Evaluation of Round Window Accessibility for Electrode Insertion: Validation Study from two Centers

**Abstract**

**Purpose:** Round window insertion is advocated as a method to reduce insertion trauma and preserve residual hearing. Bony overhang of the round window niche and variations in the cochlear rotation might hamper the accessibility of round window membrane. This paper is an attempt to classify the round window membrane visibility before any drilling of the round window niche through the standard posterior tympanotomy approach.

**Methods:** A prospective study was carried out in two centers located in different parts of the country between October 2014 and June 2015. 55 patients in one center and 129 patients in another center underwent Cochlear Implantation through a round window approach. The round window membrane visible to the Surgeon through the posterior tympanotomy was graded into 4 types from I to IV based on visibility from 100% to nil. In all the cases cochlear implantation was accomplished successfully. Cases with abnormal cochlea were excluded.

**Results:** There was a significant difference between the distributions of grades I, II, and IV in the two centers. However the distribution of Grade III was comparable between the two centers.

**Conclusions:** The Grade III type round window is the commonest type seen in patients undergoing cochlear Implantation in two geographic regions in India. This classification is surgically viable and aids the surgeon to access the round window membrane.
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**Introduction**

The preservation of residual hearing has emerged as an issue of concern in cochlear implantation. The indications of cochlear implantation have broadened from bilateral profound sensory neural hearing loss with normal auditory nerve function to include cases with residual hearing [1]. More emphasis is being given to the study of factors and techniques of preservation of residual hearing in order to maximize the hearing and speech results after cochlear implantation.

The factors that determine preservation of residual hearing are type and dimension of array [2,3], traditional cochleostomy vs. round window insertion [4,5], insertion technique [3,6] and drugs used during insertion [7,8]. Soft surgery technique with round window insertion has played a major part among the steps taken for residual hearing preservation in the last decade. Round window approach to inner ear, reduces insertion trauma and could potentially preserve residual hearing. Hence more surgeons are using the round window approach for Cochlear Implantation. While approaching the round window in round window intentioned (RWI) cases, the view of round window membrane is obscured by round window niche (RWN). The visibility of round window membrane after an adequate posterior tympanotomy determines the amount of drilling that is required for accessing the round window for electrode insertion. We propose a classification system for round window visibility after posterior tympanotomy which will guide the surgeon regarding the amount of drilling that may be required for insertion of the electrode. Our classification is different from the earlier proposed St. Thomas hospital classification by Leong et al. [9] as it classifies round window visibility after adequate drilling of the round window niche.

**Methods and Materials**

A prospective study was carried out in two tertiary care centers in Northern and Southern part of the country from October 2014 to June 2015. A prior approval of Institute Ethics Committee was obtained. All patients undergoing cochlear implantation during this period in both the institutes were included in the study. There were 184 patients in total including 55 patients in institute 1 and 129 patients in Institute 2. Intra operative round window accessibility was studied in all these patients. Patients with cochlear anomalies detected in preoperative radiological analysis were excluded from the study. The results were analyzed by SPSS v20.0. (IBM SPSS 2011) [10]. An informed consent was obtained from all the patients (parents in case of minor children) before recruitment.
All the patients were taken up for surgery after a detailed audiological and radiological work up. Cochlear implantation was done with an adequate posterior tympanotomy approach. After achieving the best possible exposure, the round window membrane visibility was graded in order to determine the amount of drilling of RW niche that will be required to perform a round window insertion. This grading was done before any drilling of the round window niche.

The round window visibility was graded as Grade I (Figure 1): >50% of the round window membrane is visible. Grade II (Figure 2): 25% to 50% of the round window membrane is visible. Grade III (Figure 3): <25%, i.e. only a glimpse of the round window membrane can be seen and Grade IV (Figure 4): Round window membrane is not visible. The same grading system was followed in both the centers uniformly by the two surgeons (author 1 and 2). This classification was used and types and numbers were recorded in both the institutes and later they were compared and correlated for validation. The Personnel who analyzed the data was blinded about the centers.

Results

There were a total of 55 patients in institute 1. Out of which grade I, II, III, IV types of round window was seen in 6 (10.9%), 4(7.27%), 36(65.45%) and 9(16.36%) patients respectively. Institute 2 had 129 patients who underwent cochlear implantation during this period. Of these 129 cases 25(19.37%), 28(21.70%), 38(29.45%) and 38(29.45%) patients had grade I, II, III and grade IV type of round window membrane visibility respectively. Grade III was the most common type of grade among patient population in both the institutes. (Figure 5) The total distributions of various grades in patient population of both the institutes in two parts of the country were not statistically different from each other (p=0.4, Mann Whitney U=3282, z=-0.842).

On using χ² test to compare the individual groups it was seen that both the groups differed significantly in terms of the patient distribution in grade I, II, IV. However, the difference was not significant in grade III (Table 1). Grade III was comparable and was the most common type of round window present in the population in both northern and southern part of the country.

For all the cases with grade I electrode could be easily inserted through the round window. For grade II, minimal drilling of the niche was required for smooth round window electrode insertion. For grade III type of round window complete drilling of round window was required for smooth electrode insertion.
window and cristae fenestra was required. For grade IV type of round window membrane either a round window insertion or a standard cochleostomy antero-inferior to the round window niche was performed. In Institute 1 3 out of 9 (33%) required a standard cochleostomy whereas in Institute 2 13 out of 38(34%) patients of grade IV needed a cochleostomy.

**Figure 5:** Showing the distribution of RW grades in the two centres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Institute 1</th>
<th>Institute 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>No of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Inter group comparison of distribution of grades (grade wise).

Discussion

The soft surgery technique for hearing preservation in Cochlear Implantation has been the topic of discussion for few years. The round window approach has been advocated as a method to reduce insertion trauma and preserve residual hearing. However accessing the round window membrane could be difficult due to the bony overhang of the round window niche. Additionally the variable size and orientation of the round window may make electrode insertion difficult and challenging.

Round window electrode insertion has been noted for expose the round window membrane fully. As surgeon has some idea about the extent of drilling of niche to it may be possible to do a membranous insertion in type II and III drilling related trauma. On the contrary, the results suggests that drilling related trauma in such instances. They reported that drilling the overhang (niche) and is conical in nature with the horizontal postero-superior part which is closure to the osseous lamina and a vertical membranous antero-inferior part along which the electrode array is inserted [11,12].

The various factors which result in loss of residual hearing include surgical trauma during cochleostomy or during the insertion of the electrode (perilymph outflow, direct inner ear damage such as damage to the basilar membrane, osseous spiral lamina or lateral wall). There can be a delayed loss of residual hearing which is basically reactive in nature and is caused due to inner ear toxicity (blood, irrigation fluids or bone dust), or inflammation (infection, fibrosis, effusion) after placement of the electrodes [13]. However, loss of residual hearing is primarily related to the event of insertion of the electrode array [14,15]. Hence Lenhardt in 1993 proposed the soft surgery techniques for cochlear implantation in order to reduce this insertion related trauma [16].

Round window membrane insertion is considered to be an important step in preservation of residual hearing as it minimizes intra cochlear trauma and subsequent new tissue formation [17,18]. This is also less harmful compared to the standard cochleostomy [19]. The better audiological outcomes with round window insertion are due to absence of drilling into the cochlea leading to prevention of chances of bone dust migration into the cochlea [4]. Additionally, there is availability of increased length of spiral lamina averaging to 2 mm for stimulation with the electrode and utilisation of complete basal turn and increased perimodiolar placement of the electrode leading to increased stimulation of residual dendrites [5,20]. Roland et al. [21] demonstrated that drilling the overhand of the round window may increase the visualization by up to three times. This might allow the surgeon to insert the electrode along the midscala axis avoiding modular trauma.

In this study, an attempt has been made to classify the round window visibility before performing any drilling of the round window niche. This classification prepares the surgeon to have a prior idea about the extent of drilling that may be required after performing an adequate posterior tympanotomy. Our classification differs from the earlier classification by Leong et al. [9] in which the authors graded the round window membrane after drilling the niche. The authors could not perform membranous insertion in 9% of cases of type IIb (less than 50% visibility) and all cases of type III (when no RWM could be seen even after best surgical drilling). The authors had to resort to the standard bony cochleostomy in such instances. They reported that drilling of the round window niche did not help in providing an access to the round window membrane and carried an inherent risk of drilling related trauma. On the contrary, the results suggests that it may be possible to do a membranous insertion in type II and III as surgeon has some idea about the extent of drilling of niche to expose the round window membrane fully.
We observed that around 65% cases with grade IV round window membrane. Eventually, we had a round window insertion. However, presence of a grade IV type of round window could caution the surgeon for a possible standard cochleostomy. We suggest that the Surgeon should always make an attempt to perform a round window insertion failing which a standard cochleostomy could be accomplished. For rest of the grades I, II and III; minimal drilling of postero-superior lip of round window niche and removal of crista fenestra enabled us for membranous insertion of the electrode array into the cochlea, making our classification a more surgically viable one.

The proposed classification was validated by studying the distribution in two different geographical regions of the country. The distribution was similar in both the centres with regard to grade III type of round window (<25% or only glimpse of the round window being visible) being the commonest type of round window in Indian population. This validation in two centres was necessitated due to diversity of anthropometric variables in the two regions of the country [22,23].

**Conclusion**

Round window insertion is a significant step towards preservation of residual hearing during cochlear implant surgery. A reduction in the amount of drilling required for electrode placement during round window insertion is an important step for cochlear implant surgeons to achieve preservation of residual hearing. The proposed classification facilitates the surgeon to decide the amount of drilling that may be required to achieve a membranous insertion. It makes the decision easy to decide whether to attempt a membranous insertion or to go for bony cochleostomy. Grade III type of round window is the most common type of round window in Indian subcontinent which has been validated in two centres located in different geographical regions.
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