Open Trial of Inference-Based Therapy in the Treatment of Compulsive Hoarding

Abstract

Background and aims: Non-pharmaceutic approaches to the treatment of hoarding disorder (HD) use interventions based of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT). Inference-based therapy (IBT) focuses on the doubts which form the basis of preoccupation in HD and deals with ego syntonic values in hoarding by exposing how the hoarding-self sabotages the authentic self. The objective was to establish if the IBT would lead to the clinically significant decrease of hoarding symptoms.

Methods: 18 participants (17 completers) received 20 - weeks of IBT therapy. Hoarding was assessed in pre-treatment, post-treatment and at 6-month follow-up with the Vancouver Obsessional Compulsive Inventory Hoarding Subscale (VOCI-H) (n=14) and the Savings Inventory Revised (SI-R) (n=7). A general linear model for paired observations was used to assess treatment effect. The participants were divided into OCD -/+ according to a clinical diagnosis on the SCID-I and the VOCI-H scores were compared between the two groups.

Results: Twelve of 14 completers where outcome was measured in VOCI-H (86%; CI 67%-100%) showed positive changes in hoarding; four crossed the threshold for clinically significant changes (28%, CI 5%-55%); all of them maintained the gain at follow-up. Three of 9 participants at follow-up crossed over the threshold to non-clinical status (33%, CI 3%-64%) (VOCI-H=6); six of nine participants (66%, CI 35%-97%) had positive changes in hoarding between baseline and 6-month follow-up. There were no differences in outcome on hoarding in participants classified by severe or moderate OCD.

Discussion: The IBT showed significant diminution of hoarding symptoms in the range previously reported for CBT. The cognitive aspects of IBT add new dimensions to existing CBT approaches.
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Introduction

Hoarding disorder (HD) is increasingly recognised as a serious psychiatric problem [1-3]; despite being highly mediatized [4,5] it is a relatively new [6,7] diagnostic entity. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5 [8]) has recognized HD as a distinct disorder within the Obsessive Compulsive and Related Disorders category, and defined as a persistent difficulty discarding or parting with possessions, regardless of the value others may attribute to them, and a difficulty to get rid of unnecessary objects and an excessive acquisition result in clutter.

Previously HD was considered as an Obsessive compulsive personality trait or as a variant of Compulsive Obsessive Disorder (OCD); so, initially, evaluation tools and treatment approaches used in OCD were applied to the HD population. Nonetheless, new HC-specific evaluation tools have been developed [9-12]. However, current treatment approaches to HD overlap with OCD treatment practices in the clinical management of HD.

Pharmaceutical treatment

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors [13-16], Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors [17], Central nervous system stimulants [18] and atypical antipsychotic agents [19] have been administered for HD, but results from pharmaceutical treatment trials for hoarding are equivocal.

Cognitive-behavioral therapy

Frost & Hartl [20] described a cognitive-behavioral model of
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HD in 1994, which highlights information processing deficits; problems in forming emotional attachments; behavioral-avoidance and erroneous beliefs about the nature of possessions. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) in individual psychotherapy is widely used for HD treatment [21]. In an open trial conducted by Tolin in 2007 [22], 60% patients met criteria for clinically significant change on the Savings Inventory-Revised scale after 26 individual sessions of CBT. A waitlist-controlled 26-week trial [23] treatment included CBT case formulation, motivational interviewing, skills training for organizing and problem-solving, direct exposure to non-acquiring and discarding, and cognitive therapy. The intervention showed a nearly 10-point (15%) average reduction in total SI-R scores in the CBT group and an insignificant reduction in the wait-list group. Short-term (12 weeks) CBT therapy has reduced HD symptoms in 30% of the sample [24]. In geriatric patients, however, CBT did not demonstrate any significant effect [25]. Jönsson & Haugaard [26] compared group and individual CBT in patients with OCD including participants with HD. The meta-analysis showed overall large (Cohen's d > 0.8) mean changes on the Y-BOCS scale after group and individual CBT and a non-significant effect-size difference of 0.19 Cohen's d (95% CI 0.84 to 0.46) in favour of individual therapy.

A meta-analysis of 10 trials of CBT in HD, performed by Tolin et al. [27] showed reliable and clinically significant change in HD patients of 40.12% for functional impairment and 25.44% for clutter. Williams [28] reported effect sizes, limits and challenges of using a non-acquiring and discarding, and cognitive therapy. The wider theme that the person fears becoming unecological. IBT has shown great symptoms improvement than CBT [43] success supporting the hoarding doubts helps to shift the focus away from reality and away from using sense-based criteria to decide if the object is worth keeping or not, based on realistic criteria.

In IBT, the person gains insight into how he/she inverses normal inference by mistaking a subjective often imaginary possibilities for real probabilities and this blending of reality and imagination, termed ‘inferential confusion’ accounts for certain types of dissociation (e.g. obliviousness; depersonalisation [41] found in HD and OCD. This subjectively constructed narrative doubting the authenticity of possessions. IBT also deals with apparent ego-syntonic values in hoarding by exposing how the constructed hoarding-self in reality imposes a subjective illusionary value on the actual value of possessions. IBT also deals with apparent ego-syntonic values in hoarding by exposing how the constructed hoarding-self in reality sabotages the authentic self and self-values.

This treatment was applied to HD since hoarding behavior contains over valued ideas (OVI) [42]; the advantage of IBT that it has shown great symptoms improvement than CBT [43] success with other types of OVI.

This open trial study planned to explore (a) if the IBT would lead to a consistent clinically significant decrease of hoarding symptoms over participants; (b) which baseline factors, such as socio-demographical factors or mental health comorbidities [44] influence hoarding severity and (c) which variables (if any) moderate IBT efficacy.

Materials and Methods

Study sample

Participants were recruited from 2007 to 2014 from the Obsessive Compulsive Disorders and Tics Study Centre by announcement, from local health providers and from volunteers. The inclusion criteria was hoarding as a principal problem. Exclusion criteria were: bipolar disorder; psychotic disorder and schizophrenia; not stabilised on medication within the last 3 months; major problems on axes I and II; organic brain disorder and suicidal ideation within the last 6 months.

We screened 50 people reporting significant hoarding
problem; 11 people were excluded according to the exclusion criteria; the remaining participants (n=39) were assigned to IBT: 12 participants were assigned to virtual reality-based therapy [39]; and 27 participants were assigned to individual IBT therapy. Among the participants assigned to individual therapy, eight participants refused treatment before the beginning of therapy because of scheduling conflict; the IBT sessions were available in working hours only. Of the 18 participants who were enrolled in the therapy one participant abandoned. A total of 17 participants completed the therapy. Six-month follow-up data was available in nine participants. All participants from the above studies were recruited from the same reference sources; so no population bias was associated with allocation to different type of therapy.

**Procedures**

The IBT intervention is a program of 20 weeks comprising modules addressing the difference between pathological doubts and normal doubts; the confusion between illusions and reality; exposing the narrative supporting the hoarding doubts; constructing an alternative narrative grounded in reality; making decisions based on senses and common-sense; exploring the feared-self, motivating the doubts and identified with hoarding versus recognising the authentic non-hoarding self; relapse prevention. All participants received the same customized program and work-sheets over the same number of sessions (twenty sessions). Therapy was administered by licensed Psychologists trained in IBT for OCD and HD with at least 5 years’ experience. Four separate therapists administered identical manuals for IBT and treatment adherence and fidelity were assured by close supervision.

**Measures**

Participants were evaluated by independent clinical evaluators blind to type of therapy in pre and posttreatment stages and 6 months after the completion of therapy.

Clinical evaluation was made with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I and Axis II Disorders (SCID-I and SCID-II). The SCID-I and SCID-II are semi-structured interviews for making the major DSM-IV Axis I and II diagnoses. SCID-I has a reliability of 0.65-0.83; SCID-II has a reliability from k=0.62 for schizotypal personality disorder to k=0.94 for depressive personality disorder [45].

The outcome of interest measure was the Vancouver Obsessive Compulsive Inventory Hoarding Subscale (VOCI-H, [46]). Vancouver Obsessive Compulsive Inventory is a 55-item self-reported questionnaire with very good internal consistency of >0.9 and good test-retest reliability of 0.8 in OCD setting. Internal consistency of the Hoarding Subscale (items 10, 22, 26, 35, 42, 45, 51) ranges between 0.8 in nonclinical adults and 0.92 in participants with OCD.

Some participants were additionally evaluated with the Saving-Inventory Revised questionnaire (SI-R, [10]), a 23-item questionnaire which measures compulsive acquisition, difficulty discarding objects and clutter. The questionnaire has a reliability of 0.8-0.93 and internal consistency of 0.94.

Comorbidity status was assessed by Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (YBOCS) [47], the Beck Depression Inventory [48], the Beck Anxiety Inventory [49] and Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axes I and Axes II Disorders [45].

**Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (YBOCS)** is a 19-item semi-structured interview evaluating a presence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms with an internal consistency of 0.69 and test-retest reliability of 0.9 [50]. Higher scores are associated with more severe symptoms. Scores between 8 and 15 are associated with mild; between 16 and 23 with moderate; between 24 and 31 with severe and between 32 and 40 with extreme obsessive-compulsive symptom severity.

**Beck Depression Inventory (BDI II)** is a 21-item self-report inventory of depression. Higher scores are associated with more severe depressive symptoms; scores between 14 and 19 associated with moderate; between 20 and 28 with severe and between 29 and 63 with extreme depression symptom severity [51]. The test has an internal consistency =0.92 – 0.93 and test-retest reliability = 0.93.

**Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)** is a 21-item self-reported rating of anxiety. Higher scores are associated with more severe anxiety symptoms; scores between 8 and 15 associated with moderate; between 16 and 25 with severe and between 26 and 63 with extreme anxiety symptom severity [52]. The test has an acceptable internal consistency (α = 0.84) and test-retest reliability of 0.63.

The Expanded version of the inferential confusion questionnaire (ICQ-EV, [53,54]) is a 30-item self-administered questionnaire measuring distortion of the senses and imaginary possibilities; higher scores are associated with higher distrust of the senses and inverse inference. The ICQ-EV was used to evaluate attributed to therapy underlying inferences in patients’ perception. The test internal consistency is 0.97 and test-retest reliability is 0.9.

**Statistical analysis**

The descriptive statistics of study sample provided means/frequencies and standard deviations for continuous/ordinal variables. All measures pre- and post-test therapy and their differences scores were tested for normality of distribution; further statistics were carried out according to these tests results.

Comorbidity status measures were dichotomised as clinical/ non clinical symptom severity. Cut-points were established as follow: for the YBOCS a cut-point of 15 points (0-15 vs 16 and more), for the BDI II a cut-point of 19 (0-19 vs 20 and more), and for the BAI a cut-point of 15 (0-15 vs 16 and more). The ICQ-EV scores and VOCI-H scores were dichotomised according to Jacobson [55] from reported means and standard deviations for clinical and non-clinical samples, as (0-85) vs (86 and more) for ICQ-EV and (0-12) vs (13 and more) for VOCI-H. Missing data imputation was made using regression method [56]. A significance level of 0.05 was established for all the tests.

We compared sociodemographic and clinical characteristics in participants who desisted before treatment or abandoned during treatment with participants who completed therapy; we also compared participants with missing data and completed data. We compared the same characteristics in participants who completed the therapy and participants available at 6-month follow-up.
Pre-treatment vs post-treatment and 6 month follow-up were analysed separately in each group by univariate general linear models for repeated measures to test for differences in VOCI-H scores as well as in mental health comorbidities measures. Age, sex, OCD, pre-treatment depression and anxiety symptoms, pre-treatment inference level and personality disorders were established as factors likely to affect or moderate the IBT efficacy; so, their impact on within and between group differences was assessed. Power of tests results and effect size (partial eta square, \( \eta^2_p \)) was also calculated.

Hierarchical linear regression with stepwise variable selection was used to understand the impact of (1) demographic factors and (2) clinical pre-treatment states on (a) pre-treatment VOCI-H scores (b) the difference in pre-and post-treatment scores (c) VOCI-H scores at 6-month follow-up. Clinically significant changes in hoarding scores with a confidence interval was calculated according to Jacobson [55] from reported means and standard deviations for hoarding and non-hoarding OCD samples; the non-clinical status cut-point was calculated from reported means and standard deviations for the hoarding OCD sample and non-clinical sample [46]. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistic 22.

**Ethics:** The Institutional Review Board of the approved the study. All subjects were informed about the study and all provided informed consent.

**Results and discussion**

**Participants**

In the dataset, there was one missing value for one ICQ-pre-treatment and for one ICQ post-treatment score in different participants, which were imputed using the regression method. The flow of participants is presented in Figure 1. Participants’ socio-demographic and clinical information are presented in Table 1, and pre-treatment and post-measures scores are presented in Table 2. In 14 participants the primary outcome measure was the VOCI-H scores only; in three participants primary outcome measure was available in SI-R scores only; in three participants primary outcome measure was available in SI-R scores only; four participants completed both VOCI-H and SI-R.

Participants who abandoned the study did not differ from participant who completed the therapy in terms of principal diagnosis distributions (p=0.96), sex (p=0.06), age (p=0.90) and baseline scores in BDI (p=0.84), in BAI (p=0.75), in Y-BOCS (p=0.54), and in ICQ-EV (p=0.75). There were no difference in age, sex distribution and pre-and post-treatment scores between participants with complete datasets and participants with missing data. Participants non-available for 6-month follow up had a lower probability of obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (chi-square test; p=0.04) and higher probability of avoidant personality disorder (chi-square test; p=0.036) than those who presented at follow-up. However, there was no difference in overall distribution in demographical and clinical variables in participants completing the treatment and participants available for 6 month follow-up.

**Pre-treatment vs posttreatment**

The general linear model showed significant within-group difference in VOCI-H pre-and posttreatment scores at the level of p=0.001 (\( \overline{\tau} = -5.21 \ SD=4.60, p=0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.58 \)). There were no demographical and clinical variables interacting with the treatment effect.

A significant between-group difference was found in groups of clinical/non clinical depression (p=0.035) and anxiety symptoms (p=0.01) and a marginally significant difference was found in groups of high and low/ICQ-EV levels (p=0.085). Subjects with clinical depression or/and anxiety symptoms or/and higher ICQ-EV scores had a tendency to (a) have a higher VOCI-H pre-treatment scores (b) be farther from the threshold of a clinically significant result (Table 3), but both those with baseline clinical depression and anxiety symptoms still showed significant changes in hoarding. Unfortunately, it was impossible to separate the influence of these factors due to the small sample size.

Participants with baseline high level of distrust of the senses and inverse inference measured by ICQ-EV showed a significant diminution in hoarding (\( \overline{\tau} = -6.30 \ p=0.001 \)).

**6 Months follow-up**

A global test of differences in VOCI-H scores across the three time points (pre-treatment, post-treatment, 6-months follow-up) was significant at the level of p=0.043, \( \eta^2_p = 0.33 \) (pre-treatment – post-treatment; \( \overline{\tau} = -6.11, SD=1.73, p=0.018 \); pre-treatment - 6 months follow-up: \( \overline{\tau} = -6.0 \); SD=2.73, p =0.06; post-treatment – 6 month follow-up : \( \overline{\tau} = -0.89, p=0.72 \)). The difference remained significant after controlling for demographical and other clinical variables, except depressive personality disorder.
Table 1: Study sample characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment, n=14</th>
<th>Follow-up, n=9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (std)</td>
<td>50 (10.63)</td>
<td>52.22 (9.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, females, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (64.3%)</td>
<td>6 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD, moderate to severe, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (57.1%)</td>
<td>4 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD, severe, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (42.9%)</td>
<td>4 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive PD, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (85.7%)</td>
<td>9 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoid PD, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (28.6%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histrionic PD, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (21.4%)</td>
<td>3 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial PD, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant PD, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (42.9%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline PD, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (28.6%)</td>
<td>3 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive PD, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (42.9%)</td>
<td>4 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent PD, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negativistic PD, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoid PD, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizotypal PD, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (7.1%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissistic PD, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD: Personality Disorder

Table 2: Treatment results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre-Treatment</th>
<th>Post-Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VOCI_H, mean (SD)**</td>
<td>22.14 (6.81)</td>
<td>16.93 (7.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICQ-EV, mean (SD)</td>
<td>76.34 (29.69)</td>
<td>65.64 (28.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>18.07 (9.68)</td>
<td>15 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI, mean (SD)**</td>
<td>12.64 (12.39)</td>
<td>8.43 (9.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS, mean (SD)**</td>
<td>25.86 (6.49)</td>
<td>16.86 (8.49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pre-Treatment: Post-treatment difference is significant on 0.05 level
**Pre-Treatment: Post-treatment difference is significant on 0.001 level

Table 3: Changes in VOCI-H scores in higher BDI, BAI and ICQ-EV groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depression Symptoms</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical, n=5</td>
<td>Non-Clinical, n=9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>27.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>21.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>6.4 (p=0.013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anxiety Symptoms</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical, n=4</td>
<td>Non-Clinical, n=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>7 (p=0.029)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confused Inferences</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher Level, n=7</td>
<td>Lower Level, n=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>25.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>19.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>6.29 (p=0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical power in range 0.5-0.6
**Statistical power in range=0.2-0.49
The presence of depressive personality disorder significantly modified treatment effect (p=0.003, Figure 2): participants with depressive personality disorder (n=4) showed less pre- and post-treatment difference in mean VOCI-H scores and no difference between pre-treatment and 6-month follow-up scores while participants without the disorder (n=5) showed a clear descending linear trend in VOCI-H changes across all the time-points.

The participants were divided into OCD -/+ according to a clinical diagnosis on the SCID-I and the VOCI-H scores were compared between the two groups. There were no differences in outcome on hoarding in participants classified as both severe and moderate OCD.

Twelve of 14 participants (86%; CI 67%-100%) showed positive changes in hoarding; four of them achieved the threshold of clinically significant changes in hoarding established according to the Jacobson and Truax criteria (28%, CI 5%-55%); all of them maintained the gain at follow-up; three of them crossed the threshold to non-clinical status at follow-up (33%, of nine participants at follow-up, CI 3%-64%) (VOCI-H=6). Six of nine participants (66%, CI 35%-97%) had positive changes in hoarding between baseline and 6-month follow-up; two of nine had no changes and one of nine had negative changes in hoarding.

Seven participants’ treatment effect was measured with SI-R and pre-treatment scores were compared with post-treatment (n=5) or follow-up scores (n=2). These SI-R results corroborated findings measured in VOCI-H (general linear model; global SI-R changes scores: $r^2 = 0.46, \beta = 0.5, SD=0.14, p=0.005$. There was no variable influencing the change between VOCI-H pre- and post-treatment scores.

The regression model for the VOCI-H scores at 6-month follow-up had an $r^2$ of 0.78 and included depressive personality disorder ($\beta= 17.7, SD=3.25, p=0.001$) as the independent variable.

Hierarchical linear regression

Hierarchical linear regressions with stepwise variable selection showed an impact of pre-treatment BDI on pre-treatment VOCI-H scores: $r^2 = 0.46, \beta = 0.5, SD=0.14, p=0.005$. There was no variable influencing the change between VOCI-H pre- and post-treatment scores.

The regression model for the VOCI-H scores at 6-month follow-up had an $r^2$ of 0.78 and included depressive personality disorder ($\beta= 17.7, SD=3.25, p=0.001$) as the independent variable.

Discussion

The IBT showed overall positive changes and a clinically significant diminution of hoarding symptoms in the range previously reported for CBT [3,27]. The effect of IBT remained stable at 6-month follow-up for 100% of cases who had shown clinically significant post-treatment hoarding improvement; there was no difference between post-treatment and 6-month follow-up hoarding scores. The treatment had a large effect-size [58] both at post-treatment and maintained at 6-month follow-up.

Depression, anxiety symptoms and high inferential confusions level or a combination of these factors were associated with higher pre-treatment hoarding level and affect the hoarding dynamics during the treatment, but more observation is required to understand the impact of each of these variables separately on treatment. Absence of depressive personality disorder was a strong predictor of improved VOCI-H scores at follow-up. Neither baseline moderate nor severe OCD, nor age and sex influenced treatment effects.

The reduction of Y-BOCS scores logically followed from the fact that the IBT addressed doubts, illusions and overevaluated ideas also relevant to OCD. As well, improvements in anxiety symptoms can be explained by diminution in hoarding. There were no participants with normal/mild level of Y-BOCS scores, so, we could not test the impact of OCD severity on treatment results more precisely then by using established OCD diagnosis.

Results are preliminary due to weak statistical power but the preliminary results do give some insight in the hoarding therapy process. Other limits of the study are clearly the lack of a control group and the small number of completers. The choice of the VOCI-H scale as an outcome measure did not permit evaluating the hoarding components: such as difficulty discarding; acquisition; and clutter. However improvement was confirmed in a sub-sample of participants through convergence with the SI-R.

IBT directly addresses clinical features particular to hoarding: namely doubts and dissociative states (reasoning process giving rise to these doubts; inferential confusion and feared self). IBT is largely cognitive in nature and addresses the overvalued ideation in hoarding through targeting illusory associations and inferential confusions as well as beliefs about the self. The IBT target of doubt is a central component in changing the motivation to hoarding and is not addressed in traditional CBT. Whether focusing on cognitive factors facilitates eventual discarding requires a larger scale dismantling design but the clinical improvement shown in the preliminary results give a limited insight into the efficacy of the therapy process in IBT for hoarding.
Further, the results indicate that the presence of OCD did not impair application of the program but does highlight the role of personality disorder and comorbidity in limiting treatment success. IBT also grapples with the egosyntonic aspects of hoarding less by motivational interviewing and more by revealing how hoarding behaviour reflects a feared rather than an authentic self. For example a person may store newspapers convinced that the travel sections ‘may’ one day be useful and that he is the sort of person who could forget or will lose this information and be incapable of finding the information elsewhere. But listing the attributes of his authentic Self show he is a capable and efficient person well able to inform himself from diverse sources. This focus may help in repatriating the person from the paralysing world of hypothetical negative possibilities to the more dynamic and grounded land of the living. Self-themes seem to be increasingly recognised as underlying motivations for OCD [59].

Conclusion

The advantage of focusing on the feared self as one such self-theme may be that the feared-self reveals to the person the underlying insecurity motivating the doubts which in turn support the hoarding of one type but no other type of objects or hoarding in one but no other situations So what appears to be egosyntonic values in hoarding are an illusion generated by close identification with the self the person erroneously fears they can become, the feared self, and not the authentic self. IBT then complements existing approaches to HD and the cognitive aspects of IBT may add new dimensions to existing CBT approaches.
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