Introduction

The bowel is controlled via parasympathetic, sympathetic, sacral motor and sensory nerves besides enteric nervous control which plays an essential act in myelomeningocele in which the bowel nervous control is interrupted. The enteric nervous control has an important capacity in maintaining some intestinal motility which prevents the bowel from being automatic as in automatic bladder [1].

In myelomeningocele, there is an interruption of the pathway of bowel control via loss of the message given by pressured rectum which normally informs brain for emptying the rectum via an order from the brain to relax the external anal sphincter. but the rectum in myelomeningocele is weak and stretched losing the sensory perception mechanism which leads to accumulation of the waste in rectum prolonged duration lead to constipation [2].

Constipation in myelomeningocele leads to increase of the abdominal contents which press upward the lung leading to limitation of costovertebral joints and decrease of the thorax expansion with difficulty in breathing affecting on posture. besides hindering of the daily living activities [3,4].

Deep electrical stimulation by using interferential current stimulates the skin nerve fibers which can lead to facilitation of the parasympathethic nerve fibers and modulate the sacral reflexes controlling the bowel movement [5,6].

Materials and Methods

Subject

30 children from both sexes with post-operative reduction meningocele children were randomly selected, aged 6 to 10 years at the point of recruitment because the children in this age who can understand the research work principles and rules were included in the study. Body weight, height and hand dominance, type of involvement, level of ambulation were recorded for each subject. The excluded criteria include children who had
Children were randomized into the experimental group (A) received the IF (interferential current stimulation) plus lower abdominal and sacral kinesiotaping in addition to routine traditional physiotherapy program and control group (B) who received routine traditional physiotherapy program only.

**Outcome Measurement**

I. Defecation frequency (number of defecations/week): lower than 3 times/week consider chronic constipation. The Main goal was to investigate the elevation in defecation frequency further than 3 times per week.

II. Visual analog scale: for detecting and following the degree of events of abdominal pain and severity of constipation/week. It consists of 10 CM. line starting with 0 degrees which means no constipation and 10 degrees mean severe constipation [5].

III. Constipation score questionnaire: it consists of 8 items (frequency of bowel movements, crisis: painful emptying effort, feeling incomplete evacuation, abdominal pain, minutes in bathroom per attempt, type of assistance, unsuccessful attempts for emptying all the day and night and the time of constipation) rating scale from 0 (normal) to 30 (severe constipation). A cut-off score of 15 suggests constipation. It was performed before starting the treatment and after 3 months of treatment [8].

**Intervention**

Interferential electric stimulation session 60 minutes at the level of sensory stimulation decrease at 20 mA, sweep frequency were 5-10 Hz, duration of 200micosecond and time of repetition was 6 seconds) were conducted three times weekly with changing of tapping every 48 H for 12 weeks in a physiotherapy treatment room after the routine regular physiotherapy program session which lasts for 30 minutes for group (A) and the routine regular physiotherapy program session only for the group (B).

**The 1st technique of Interferential (IF)**

The individual-based interferential electric stimulation sessions of 60 minutes consists of 2 parts first 30 minutes: Abdominal electrodes were located under the costal levels bilaterally on the lateral sides one electrode from each channel while the other two electrodes were located across between levels of thoracic 12 and lumbar 4 bilaterally on lateral sides at sensory levels with no visible nor palpable contraction [5].

**The 2nd technique of interferential stimulation**

The second part lasts for another 30 minutes by placement of the abdominal two electrodes at supra pubic levels bilaterally at lateral sides, while other two electrodes crossed placed at sacral dimple bilaterally at sensory levels with neither visible nor palpable contraction [5,9] (Figure 1).

**Kinesio taping**

Taping was applied on lower abdomen between RT ASIS and LT ASIS without stretching of both ends with 25-50 % stretch to the residual taping while taping was applied on lumbosacral area in star technique with 25-50 % stretch plus routine traditional physiotherapy program [10].

**Figure 1:** Electrodes placement of interventional electric stimulation.

Children in the two groups were exposed to home routine program for the 12 weeks treatment period as the following:

**Home routine program for meningomyelocele children with instruction to parents:**

a) Using foot and hand drill as a support for helping bearing down.

b) Diet control by using a high amount of fruit, a diet containing fibers and water

c) Improve coordination between muscles of the abdomen and of the pelvic floor.

d) Staying after each meal 5 minutes in bathroom keeping knees higher than hips as a toilet training [11].

e) Parents should observe which food has an accident effect on the bowel movement

f) Making the life as a routine as possible this may improve bowel control [2].

**Routine regular physiotherapy program for the two groups include**

i. Proprioceptive training via manual approximation in all joint direction with fast un rhythmic technique besides weight bearing ex: as a static proprioceptive training

ii. Quick stretching for distal muscles, compression on bony prominence, triggering of mass flexion via stimulate flexor withdrawal reflex and forced flexion to the toes, rapping on a shaft of the tibia, stimulate tonic vibration reflex by vibrator on the musculotendinous junction.

iii. Activation of contractile muscle property via tactile stimulation (scratch, tapping, squeeze, rapping, pressure and painful stimuli) followed by movement
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iv. Facilitation of postural reaction (righting-equilibrium and protective reaction training)

v. Facilitation of milestones as sitting, standing, walking

vi. Facilitation of activity of daily living activities as feeding, dressing, and toileting

vii. Facilitation of hand function as grasping, voluntary release, eye-hand coordination, reaching, bilateral hand use and hand manipulative skills

viii. Graduated active exercise for trunk muscles (abdominal, erector spinae and lateral flexors)

ix. Strengthening exercises for shoulder depressors and elbow extensors

x. Gait training in closed environment by assisted devices

xi. Facilitation of postural reaction (righting-equilibrium and protective reaction training)

xii. Orthoses:
   a. Static orthoses by using night ankle foot orthoses to prevent tendo-achillis tightness
   b. Dynamic orthoses by using hip-knee-ankle-foot orthoses with the pelvic band and elbow crutches

Result

Patients characteristics

Table 1 shows the patients characteristics. There were 17 boys (56.66%) and 13 girls (43.33%), and in regards right-hand dominance reported in 14 patients (46.66%), and also 16 patients (53.33%) were left-hand dominance. There was no expressive difference between the two groups regarding age (p=0.9), regarding sex (p=0.72) and in regards hand dominance (p=0.48).

Table 1: Patients’ characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study group N=15</th>
<th>Control group N=15</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8±1.46</td>
<td>7.93±1.39</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex N%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>9(60%)</td>
<td>8(53.33%)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>6(40%)</td>
<td>7(46.66%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand dominance N%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8(53.33%)</td>
<td>6(40%)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>7(46.66%)</td>
<td>9(60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in defecation frequency

Mean test scores and SD for the two groups are demonstrated in table 2. The mean record of DF variable in the two groups (assessed by the number of defecations/week) at baseline measurement (pre-treatment) was insignificant (p>0.05) while study group had an expressive enhancement in DF (post-treatment) (p<0.05). The average improvement of DF variable tended to be significant in the experimental group (2.40±0.63 VS 2.47±0.52, p=0.04) while insignificant result in the treatment group (2.67±0.90 VS 2.60±0.63, p=0.16). The percentage of improvement of DF was 11.25% in the study group while was 5.2% in control group.

Table 2: The average test of defecation frequency variable in the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defecation Frequency</th>
<th>Study Group Mean±SD</th>
<th>Control Group Mean±SD</th>
<th>P-value (within groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>2.40±0.63</td>
<td>2.47±0.52</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>2.67±0.90</td>
<td>2.60±0.63</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement%</td>
<td>11.25%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (within groups)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Events of abdominal pain variables

Mean test scores and SD for the two groups are demonstrated in table 3. The mean record of events of abdominal pain variables in the two groups (assessed by visual analog scale) at baseline measurement (pre-treatment) was insignificant (p>0.05) while study group had an expressive enhancement in events of abdominal pain variables (post-treatment) (p<0.05). The average improvement of events of abdominal pain variables tended to be significant in the experimental group (6.47±1.51 VS 6.00±1.56, p=0.03) while insignificant result in the treatment group (5.80±1.78 VS 5.67±1.84, p=0.16). The percentage of improvement of events of abdominal pain variable was 7.26% in the experimental group while was 2.2% in treatment group.
**Constipation sore system (CSS) variable**

Mean test scores and SD for the two groups are demonstrated in Table 4. The mean record of CSS variables in the two groups (assessed by Constipation sore system (CSS) questionnaire) at baseline measurement (pre-treatment) was insignificant (p>0.05), while study group had an expressive enhancement in Constipation score system variables (post-treatment) (p<0.05). The average improvement of Constipation sore system variables tended to be significant in the experimental (22.20±3.26 VS 21.40±2.59, p=0.03) while insignificant result in the treatment group (22.80±2.93 VS 22.60±2.69, p= 0.42). The percentage of improvement of CSS variable was 3.6% in the study group while was 8.8% in control group.

**Table 3:** The average test of events abdominal pain variable in the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Episodes of Abdominal Pain Variable</th>
<th>Study Group Mean±SD</th>
<th>Control Group Mean±SD</th>
<th>P-Value (within groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>6.47±1.51</td>
<td>5.80±1.78</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>6.00±1.56</td>
<td>5.67±1.84</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement%</td>
<td>7.26%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (within groups)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** The average test of Constipation sore system variable in the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constipation Sore System Variable</th>
<th>Study Group Mean±SD</th>
<th>Control Group Mean±SD</th>
<th>P-Value (within groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>22.20±3.26</td>
<td>22.80±2.93</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>21.40±2.59</td>
<td>22.60±2.69</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>.88%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (within groups)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The outcomes of the present study are consistent with the results of Chase et al. [5] who found that IF electrical stimulation applied on abdominal muscles, produce 50% improvement in controlling constipation symptoms in children. Moreover, a study by Kajbafzadeh et al. [6] who reported that, Although IF electrical stimulation did not apply on pelvic floor muscles directly, modulation of sacral reflexes occurs improving the bowel control after using IF stimulation technique.

Interferential electric stimulation is broadly handling in the treatment of bowel and bladder disturbance to meningomyelocele children. Activation of pelvic floor muscles with Facilitation of pudendal afferent nerve fibers via IF activate hypo gastric efferent’s and inhibit pelvic efferent to control sacral reflexes and improved bladder contraction [12].

Bowel nervous control is occurred by 3 main underlying mechanisms first one is the enteric nervous system which forms an extensive neural network inside GIT. It can work autonomously if interruption occurs from CNS insults but less efficient. It contains great numbers of neurons which form two plexus the myenteric plexus which control motility of gut and secretomotor function besides submucosal plexus which organize the secretions, blood flow and transport through the cells and it also contains important neurotransmitter as serotonin, acetylcholine and GABA [13].

The second mechanism includes the parasympathetic fibers supply to GIT via facilitating peristalsis movement of the intestine. It is motor to descending colon, rectum and internal anal sphincter and sensory to the intestine for detecting the perception and it also organizes secretions and relaxes internal anal sphincter besides sympathetic autonomic supply reduce the gut activity and facilitate internal anal sphincter.

The third mechanism includes the somatic nerve supply. The pudendal nerve act as motor fibers to the external anal sphincter, puborectalis and other pelvic floor muscles which act together to control defecation by contraction to maintain continence and relaxation to facilitate defecation and it also act sensory to pelvic floor muscles and anal sphincter [14] (Figure 2).

Application of kinesio taping on the lower abdomen and sacral area stimulate cutaneous mechanoreceptors as the free nerve ending, pacini and Ruffini receptors present in a great amount in the fascia to give the CNS information about pain and position. When they are stimulated by kinesio taping they decrease the sympathetic nervous system activity and this leads to increase parasympathetic activity which can improve bowel control [18]. (Figures 3 & 4).
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Figure 2: Underlying mechanisms of interferential electrical stimulation [5, 15-17].

- Contraction of the internal anal sphincter is regulated by sympathetic and relaxed by parasympathetic nerve fiber
  - In myelomeningocele children
  - Using of deep electrical interferential stimulation on lower and upper abdominal and at sacrum and between T12 and L4 respectively
  - Stimulate urge-to-defecation Via modulation of afferent signaling mechanism
  - Improve rectum sensory perception mechanism
  - Stimulate the skin nerve fibers
  - Across the abdomen at sensory level
  - Facilitate parasympathetic fibers
  - Relaxation of internal anal sphincter
  - Increase the defecation frequency

Improve bowel control

Figure 3: Underlying mechanism of kinesio taping in bowel control [19-26].

- Using of kinesio taping in improving bowel regulation in myelomeningocele
  - On lower abdomen and sacral area
  - Improve blood and lymph circulation in abdominal and sacral area
  - Increase intestinal tone and peristalsis movement
  - Proprioceptive stimulation to the sacral region nerve ending

Conclusion

According to the outcomes of this study, it can be terminated that the combined effect of lower abdominal kinesio taping plus I.F. electrical stimulation techniques besides routine regular physiotherapy program could be recommended in improvement bowel regulation in meningomyelocele children.

Figure 4: Complications of bowel disturbance in myelomeningocele [2,27-29].
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