

The Kochen-Specker Theorem with Two Trials of Measurements

We review non-classicality of quantum datum. We consider whether we can assign the predetermined "hidden" result to numbers 1 and -1 as in results of measurements in a thought experiment. We assume the number of measurements is two. If we detect $1/\sqrt{2}$ as 1 and detect $|\downarrow\rangle$ as -1, then we can derive the Kochen-Specker theorem. The same situation occurs when we use a new measurement theory that the results of measurements are either $1/\sqrt{2}$ or $-1/\sqrt{2}$.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.65.

Opinion

The quantum theory [1-5] is indeed successful physical theory. From the incompleteness argument of Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen (EPR) [6], a hidden variable interpretation of the quantum theory has been as an attractive topic of research [2,3]. The no-hidden variables theorem of Kochen and Specker (KS theorem) [7] is very famous. In general, the quantum theory does not accept the KS type of hidden-variable theory. Greenberger, Horne, and Zeilinger discover [8,9] the so-called GHZ theorem for four-partite GHZ state. And, the KS theorem becomes very simple form (see also Refs. [10-14]). For the KS theorem, it is begun to research the validity of the KS theorem by using inequalities (see Refs. [15-18]). To find such inequalities to test the validity of the KS theorem is particularly useful for experimental investigation [19]. Many researches address non-classicality of observables. And non-classicality of quantum state itself is not investigated at all (however see [20]). Further, non-classicality of quantum datum is not investigated very well. Does finite-precision measurement nullify the Kochen-Specker theorem? Meyer discusses that finite precision measurement nullifies the Kochen-Specker theorem [21]. Cabello discusses that finite-precision measurement does not nullify the Kochen-Specker theorem [17]. We address the problem. Here we ask: Can we assign definite value into each quantum datum? We cannot assign definite value into each quantum datum. This gives the very simple reason why Kochen-Specker inequalities are violated in real experiments. Further, our discussion says that cannot assign definite value to each quantum datum even though the number of measurements is two. This gives the Kochen-Specker theorem in two trials of measurements. These argumentations would provide supporting evidence of the statement by Cabello.

In this paper, we review non-classicality of quantum datum. We consider whether we can assign the predetermined "hidden" result to numbers 1 and -1 as in results of measurements in a thought experiment. We assume the number of measurements is two. If we detect $|\downarrow\rangle$ as 1 and $|\downarrow\rangle$ detect as -1, then we can derive the Kochen-Specker theorem. The same situation occurs when we use a new measurement theory [22] that the results of measurements are either $-1/\sqrt{2}$ or $-1/\sqrt{2}$. We consider a value V which is the sum of data in some experiments. The measured results of trials are either 1 or -1. We assume the number of -1 is equal to the number of 1. The number of trials is 2. Then we have

Opinion

Volume 2 Issue 1 - 2017

Nagata K^{1*} and Nakamura T²

¹Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Korea

²Department of Information and Computer Science, Keio University, Japan

*Corresponding author: Nagata K, Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 305-701, Korea, Email: ko-mi-na@yahoo.co.jp

Received: February 02, 2017 | Published: February 13, 2017

$$V = -1 + 1 = 0 \quad (1)$$

First, we assign definite value into each experimental datum. In the case, we consider the Kochen-Specker realism. By using r_1, r_2, r'_1 and r'_2 , we can define experimental data as follows $r_1 = 1, r_2 = -1, r'_1 = 1$ and $r'_2 = -1$

Let us write V as follows

$$V = \left(\sum_{l=1}^2 r_l \right) \quad (2)$$

The possible values of the measured results r_l are either 1 or -1. The same value is given by

$$V = \left(\sum_{l=1}^2 r'_l \right) \quad (3)$$

We change the label as $l \rightarrow l'$. The possible values of the measured results r'_l are either 1 or -1. In the following, we evaluate a value $V \times V$ and derive a necessary condition under an assumption that we assign definite value into each experimental datum.

We introduce an assumption that Sum rule and Product rule commute [23]. We have

$$V \times V = \left(\sum_{l=1}^2 r_l \right) \times \left(\sum_{l'=1}^2 r'_{l'} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{l=1}^2 \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^2 r_l r_{l'} \\
 &\leq \sum_{l=1}^2 \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^2 |r_l r_{l'}| \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^2 \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^2 (r_l)^2 \\
 &= 2((1)2 + (-1)2) \\
 &= 4. \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (4) can be saturated because the following case is possible

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\{l|r_l=1\}\| &= \|\{l'|r_{l'}=1\}\| \\
 \|\{l|r_l=-1\}\| &= \|\{l'|r_{l'}=-1\}\| \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(V \times V)_{\max} = 4 \tag{6}$$

Therefore we have the following assumption concerning the Kochen-Specker realism

$$(V \times V)_{\max} = 4 \tag{7}$$

Next, we derive another possible value of the product $V \times V$ of the value V under an assumption that we do not assign definite value into each experimental datum. This is quantum mechanical case.

In this case, we have

$$V \times V = 0 \tag{8}$$

We have the following assumption concerning quantum mechanics

$$(V \times V)_{\max} = 0 \tag{9}$$

We cannot assign the truth value "1" for the two assumptions (7) and (9), simultaneously. We derive the KS paradox. Thus we cannot assign definite value into each experimental datum. The number of data is two. We can derive the similar KS paradox when we use a new measurement theory [22] that the results of measurements are either $1/\sqrt{2}$ or $-1/\sqrt{2}$. In conclusions, non-classicality of quantum datum has been investigated. We have considered whether we can assign the predetermined "hidden" result to natural number 1 and -1 as in results of measurement in a thought experiment. The number of trials has been twice. If we detect $|\uparrow\rangle$ as 1 and detect $|\downarrow\rangle$ as -1, then we can have derived

the Kochen-Specker theorem. The same situation has occurred when we use a new measurement theory [22] that the results of measurements are either $1/\sqrt{2}$ or $-1/\sqrt{2}$. Generally Multiplication is completed by Addition. Therefore, we think that Addition of the starting point may be superior to any other case.

References

1. Sakurai JJ (1995) (Revised edn.), Modern Quantum Mechanics Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, USA.
2. Peres A (1993) Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
3. Redhead M (1989) (2nd edn.), Incompleteness, Nonlocality, and Realism Clarendon Press, Oxford, USA.
4. Von J (1995) Neumann, Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.
5. Nielsen MA, Chuang IL (2000) Quantum Computation and Quantum Information Cambridge University Press, USA.
6. Einstein A, Podolsky B, Rosen N (1935) Phys Rev 47: 777.
7. Kochen S, Specker EP (1967) J Math Mech 17: 59.
8. Greenberger DM, Horne MA, Zeilinger A (1989) in Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory and Conceptions of the Universe, edited by Kafatos M Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands p. 69-72.
9. Greenberger DM, Horne MA, Shimony A, Zeilinger A (1990) Am J Phys 58: 1131.
10. Pagonis C, Redhead MLG, Clifton RK (1991) Phys Lett A 155: 441.
11. Mermin ND (1990) Phys Today 43(6): 9.
12. Mermin ND Am (1990) J Phys 58: 731.
13. Peres A (1990) Phys Lett A 151: 107.
14. Mermin ND (1990) Phys Rev Lett 65: 3373.
15. Simon C, Brukner C, Zeilinger A (2001) Phys Rev Lett 86: 4427.
16. Larsson JA (2002) Europhys Lett 58: 799.
17. Cabello A (2002) Phys Rev A 65: 052101.
18. Nagata K, Math J(2005) Phys 46, 102101.
19. Huang YF, Li CF, Zhang YS, Pan JW, Guo GC (2003) Phys Rev Lett 90: 250401.
20. Pusey MF, Barrett J, Rudolph T (2012) Nature Phys 8: 475.
21. Meyer DA (2009) Phys Rev Lett 83: 3751
22. Nagata K, Nakamura T (2010) International Journal of Theoretical Physics 49(1): 162-170.
23. Nagata K, Nakamura T (2015) Physics Journal 1(3): 183-188.