Unusual Clinical Presentation of Stress Fracture

Abstract
Bilateral stress fracture of fibula are very uncommon. We report an unusual case involving distal fibula in a 56-year-old woman and attributable to osteoporosis. Conservative treatment was followed by rehabilitation and the patient made a good recovery with no evidence of recurrence.
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Introduction
Stress fracture of the Fibula is a well known lesion. It is often commonly described in dansers and runners. Some of its clinical findings features could be uncommon which could lead to a delay in of the diagnosis. These fractures usually tend to evolve favorably although some complications can occur.

Case Report
A 56 year old woman, presents with bilateral algic supra malleolar tumefaction. The tumefactions appeared spontaneously and at the same time three months before. The patient did not have a history of smoking. She has been menopaused for five years and was overweight with a BMI at 28. Standard x rays revealed a poorly limited opacity englobed by a discrete sclerocele. There was a unilamelleolar homogenous periostic reaction Figure 1a. This opacity was located in the malleolar Fibula and was more important on the left side.

A computed tomography was performed to eliminate a neoplastic or infectious origine. It revealed a stress fracture with signs of consolidation at four cm from the apex Figure 1b. Because of the age, the lack of history of sport activity, metabolic or genetic trouble modifying bone density, post-menopausal osteoporosis was highly suspected and later confirmed by Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (0.852 gr/cm$^2$ with T-score : -0.9 at the hip). Physical activity restriction, hygienic advice, medical treatment along with physiotherapy was initialized.

Figure 1a: Radiograph of both ankles demonstrates a bilateral distal fibular opacity with periosteal reaction.

Figure 1b: Computed tomography scan confirm the diagnosis of stress fracture.

Three years later, the patient has no ache or discomfort. Her range of walk is unlimited. X ray controls revealed signs of consolidation of the former fractures Figure 2.

Figure 2: 3-years radiograph control: bone healing of both fractures.
Discussion

Stress fracture of the malleolar fibula is a lesion that occurs essentially in athletes especially runners. Therefore it is called “Runner’s fracture”.

Burrows [1], in 1948, was the first to publish about this subject. He classified them in two groups: First when the fracture line is located at 6-7 cm above the lower end of the Fibula. It occurs essentially in young male athletes. The second group included fractures in which the line is lower, at less than 3-4 cm from the tip. This type of fracture occurs most frequently in mid age women.

According to Burrows [1] X ray findings start being visible three weeks after the clinical onset. Many theories have been advanced to explain the physiopathology of stress fractures. Richmond’s theory [2] is that the continuous eversion of the foot especially in menopausal and obese women could be at the origin of the loss of external cortical continuity. This kind of fracture would be the result of opposite contemporary tractions: one towards the inside by interosseous ligaments and the other towards the outside of the malleolar while walking. Wang [3] on the other hand demonstrated that the Fibula receives 10 to 30% of the weight charge supported by the foot in the standing position. He suggested that, while in a walking position, stronger efforts tend to exaggerate the charge over the distal part of the Fibula. This tension would be the cause of stress fractures. Devas et Sweetnam [4] blame the repetitive eccentric contractions of the plantar and long to flexors in combination with axial loading for proximal stress fractures.

The tension is at its outmost around the lower extremity of the Fibula which is the area of predilection for stress fractures. We believe these hypothesis complete each other.

The particularity of our observation is its aetiology and the fact that the fracture was bilateral. Matheson [5] estimated that only 16% of stress fractures are bilateral. Rare are the publications about this subject and none advanced an explanation for the double fracture. The use of new sports shoes [6,7], acute physical activity [8], the valgus of the ankles [9]; coeliac disease [10], were incriminated by some authors, where as others find no explanation [11,12].

We found that only Kazimoglu [13] presented an observation similar to ours and links the stress fracture to osteoporosis. Known for its frequency in athletes and military staff, stress fractures could also concern menopausal women especially during the first period. In fact, as the bone density diminishes, an osteoporotic skeleton would be unable to resist to ordinary stress. The bone repairs its self from the multiple micro trauma’s of repetitive stress. This capacity to remodel its self lessens with the age [13]. Paradoxically, a long term treatment by Alendronate, an osteoporotic molecule, could cause stress fractures by changing the bone’s architecture [14].

All authors are in favor of a conservative treatment in case of bilateral lateral malleolar stress fracture. The pneumatic brace has been shown to be efficacious in treating these fractures. The advantage of the brace over more conventional forms of treatment, such as casting, is that it allows immediate weight bearing, greater mobility for the patient, and allows periodic removal for hygiene [7]. This treatment is reinforced by cryotherapy and physiotherapy. Because of the 3 month time between the clinical onset and the diagnosis, x ray images (good bone callous), we preferred to substitute the activity restriction by a contention, and we also followed the patient on a regular basis.

Conclusion

Bilateral distal stress fracture of the fibula is very exceptional. Insufficiency fracture due to osteoporosis must be discussed when this lesion occur in a fifties sedentary woman.
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