Radiosurgery for multiple brain metastases: two cases reports

Abstract

Brain metastases are the most common intracranial tumors in the adult population and historically treated with whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT). However, as medical oncology advances improve life expectancy, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) has replaced WBRT as the standard for limited brain metastases,1–3 nevertheless has been less published in the case of multiple brain metastases. In these cases reports, we detail two patients at our institution that received SRS for multiple brain metastases and demonstrated acceptable tolerance and response. SRS is emerging as an acceptable alternative in multiple brain metastases and the decision to indicate it should be made with consideration of overall prognosis for each patient.
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Introduction

Brain metastases are diagnosed in to 20–40% of patients diagnosed with cancer and whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) has been the standard treatment, but associated with deleterious effects on neurocognitive function and quality of life.1,2 Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) has become as a means to treat this selected patients groups.3

Actually, WBRT remains the standard approach for treatment of patients with multiple brain metastases. However, new non-randomized studies evaluating SRS alone in patients with four or more brain metastases have shown comparable overall survival (OS) to WBRT. Therefore, SRS to multiple lesions in a single session has evolved to become a new alternative to WBRT with good favorable overall prognosis.4–7 In this article, we report the outcomes of SRS for multiple brain metastases in select cases.

Case presentations

Patient #1

A 63-year-old woman with a history of breast cancer of 5years of evolution with bones metastasis controlled with systemic treatment and 2years later presented cerebral metastases treated with WBRT sparing both hippocampus (20Gy in 5 fractions). One year at the end she has new brain progression without neurological symptoms and controlled systemic disease. We evaluated the case and in view of the good general condition we indicated re-irradiation with radiosurgery to all visible lesions: 83 (eighty-three) in total (Figure1). The total volume of disease was 34cc and the dose prescription of SRS 27Gy in 3 fractions. For the treatment, we used a dedicated linear accelerator (LINAC) Trilogy Varian, frame less immobilization and RapidArc modulated intensity radiotherapy technique (IMRT) with an treatment isocenter. After 6months of follow-up, there was no neurocognitive impairment or alteration of quality of life, without systemic progression and in the brain resonance complete response was seen in 90% of the lesions with tumor control of all the metastases (Figure2).

Figure 1 83 metastases, 3D reconstruction.

Figure 2 RapidArc IMRT technique.
Patient #2

30-year-old man, with lung cancer treated with chemotherapy and complete response to his primary tumor. In extension images studies at 6 months he presents multiple brain metastases without evidence of progression in another site. We propose SRS to all visible lesions: 24 (twenty-four). The total volume of disease was 15cc and the dose prescription of SRS was 27Gy in 3 fractions (Figure 3) (Figure 4). The treatment technique for this case was the same as that used for the previous patient. After 1 year of follow-up, there was no neurocognitive impairment without systemic progression and in the brain resonance complete response was seen in 100% of the lesions.

Discussion

Technologic advances in treatment delivery and treatment planning allowing for shorter treatment facilitate the use of SRS alone as the primary treatment for patients with more than four lesions with acceptable tolerance and local control.6,9 Factors such as a cumulative tumor volume, Karnofsky Performance Status, and Age, were better potential predictors of long-term survival on multivariable analysis rather than number of lesions alone. This can be used to identify the patients who would benefit the most from SRS. Nevertheless, patients who have regional failure after WBRT can undergo effective salvage SRS with low morbidity and may have similar outcomes to those who experience first brain metastases with similar performance status.6,10–15 Local treatment should be evaluated for patients with multiple brain metastases and good performance status for whom salvages SRS is technically feasible.

Conclusions

These are two cases reports of selected patients with multiple brain metastases that have exceptional outcomes after SRS. Several factors such as performance status, tumor volume and systemic disease are important for treatment election.
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