Comparison of Standard Agglutination Test and Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay to Detect Brucella Infection in Yemeni Pregnant Women

Abstract

Introduction: Brucellosis is a zoonotic disease caused by genus Brucella, transmitted to humans by direct or indirect contact with infected domestic animals or their dairy products.

Materials and methods: This study was carried out to compare between standard agglutination test and an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay to detect human brucellosis among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in some hospitals and health centers in Sana’a city, southern Yemen.

Results: A total of 304 serum samples were tested to detect brucella antibodies of which 87 (28.61%) were positive by SAT. However, only 45 (15%) were positive by ELISA. Out of 87 participants positive by SAT 58 (66.67%) were positive for Brucella abortus and melitensis, 24 (27.59%) were positive for brucella abortus, 5 (5.75%) were positive for brucella melitensis. Out of 45 subjects positive by ELISA, 17 (6%) were positive for IgG antibodies, 42 (14%) were positive for IgM antibodies.

Conclusion: A number of seropositivity for brucella antibodies by SAT was more than by ELISA. We recommend confirming the results of SAT by other test such as ELISAs because the ELISA is more sensitive than SAT.
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Introduction

Brucellosis is one of the most common zoonotic diseases worldwide, which caused by genes of brucella [1]. Brucella is a gram-negative, cocobacilli, an aerobic, non-fermenting, facultative intracellular, non-motile, non-spore-forming [2,3]. There are four species of Brucella (B.) genus (B. abortus, B. melitensis, B. suis and B. canis) are causative agents of brucellosis in humans [4,5]. Brucellosis is an endemic disease in Yemen, Middle East, Mediterranean basin, and South America [6-8]. It has been estimated that more than 500,000 human Brucella spp. infections occur per year with most reported cases occurring in the Syrian Arab Republic, followed by Mongolia, Kyrgyzstan and Iraq [9]. It is transmitted to humans by direct contact with infected animals or consumption of their raw products such as unpasteurized milk or cheese [10,11]. Milkers, live-stock farmers, abattoir workers, shepherds, veterinarians, meat processing workers and laboratory workers are at high risk of getting infected [12,13].

Conclusion

A number of seropositivity for brucella antibodies by SAT was more than by ELISA. We recommend confirming the results of SAT by other test such as ELISAs because the ELISA is more sensitive than SAT.
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Materials and Methods

A total of 304 blood samples were collected from pregnant women, who visited the antenatal clinic in some hospitals in Sana’a city, southern Yemen during the period from Jun 2016 to November 2017. Three to five-ml of blood was collected from the pregnant women by venipuncture, transferred into sterile anticoagulant-free sterile bottle, and allowed to clot. The clotted blood sample was centrifuged (3000 rpm, 5 min), and the serum was transferred into cryovials and stored at -10 to -20°C until analysis. All serum samples were tested by ELISA and SAT.
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Results

A total of 304 serum samples which tested to detect brucella antibodies 87(28.61%) were positive by SAT. However, only 45(15%) were positive by ELISA. Out of 87 participants positive by SAT 58(66.67%) were positive for Brucella abortus and melitensis, 24(27.59%) were positive for brucella abortus, 5(5.75%) were positive for brucella melitensis. Out of 45 subjects positive by ELISA, 17(6%) were positive for IgG antibodies, 42(14%) were positive for IgM antibodies. The cross-tabulation between SAT and ELISA (IgM and IgG) is shown in (Table 1).

Table 1: Comparison between ELISA and SAT for diagnosis of brucella infection among pregnant women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELISA</th>
<th>IgG</th>
<th></th>
<th>IgM</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucella abortus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20.83%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>79.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucella melitensis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. abortus and B. melitensis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.69%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>79.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19.54%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80.46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

In this study we compare between SAT and ELISA to detect human brucellosis among Yemeni pregnant women. Out of 304 pregnant women, 28.61% were positive for brucella antibodies by SAT while, only 15% were positive for brucella IgG and IgM antibodies by ELISA. Our findings showed that the number of positive samples was higher by SAT than by ELISA. May be the SAT give false negative at low dilutions of serum, but false negative reactions can be avoided by diluting the serum beyond 1/320. Moreover, false-positive reactions can also be obtained in SAT from cross-reactions with antibodies to Salmonella spp., Yersinia spp., Vibrio cholera, Francisella and other gram negative bacilli sharing common antigens [17]. In this study, we suggest that the sensitivity and specificity of ELISA was more than the SAT. This agree with other previous studies [18-20] who reported that ELISA typically uses the cytoplasmic proteins as antigens and measures IgM, IgG, and IgA, which allow for better interpretation. On the other hand, some other studies reported that this is not true with some studies who reported that ELISA was more sensitive than the SAT for the diagnosis of human brucellosis [21, 22].

ELISA as it is the most sensitive and specific serological assay, but ELISA tests are relatively costlier tests in comparison to SAT that require equipment and experience. In a comparative study conducted by Araj et al, it was argued that the ELISA method should be preferred because in chronic and complicated cases, SAT and Rose Bengal tests might miss a serious portion of positive cases [23]. Limitation of study: we had no gold standard test to determine the sensitivity and the specificity of the tests because we did not try to isolate the organism. Also, we were ambitious to use PCR technique, isolation and identification of the bacteria but we could not because of the low facilities.

Conclusion

A number of seropositivity for brucella antibodies by SAT was more than by ELISA. We recommend confirming the results of SAT by other test such as ELISA because the ELIAS is more sensitive than SAT.
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