Could Increased Pyloric Transit time Differentiate Early Onset Infantile Hypertrophic Pyloric Stenosis: a Case Study and Review

Summary

Early onset infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis (EOIHPS) is difficult to diagnose, as the typical triad of projectile vomiting, a pyloric mass and the ultrasonographic evidence of pyloric hypertrophy is absent. Delay in its diagnosis is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Although almost 80 cases of EOIHPS are reported, its clinical presentation has not been well defined. Surgical decision-making remains controversial due to lack of accepted diagnostic criteria. Further prospective studies are needed to determine definitive ultrasonographic and clinical diagnostic criteria to better establish a decision analysis algorithm. We present a case describing specific clinical features of EOIHPS compared to classic characteristics found in infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis (IHPS) to better distinguish and aid in the diagnosis of the disease. The review suggests that a combination of prolonged gastric transit time with normal pyloric dimensions; visible postprandial gastric peristalsis; and unrelenting regurgitative, at times projectile vomiting support the diagnosis of EOIHPS. Such a presentation might be considered for the diagnosis of EOIHPS and possibly for making decision regarding surgical intervention in the absence of ultrasonographic confirmation of the morbidity.

Introduction

Infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis (IHPS) is a well recognized surgical condition in neonates typically presenting with post-prandial non-bilious projectile vomiting during the first 3-8 weeks of life. It is the most common surgical cause of non-bilious vomiting in newborn infants [1]. About 19% cases of IHPS present before 21 days of life out of which 2% are reported to occur as early as 10-14 days of postnatal age [2]. Such cases are difficult to diagnose as the classical triad of projectile vomiting, a palpable “olive” mass and the standard ultrasonographic evidence are almost invariably absent. Delay in the diagnosis of early onset IHPS (EOIHPS) has been associated with increased morbidity and mortality [3]. The need for specific ultrasonographic diagnostic criteria for EOIHPS has been emphasized but not yet defined. Likewise, the symptomatology and presenting features of EOIHPS have not been well described in the literature. We aimed to study the clinical presentation and review the available literature in order to obtain an insight into the presentation and progression of this disease entity. The purpose was to provide a detailed clinical presentation which could be utilized to increase the index of suspicion for EOIHPS in the absence of definitive ultrasonographic evidence.

Case Report

An appropriate for gestational age male neonate was born vaginally at 39 weeks of gestation with apgar scores of 9 and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes of life respectively, to a 19-year-old gravida 1 para 0 female. The antenatal course and obstetrical history were noncontributory. The infant was started on feeds with enfamil 20 cal/oz formula in the newborn nursery in addition to breast feeding as per mother’s preference. After the first 3-4 feedings, he developed frequent non-projectile post-prandial regurgitations of small amount of formula. The physical examination was entirely normal and no “olive” mass was palpated.

On day of life (DOL) 2, the infant suffered from one episode of forceful projectile non-bloody non-bilious emesis. The formula was empirically changed to Enfamil AR. No further projectile vomiting was noted for the next 2-3 days but the infant began to display some fussiness during and immediately after feeds with small post-prandial regurgitations. Feeding was modified further and nutramigen was initiated with a provisional diagnosis of milk protein allergy. He suffered from another episode of projectile non-bloody non-bilious emesis of large amount of undigested formula on DOL 4.

The neonate was transferred to the NICU and temporarily made nil by mouth with intravenous hydration. The serum electrolytes profile was normal with serum chloride and bicarbonate levels of 100 and 22 mmol/l respectively. Full strength nutramigen was restarted and tolerated well. The infant appeared healthy, displayed a normal weight gain pattern, demanded frequent feeds and fed eagerly.

He remained relatively asymptomatic until DOL 7 after which he restarted with episodes of post-prandial non-bilious vomiting 4-5 times a day, which were occasionally projectile. An
ultrasonography of the abdomen on DOL 10 revealed a high normal pyloric canal length (PCL) of 14 mm and a normal pyloric muscle thickness (PMT) of 2 mm (Figure 1). Post prandial transmission of intraluminal content through the pylorus was mildly delayed. The radiological findings were deemed inconclusive of pyloric stenosis. Formula and breast milk were thickened. The infant began to arch his back and cry after feedings whilst he demanded frequent feeds and fed vigorously. Famotidine was started for a presumptive diagnosis of gastro esophageal reflux on DOL 11. Occasional intermittent non-bilious and projectile vomiting persisted; however, electrolytes remained normal. On physical examination visible post prandial gastric peristalsis was noted to occur starting during the first week, which became more common with increasing chronological age, but olive mass was not palpated. An upper GI series was done on DOL 17, which demonstrated holdup of ingested contrast within the stomach, though some oral contrast was visualized in the small bowel. Gastroesophageal reflux was noted up to the level of the clavicles. At this stage, evolving pyloric stenosis was suspected and a repeat abdominal ultrasonography was performed on DOL 20. Longitudinal images by sonogram demonstrated a PCL of 17 mm and PMT of 3.5 mm consistent with IHPS, along with a pylorus that did not distend with administration of water into the stomach (Figure 2).

A total of twelve episodes (<10% of total number of feedings) of projectile emesis were noted, until the definitive ultrasonographic diagnosis was established on DOL 20, and only two of these episodes occurred before DOL 7. On DOL 21, the patient underwent laparoscopic pyloromyotomy without complications. The infant was started on oral feeds at 6 hours postoperatively and was advanced slowly to full feeds without any complications or vomiting. He was discharged on full feeds on DOL 24. During subsequent follow up visits at two weeks post-operatively and at 2 months of postnatal age he was thriving normally with no further episodes of vomiting.

Discussion

The paucity of diagnostic information relevant to EOIHPS has been recognized and a need to generate a decision analysis algorithm using prospective studies, in order to make a definitive and timely decision for surgical intervention has been emphasized [2]. The lack of specific symptomatology, atypical clinical presentation, normal ultrasonographic pyloric dimensions and absence of "olive" add to the diagnostic dilemmas. EOIHPS cases could be clinically indistinguishable from the ubiquitous gastroesophageal reflux of newborn infants, or the occasional milk protein allergy. Moreover, the index of suspicions for EOIHPS at this stage of life is low among clinicians.

EOIHPS by definition presents during the first 3 weeks of life and cases occurring in utero and as early as the first day of life have been reported [4-6]. Symptoms of EOIHPS rarely appear at birth [5]. Leaphart et al studied 60 cases of EOIHPS with an aim to determine their specific PMT, out of which 2% were diagnosed between 10-14 days of life [2]. The incidence of the disease was 19% in their case series. These infants did not differ demographically from those with IHPS, but were less likely to display a palpable "olive" mass. Close relatives of such infants had a four times higher occurrence of IHPS suggesting a genetic predisposition [7]. On ultrasonography (US), the thickness of pylorus in EOIHPS was measured at 3.7 ± 0.65 mm at diagnosis for surgery, compared to 4.6 ± 0.82 mm in IHPS (p<0.05). The authors proposed 3.5 mm as a "cutoff" number for PMT in "younger patients". They demonstrated a linear relationship of PMT and PCL with chronological age and body weight, which was corroborated by Said et al, who documented increasing PMT with weight and postnatal age in patients with IHPS [8]. In our case, the PMT on DOL 10 was 2 mm, which increased to 3.5 mm by DOL 20, the proposed cutoff point for the diagnosis of EOIHPS. Damien et al performed a case control study of EOIHPS, which included 14 cases and confirmed the presence of family history in close relatives [9]. They reported a PML of 17.1 mm and PMT of 3.5 ± 0.2 mm in their cohort. These infants had longer hospital stay compared to those with IHPS and US was diagnostic in 50% compared to 81% of controls (p=0.06). Failure to pass gastric contents was noted in all cases with EOIHPS. Neither of the two authors specified the presenting symptomatology of EOIHPS in their reports. Chan et al described a term newborn with multiple congenital anomalies who developed symptoms of vomiting, increased gastric residuals, slow gastric transit time and normal ultrasonographic pyloric dimensions with PML being 17 mm and PMT 1.8 mm on DOL 4 [10]. These increased to 2.7 and 11.7 respectively on DOL 11. Due to persistent symptoms of vomiting, the infant underwent a diagnostic exploratory surgery with confirmation and successful surgical repair of EOIHPS on DOL 14. The clinical presentation of this infant was consistent with ours in terms of unrelenting non projectile vomiting and delayed passage of intraluminal gastric contents with normal pyloric dimensions.
The available literature on EOIHPS has been directed towards the radiographic diagnosis and its lack thereof [2,9], and not on the presenting symptomatology. It is concluded that the morbidity is difficult to diagnose as the classical triad of projectile vomiting, a palpable “olive” and the standard ultrasonographic evidence is invariably absent [2,9]. Our review intended to study and document the representative early signs and symptoms and their progression in EOIHPS. In our index case, feeding difficulty started at birth and mostly consisted of variable regurgitation of gastric content in small to moderate volumes. The typical projectile vomiting appeared during the 2nd and early 3rd week of life and was noted to occur for <10% of all feedings until the ultrasonographic diagnosis was made on DOL 20. Visible gastric peristalsis after feeding was marked and invariably present after first few days of life. The passage of gastric content via pylorus was delayed in ultrasonographic examination with normal PMT and PCT measurements. Increased post prandial fussiness and arching of back were frequently noted. “Olive” was not felt at any stage. Unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia, a frequent clinical association of IHPS occurring in 14% of cases, and termed as icteropyloric syndrome, was not seen [11]. The outstanding clinical picture was that of a healthy, appropriately interactive, thriving "hungry feeder and vomiter" who displayed significant post-prandial visible gastric peristalsis and radiographic evidence of delayed passage of gastric contents through pylorus in the presence of normal pyloric dimensions. A comparative analysis of our case with the clinical presentation of about 1000 recently reported cases of IHPS [tables 1 and 2] revealed that the common features of the latter were those of projectile vomiting, palpable olive mass, abnormal US and electrolyte anomalies, with some occurrences of visible gastric peristalsis [3,12-16]. In a report including 329 diagnosed patients of IHPS, at least 1 sign or symptom of the classic triad of olive mass, projectile vomiting and visible peristalsis was present in 87% of patients and only 17% had the complete triad [12]. The mean age at presentation of IHPS was 5 weeks. In comparison, the cases of EOIHPS occurred before 3 weeks of life [2,9,10] and the persistent vomiting was generally non projectile. [9,10].

Table 1: Clinical presentation of IHPS in recent reports are shown. Ultrasound was not performed in some cases to establish diagnosis due to high clinical index of suspicion. When performed, it was abnormal in 100% of the cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Studies (Year, Number of Cases)</th>
<th>Projectile Vomiting (%)</th>
<th>Palpable Olive Mass, (%)</th>
<th>Gastric Peristalsis Before Emesis, (%)</th>
<th>Electrolyte Abnormalities (%)</th>
<th>Weight Loss, (%)</th>
<th>Hematemesis, (%)</th>
<th>Dehydration, (%)</th>
<th>Abnormal Pyloric Measurements in Ultrasound, (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chalya et al. [3], 102</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>Hypokalemia: 66</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glatstein et al. [15], 116</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hypochloremia: 23 Met. alkalosis: 14.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotkey et al. [12], 329</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Hypochloremia: 13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papadakis et al. [13], 100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hypokalemia: 3 Hypochloremia: 9.0 Met. alkalosis: 10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaoul et al. [16], 70</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hypochloremia: 32 Met. alkalosis: 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al. [14], 44</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>Hypokalemia: 6.4 Hypochloremia: 52.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylo, et al. [17], 362</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Hypochloremia: 77.7 Met. alkalosis: 78.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Could Increased Pyloric Transit Time Differentiate Early Onset Infantile Hypertrophic Pyloric Stenosis: a Case Study and Review

The outstanding dilemmas in the cases of EOIHPS are those of timely diagnosis and decision for surgery. Definitive diagnosis might not be available until surgery in certain conditions, which is undertaken due to strong clinical evidence and metabolic complications [10]. Defining the elusive US criteria for EOIHPS has been proposed, which can be accomplished after comparing the progression of ultrasonographic dimensions of those infants who display the characteristic early clinical features and eventually progress on to EOIHPS, with healthy age and maturation-matched neonates. As the incidence of IHPS is about 3-10/1000, and only 19% of such cases are EOIHPS, it would require a large multicenter prospective study to achieve such conclusions.

Based on the case report and available literature, it is reasonable to suggest that in the absence of ultrasonographic evidence of abnormal PMT and PCL, increased gastric transit time, especially if associated with visible postprandial gastric peristalsis should be strongly considered for the diagnosis of EOIHPS in neonates younger than three weeks of age. We emphasize the relevance of gastric transit time in the diagnosis and differentiation of EOIHPS from those of gastroesophageal reflux, milk protein allergy and other causes of early postnatal emesis, including evolving IHPS, and suggest increased utility of this valuable ultrasonographic finding in clinical evaluation of infants presenting with vomiting during early postnatal period. A triad of persistent vomiting, visible postprandial gastric peristalsis and increased gastric transit time in ultrasonography with a PMT of 3 mm or less during the first 3 weeks of life might prompt a strong index of suspicion for EOIHPS and might possibly be adjudged as an indication for surgical intervention. Future studies are indicated to further investigate and reinforce the utility of this radiographic evidences for a timely and appropriate management of the complex cases of early onset infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis, which present ambiguously with vomiting during the early postnatal life in newborn infants.

### Table 2: Clinical and ultrasonographic features of EOIHPS are compared with IHPS. Leaphart’s study was aimed at determining PMT and PCL in EOIHPS, whereas, Demian compared EOIHPS and IHPS cases with emphasis on radiographic evidence. Delayed passages of gastric contents with normal PMT, as well as postprandial visible gastric peristalsis were present in all cases of EOIHPS when reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Studies (Year, Number of Cases)</th>
<th>Projectile Vomiting (%)</th>
<th>Palpable Olive Mass, (%)</th>
<th>Post Prandial Gastric Peristalsis (%)</th>
<th>Electrolyte Anomalies (%)</th>
<th>Weight Loss, (%)</th>
<th>Positive Family History, (%)</th>
<th>Dehydration, (%)</th>
<th>Abnormal Pyloric measurements in Ultrasound in EOIHPS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaphart et al [2], 60</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>30 vs. 70 in IHPS</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>32 vs. 8 in IHPS</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>About &lt;5% Mean PMT 3.7 mm at surgery vs. 6.6 mm in IHPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demian [9], 16</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>31 (metabolic alkalosis)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>31 vs. 0 in IHPS</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>In 50 %, Mean PMT 3.5 mm at surgery vs. 4.9 mm in IHPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan [10], 1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No, Delayed passage of gastric content-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our case</td>
<td>&lt;10% of feedings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No, Delayed passage of abdominal content-100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= not reported, PMT= pyloric muscle thickness, PCL=pyloric canal length.

The outstanding dilemmas in the cases of EOIHPS are those of timely diagnosis and decision for surgery. Definitive diagnosis might not be available until surgery in certain conditions, which is undertaken due to strong clinical evidence and metabolic complications [10]. Defining the elusive US criteria for EOIHPS has been proposed, which can be accomplished after comparing the progression of ultrasonographic dimensions of those infants who display the characteristic early clinical features and eventually progress on to EOIHPS, with healthy age and maturation-matched neonates. As the incidence of IHPS is about 3-10/1000, and only 19% of such cases are EOIHPS, it would require a large multicenter prospective study to achieve such conclusions.

Based on the case report and available literature, it is reasonable to suggest that in the absence of ultrasonographic evidence of abnormal PMT and PCL, increased gastric transit time, especially if associated with visible postprandial gastric peristalsis should be strongly considered for the diagnosis of EOIHPS in neonates younger than three weeks of age. We emphasize the relevance of gastric transit time in the diagnosis and differentiation of EOIHPS from those of gastroesophageal reflux, milk protein allergy and other causes of early postnatal emesis, including evolving IHPS, and suggest increased utility of this valuable ultrasonographic finding in clinical evaluation of infants presenting with vomiting during early postnatal period. A triad of persistent vomiting, visible postprandial gastric peristalsis and increased gastric transit time in ultrasonography with a PMT of 3 mm or less during the first 3 weeks of life might prompt a strong index of suspicion for EOIHPS and might possibly be adjudged as an indication for surgical intervention. Future studies are indicated to further investigate and reinforce the utility of this radiographic evidences for a timely and appropriate management of the complex cases of early onset infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis, which present ambiguously with vomiting during the early postnatal life in newborn infants.
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