Current Approach to Cerebrospinal Fluid Rhinorrhea Diagnosis and Management

Abstract
Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) rhinorrhea clinical approach and therapeutic techniques are rapidly growing and the literature is almost daily enriched with new studies, techniques, and trials. In this article, I tried to collect, summarize, and organize the updates on this topic from the English literature, and deliver it in a clinical approach manner with suggested algorithm and clarifying illustration so it can be easily understood by the readers and applied by those who are dealing with such cases.

Introduction
CSF Rhinorrhea is defined as the presence of CSF within the nasal cavity as a result of abnormal communication between the subarachnoid space and the extracranial space. See Figure 1. This communication can be as a result of each of the following:

a) Traumatic: Trauma (80-90%) is the most common cause of CSF leak either due to head injury or iatrogenic [1-4].

b) Spontaneous leaks either secondary to raised intracranial pressure or with normal intracranial pressure [5-8].

c) Congenital [9] either due to Encephaloceles or persistent craniopharyngeal canal.

d) Miscellaneous causes include erosion of the skull base by tumors, infection, mucocoele, and following radiation.

The diagnosis of CSF Rhinorrhea is established on 3 main aspects:

Clinical
a) History: duration, characters of the leaking fluid (taste & consistency, aggravating factors), meningeal symptoms, trauma, previous surgery.

b) Physical examination: Complete ear, nose, throat, head and neck examination, cranial nerves assessment, and Fiberoptic evaluation of the nasal cavity looking for the site of the leak (commonly in cribriform plate, ethmoid roof, sphenoid sinus wall, frontal sinus posterior table).

Laboratory
a) CSF analysis of the fluid.

b) Glucose concentration is >30 mg/dl. Neither sensitive nor specific [10,11].

c) Beta-2 transfer in is the gold standard (sensitivity of 94% to 100%, and specificity of 98% to 100%), unfortunately not available in many centers, needs 5-7 days [10].

Imaging
This can be classified into primary and secondary:

Primary (helpful in the detection of most of the leaks) [12]:

i. MRI cisternography: heavily T2-weighted, fast spin-echo, fat-saturated sequences. Better in prone position with Valsalva maneuver. FLAIR sequence is very useful in differentiating CSF (change to dark) from sinus secretions (persist whitish) without the need for contrast [13,14]. Intrathecal injection of 0.5 ml of gadopentetate dimeglumine, diluted in 3-5 ml of CSF can be added for more details.

ii. HRCT: Can show the bony defect and, if there is, any protruding soft tissue through it, and also accumulating fluid, if any. And in some cases pneumocephalus may be seen. It is sensitivity is 88.25 to 93% [15]. It is less sensitive in detecting the exact...
site of the leak when there are multiple fractures [16].

iii. Combination of both HRCT and MRI-cisternography provide higher sensitivity and specificity, but usually it is not cost effective and sometimes its time consuming to wait for both to be ready. So, starting with HRCT is advised [12,13,17].

Secondary (useful if primary modalities failed to show the site of the leak) [12]:

a) CT-Cisternography with an intrathecal injection of nonionic iodinated myelographic contrast medium usually localizes the CSF leak. For a precise localization submillimetric cuts is the role. Pre- & post-cisternography images Hounsfield scale units should be compared. If more than 50% increase, it is leak. It can be a single investigational modality [18]. It is better to have direct coronal cuts rather than reconstructed cuts.

b) Intrathecal fluorescein [19,20,21] where 0.1 ml of 10% fluorescein is diluted in 10 ml of CSF and injected into the subarachnoid space over a period of 10 minutes, then nasal endoscopy to be done 30 minutes later, fluid can be seen by routine xenon light. If not, use blue-light filter setting.

Sensitivity 57.7-85.6%, specificity is 100% [22]. There is risk of developing seizures due to fluorescein [23]. So, the use of low concentration of fluorescein, slow administrations, and 24 hours supervision are advised prophylactic measures. This diagnostic modality is not approved by United States Food and Drug Administration yet.

c) Radionuclide cisternography by radioactive isotopes (indium-111 (\(^{111}\text{In}\)) injection either into the lumbar or suboccipital subdural and nasal pledgets in various high-risk areas. Head scans are acquired 2, 6, 12, and 24 hours. And follow-up scans after 48- or 72-hours. It is useful in the detection of intermittent CSF fluid leaks with sensitivity range of 50 to 100%, and specificity 100%. It is relatively poor in exact localization, therefore it is reserved for complex cases when the diagnosis is in question [24].

d) Nasal endoscopy [25]. The last choice is to explore the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses via and endoscopic sinus surgery looking for the leak and the defect site. Valsalva maneuver and jugular compression could improve detection rate (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Suggested algorithmic approach for CSF rhinorrhea.
Management

Management can be classified as conservative and interventional:

1) Conservative management, for 2-4 weeks [26], especially for traumatic leaks. In form of Acetazolamide [27], laxatives, and the prophylactic antibiotics. As well as bed rest with head elevated, avoidance of sneezing, heavy lifting and straining. Lumbar drain can be useful.

2) Interventional Management is used in spontaneous leaks and in cases where conservative measures failed. It has a varying success rate according to the technique:

Intracranial approach

70 to 90% success rate. Combined intracranial extradural and intradural approach allows the visualization and repair of the entire anterior skull base. The intracranial approach is indicated in the following conditions [2,28,30]:

i. Extensive bone defects in the cranial base.

ii. Multiple fractures of the ethmoid bone and the posterior wall of the frontal sinus.

iii. Associated intracranial lesions requiring surgery.

CSF leak is severe, recurrent, or not amenable to the endoscopic treatment.

i. Procedure: up to date, no studies on the types of craniotomy and which type is preferred [31]. For a frontobasilar defects a bicoronal frontal craniotomy can be used, the dura is opened, the brain is gently retracted, localize the defect site, remove any bony shrapnel, cover the defect with the graft or with a pedicled periosteal flap which can be sutured to the defect site dura, for a water tight sealing use a tissue glue material, the dura is closed either edge to edge or to the peristium if a pedicled periosteal flap is used, the frontal bone is returned in place and the wound is sutured[32].

ii. Disadvantages [12]: This approach has a relatively less success rate, high risk of anosmia, risk of postoperative intracerebral hemorrhage, cerebral edema, epilepsy, frontal lobe dysfunction, osteomyelitis, and external scar. Because of these risks the patient may need longer hospitalization and delayed return to the normal activity. Also with this approach it is difficult to approach sphenoid sinus rhinorrhea.

Extracranial approaches

i. Open sinus surgery [2] where the defect site can be approached via external ethmoidectomy or frontal sinusotomy. This approach is useful for larger defects (> 5 cm) and frontal sinus posterior wall defects.

ii. Endoscopic Technique: This approach has a much less morbidity[33], therefore less hospital stay. It has a higher success rates, 87-100% in first attempt, 94-100% in second attempt [4,34-36]. Also it carries much less risk of injury to olfactory fossa. With the help of the endoscope, this approach has easy access, precision, and accuracy of the surgery. For all of this advantages, nowadays, it is the procedure of choice [37-39], especially in cases where there is small defects in the sphenoid sinus, cribiform plate, anterior and posterior ethmoid sinus. Control of intracranial pressure is helpful for success of this procedure [40]. In cases of frontal sinus defects, the modified Lethrop approach is useful either alone or with endoscopic approach [41-43].

Preparations

Use navigation [44]. 30 cm length scope is better. If using intraoperative fluorescein, a blue filter for the light source may aid in the identification of the defect. The mucosa is completely stripped away from the defect for at least 5 mm in all the directions. Encephaloceles need to be reduced by using bipolar electrocautery at the stalk. Several Graft materials can be used; cartilage, bone, mucoperichondrium, septal mucosa, turbinate, fascia, abdominal fat, conchal cartilage, free tissue, pedicle tissue or composite grafts. The uses of the various types of graft materials do not seem to alter the outcome [4,45,46,47]. Different ways of applying the graft; Bathplug see (Figure 3) where a fat plug with a specifically secured vicryl suture into the intradural space [48]. Composite mucochondrial flap, for larger defects [49]. Middle turbinate, composite bone/mucosa, for moderate and large defects [50]. Inferior turbinate graft for defects > 2 cm [50].

Technique [12,51]

Either Overlay where the graft is applied within the nasal/sinus cavity to seal the defect or underlay where the graft is applied beyond the skull base. In the underlay technique it can be either epidermal or intradural, where the results are almost equal but the intradural technique is relatively difficult. If the dura is widely opened and massive intraoperative CSF leakage is encountered, direct suturing of the dura is better [52,53,54]. Nowadays sandwich technique, by combining intradural and extradural grafts, is the trend among the experts and seen to adds more security to the sealing of CSF and augments the results of repair [55]. Laser tissue welding is an experimental technique that has been found to create an above-average-strength seal without significant inflammatory sequelae [56]. Tissue sealants to add stability to a multilayered repair. Apply gel foam between the graft and the nasal pack. Remove the pack in 3-5 days. Tissue glue can obviate the need for packing [57]. Bed rest 3-5 days with head elevation and antibiotic is recommended (Figure 4, 5 & 6).

Adjuntive Technique, lumbar drain: Not in all cases [58]. Useful in cases of frontal and sphenoid sinus defects with or without meningocele or encephalcele [59,60,61], and in those with high ICP. It can be kept for 3-5 days.

Complications of endoscopic techniques include meningitis (0.3%), brain abscess (0.9%), subdural hematoma (0.3%), smell disorders (0.6%), and headache (0.3%). These possible complications are much less when compared to Craniotomy [60].

The recurrence of CSF rhinorrhea occurs in up to 50% [62]. Causes include spontaneous CSF rhinorrhea, elevated body mass index, extensive skull base defects, middle age, female gender.
diabetic patients, in cases of multiple leaks, those with high intracranial pressure [63,64,65]. Frontal sinus leak and the lateral sphenoid leaks repair have the highest failure rate (44%) [66]. In order to prevent recurrence, exact localization of the defect and proper technique, graft choice and Differentiating between high and low flow leaks are essential [67-70]. Also, early detection of high ICP, (20 cm H₂O), and applying a lumbar drain will decrease the risk of recurrence [71]. Prophylactic universal sellar reconstruction in cases of pituitary surgery found to decrease the risk of recurrence [72,73].

Conclusion

CSF rhinorrhea most commonly due to trauma where conservative measures are useful and the chance of spontaneous healing is high. In cases of iatrogenic and spontaneous leaks, it is less likely to heal with conservative measures. So, treating these cases early is recommended to prevent life-threatening sequelae. Beta-2 transfer in is the gold standard in the diagnosis of a CSF leak. MRI with FLAIR sequence is very useful and specific diagnostic and localizing technique without risk of contrast or radiation exposure, and therefore can be the first single diagnostic modality. Endoscopic management is the most useful approach for most of the cases. Conservative measures are advised to be utilized postoperatively for most of the cases to avoid failure, which is commonly attributed to inadequate exposure intraoperatively and non appropriate care postoperatively.
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