with regard to several aspects of the technical details of this procedure, inclusive of the necessity of a tourniquet.4-6

The use of a tourniquet during total knee replacement (TKR) offers several benefits but also carries with it certain proven and theoretical risks. Several questions concerning tourniquet use are still up for debate inclusive of cuff pressure, timing of release, timing of inflation, impact on blood loss and tourniquet time above which complications increase.

Theoretically one of the main benefits of using a tourniquet in total knee replacement (TKR) is to facilitate a better bone-cement-implant interface, that is, cementation. This should translate to a longer implant survival, however, data regarding this is lacking. Additionally the use of a tourniquet decreases intraoperative blood loss and allows for better visualization and decreases operative time.7-9

The timing of inflation of the tourniquet, whether at the beginning of the procedure or at the time of cementation remains unanswered as well as the timing of release whether it should be done before or after wound closure. The release of the tourniquet prior to wound closure has been shown to increase intraoperative blood loss in randomized clinical trials.8,9 A meta-analysis by Rama et al also showed that early release prior to wound closure increased the intraoperative blood loss but also decreased the postoperative complication rate.11 The release of a tourniquet allows early identification of major vascular injury. The incidence of this is however very low at 0.17%.12 On the other hand unrecognized injuries have very poor outcomes inclusive of amputations.12

There are several potential risks and possible adverse outcomes with the use of a tourniquet. These risks may be neuromuscular, vascular and cutaneous and include wound complications, post operative pain, possible increased risk of symptomatic deep venous thrombosis and knee stiffness.

Neuromuscular events remain the most common adverse outcome, particularly nerve paralysis. It may arise due to ischemia or due to direct pressure and the complication rate increases with total tourniquet time.13,14 Postoperative pain is also thought to increase with tourniquet use and may affect rehabilitation, particularly knee flexion.15

Application of a tourniquet to the lower limb during TKR has several physiological effects on the cardiovascular system. The majority of these cases are performed for symptomatic relief of osteoarthritis in an aged population with comorbidities of the cardiovascular system. Exsanguination of the lower limbs results in an increase in circulating blood volume by 15-20%.16 This increased circulating blood volume in a diminished vascular tree results in a rise in the total peripheral resistance and increased work for a potentially compromised myocardium.

Release of the tourniquet results in increased vascular return and a 10% increase in limb size as a result of a reactive hyperaemia.17 The reactive hyperaemia is also accompanied by a transient increase in fibrinolysis. This translates to increase haemorrhage post release in the absence of adequate haemostasis. Rama et al suggested that maintaining the tourniquet until wound closure is achieved and adding a compressive bandage results in a tamponade effect until this transient period has passed.11

Further controversy exists with the risk of deep venous thrombosis in patients who undergo TKR with the assistance of a tourniquet. Fukuda et al and Wakankar et al both reported no increase in DVT in their study.17,18 On the other hand Abdel Salaam and Eyres reported an increase.4 For a comparison of the groups and outcome several confounders would have to be eliminated or matched inclusive of the ethnicity of the population at hand.

As it regards blood loss, there have been conflicting reports.20 Several studies have reported no difference in total blood loss with or without the use of a tourniquet.20 Others have reported increased blood loss without a tourniquet.19 There is however diversity in reporting of blood loss, while some authors have focused on calculated intraoperative blood loss others have looked at total blood loss i.e fall in haematocrit post operatively. The surgical practices as well have not been standardized and confounders exist chief amongst which is the use of drains. Li et al in their meta-analysis of fifteen studies with a total of 804 patients studies found no difference in the total blood loss with or without the use of a tourniquet.20

Currently there are no guidelines nor evidence based recommendation for the use of a tourniquet in total knee arthroplasty. Surgical practices therefore continue to vary without standardization and are mostly based on level four evidence.
Conclusion

The debate regarding the use of a tourniquet in cemented total knee arthroplasty remains unsettled. Each case should be individually assessed regarding the potential benefits and risks with tourniquet use and a decision made accordingly.
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