Physiologic Considerations in Detection of Hypoxemia during Vasoconstriction: Radial Artery Compared to Various Pulse Oximeter Sensor Sites

Abstract

Previous studies have shown that the forehead circulation lacks vasoconstrictor reflexes during sympathetic stimulation. Other studies have shown significant delays for finger sensors in detection of hypoxemia, especially during vasoconstriction or hypotension. The purpose of this study was to compare pulse amplitude, time to detect hypoxemia, accuracy and performance of forehead, ear and finger sensors during peripheral vasoconstriction. We hypothesized that forehead sensors would show little change in pulse amplitude, rapid detection of changes in oxygenation and show best accuracy and performance during peripheral vasoconstriction. Following IRB approval and informed consent, we studied two groups of subjects during a model of peripheral vasoconstriction induced by exposure to an environmental temperature of 58-62 °F. We made measurements of pulse amplitude, accuracy and performance during stable hypoxemia and measurements of time to detect rapid hypoxemia. Statistical analyses were performed using two tailed Student’s t test and repeated measures analysis of variance. Significance was accepted at the P<0.05 level. For Group 1 (N=10), pulse amplitude of forehead sensors was not significantly affected by vasoconstriction. However, the pulse amplitude of ear sensors was significantly reduced by 55% (P<0.01) and finger sensors by 89% (P<0.001). For Group 2 (N=9) during rapid desaturation, mean lag times were not different between the radial artery and forehead (10.7±7.6 versus 10.1±5.4 seconds). However, mean lag times were significantly greater for sensors placed on the ears (25.6±8.5 seconds; P<0.001) and fingers (77.5±28.4 seconds; P<0.0001). We conclude that forehead sensor pulse amplitude was not affected during peripheral vasoconstriction. The forehead sensors also showed significantly faster times for detecting hypoxemia, better accuracy and best performance. We suggest that forehead sensors may offer significant advantages when monitoring patients with peripheral vasoconstriction or in situations where rapid detection of hypoxemia is critical.
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Introduction

Health Care Providers have multiple choices of sensors and sensor sites when monitoring arterial oxygen saturation non-invasively with pulse oximetry. The most common sites have been the digits for adults and foot or hand for neonates. However, there are alternatives including sensors for the ear, nose and forehead. These sites may offer advantages when there is trauma to the extremities or during cases of low perfusion or peripheral vasoconstriction. It was the purpose of this study to investigate the effects on pulse amplitude, time to detect rapid hypoxemia, accuracy and performance of various sensor sites during a laboratory model of peripheral vasoconstriction. Specifically, we developed a simple model to induce peripheral vasoconstriction by exposing subjects to an environmental temperature of 58-62 °F for 45 minutes prior to study.

In the first group of subjects we measured pulse amplitude during normal room temperature (above 70 °F) and after 45 minute exposure to 58-62 °F. In the second group of subjects, we investigated the effects of peripheral vasoconstriction on detection of hypoxemia for forehead, ear and finger sensors compared to radial artery oxygen saturation (SaO₂) measured by hemoximeter. Furthermore, we investigated the accuracy and performance of pulse oximetry arterial saturation (SpO₂) compared to SaO₂ measurements during the challenging conditions of low perfusion...
and peripheral vasoconstriction induced by the cooled room. We hypothesized that pulse amplitude would be least affected during peripheral vasoconstriction at the forehead compared to the other sites. Furthermore, radial arterial blood and forehead sensors would detect central hypoxemia sooner than sensors placed on the appendages of the ears and fingers and that the least vasoconstrictive sites would exhibit the best accuracy even during conditions of stable saturation.

Why monitor on the forehead? In 1942 Hertzman and Roth [1] published a study looking at the vasoconstrictive properties of fingers versus the forehead [1]. They used single wavelength photo plethysmography (as opposed to two wavelengths used in pulse oximetry) to measure pulse amplitude during four conditions known to stimulate the sympathetic nervous system. The four conditions were rapid awakening, loud noise, placing opposite hand in ice water and deep breath. All four conditions produced major reductions in pulse amplitude in the fingers while the forehead showed no effects.

To better understand these results, one just needs to apply a little anatomical and physiological knowledge. The forehead has a very unique microcirculation that is supplied from the internal carotid artery that has no vasoconstrictive properties in response to sympathetic stimulation. Recall that brain blood flow is highly auto-regulated and is the last organ to vasoconstrict during stress and sympathetic stimulation. If you think about it, it is a design that makes complete sense. The rest of the head skin is supplied by the external carotid artery that does have vasoconstrictor properties. In fact, the forehead circulation is the only microcirculation in the entire skin that has no vasoconstrictor properties and is supplied from the internal carotid artery. Figure 1 shows that almost all of the skin on the head is supplied from the external carotid artery except the small area above the eyebrow which is fed by a branch of the internal carotid called the supraorbital artery. The supraorbital artery exits the brain circulation via the supraorbital notch above the eye and feeds the forehead skin directly above the eyebrow.
are vasoconstricted, the forehead is warm and well perfused because of the lack of vasoconstrictor reflexes. Also note that the site of vasoconstriction in the fingers is just distal the digital artery.

A third advantage of monitoring on the forehead is the rapid response to changes in arterial oxygenation. Because the supraorbital microcirculation is supplied from the internal carotid artery, it directly reflects changes in brain or central arterial oxygenation. We previously reported that the mean lag time to detect hypoxemia was about 90 seconds greater for finger versus forehead sensors during peripheral vasoconstriction with the longest delay being 183 seconds [2].

Materials and Methods

Following internal review board (IRB) approval and informed consent, two groups of healthy adult volunteers were studied (Group 1 N=10; Group 2 N=9). All subjects were studied in the supine position. The fraction of inspired oxygen (F\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) was controlled by mixing nitrogen and oxygen with rotameter flow meters. Inspired and expired oxygen, carbon dioxide and nitrogen were monitored by a mass spectrometer. Continuous carbon dioxide measurements were used to calculate respiratory rate and to assess respiratory pattern. Heart rate and rhythm was measured and assessed by electrocardiography. A board certified anesthesiologist was present in the laboratory throughout the experiments. All experiments were conducted in the clinical laboratory at the Nellcor facility located in Pleasanton, CA.

Group 1 subjects were studied under two conditions. First condition was at normal room temperature above 70 °F and second was during cold room conditions of 58-62 °F after 45 minutes of exposure. The pulse oximetry systems consisted of monitors (Nellcor N-595) and sensors placed on the fingers (Nellcor MAX-A), ear (Nellcor D-YSE) and forehead (Nellcor MAX-FAST). Pulse amplitude was measured as the % change in infra red (IR) light measured by the photodetector (% IR modulation). Statistics were assessed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test and significance was accepted at P<0.05.

Group 2 subjects underwent radial artery cannulation and were acclimated to 58-62 °F for 45 minutes to induce peripheral vasoconstriction. Subjects were asked to dress lightly and studies were conducted in the same cold room after the 45 minute acclimatization period. Pulse oximeter response times were adjusted, where available, to be equivalent. Radial artery Sa\textsubscript{0}\textsubscript{2} values were compared to simultaneous Sp\textsubscript{0}\textsubscript{2} readings from two forehead sensors (Nellcor MAX-FAST, with and without ahead band), two ear sensors (Masimo LNOP EAR) and eight finger sensors (Nellcor MAX-A). Measures were obtained at saturation levels between 100 to 70%. Time to detect hypoxemia was measured as the lag time from the initial rapid change in F\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. Accuracy measures were assessed from simultaneous Sa\textsubscript{0}\textsubscript{2} and
SpO₂ readings that were obtained during steady-state conditions at stable saturations of about 100%, 85% and 70% (n=4 at each level).

Accuracy was assessed by calculating the root mean square of the differences (A\text{rms}) between the paired SaO₂ and SpO₂ values. Error was calculated as the percent time SpO₂ values were > 4 points different from the SaO₂ measurements during stable conditions. Dropout rate was calculated as the percent time that the pulse oximeter systems did not provide any values. Statistical analyses were conducted using repeated measures analysis of variance with Tukey’s adjustment for multiple comparison testing.

**Results and Discussion**

For Group 1, pulse amplitude of forehead sensors was not significantly affected by cold-induced vasoconstriction (Figure 3) [3]. However, pulse amplitude of ear sensors was significantly reduced by 55% (P<0.01) and finger sensors by 89% (P<0.001). Pulse amplitude of the forehead sensor (0.87±0.51%) was significantly greater than sensors on the ears (0.33±0.16%) and fingers (0.50±0.16%) during cold room conditions (P<0.01).

For Group 2 during rapid desaturation (Figure 4), mean lag times were not different between the radial artery and forehead (10.7±7.6 versus 10.1±5.4 seconds) [4]. However, mean lag times were significantly greater for sensors placed on the ears (25.6±8.5 seconds; P<0.001) and fingers (77.5±28.4 seconds; P<0.0001). Note that the ear sensor had the smallest pulse amplitude, did not provide continuous readings and dropped out repeatedly.

Table 1 shows that the forehead sensor with headband exhibited the best accuracy, least error, no drop outs and highest pulse amplitude during stable hypoxemia and peripheral vasoconstriction. In contrast, the ear sensors showed the worst performance with the poorest accuracy, highest error, greatest dropout rate and lowest pulse amplitude.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Finger</th>
<th>Forehead w/HB</th>
<th>Forehead w/HB</th>
<th>Ear Lobe</th>
<th>Ear Pinna</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSD</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.2*</td>
<td>5.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error &gt; 4 (%)</td>
<td>6.9*</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.4*</td>
<td>13.1*</td>
<td>18.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop Out (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.1*</td>
<td>2.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Amplitude (%)</td>
<td>0.70*</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.60*</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>0.14*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: p < 0.05 Compared to Forehead w/Headband [4].

**Figure 3**: Pulse amplitude reduced most in finger sensors while ear sensors had the lowest values. The forehead was not affected by cold induced vasoconstriction.
Our pulse amplitude results supported the findings of Hertzman and Roth [1] that published their manuscript in 1942, some 60 years earlier [1]. Delays in detection of hypoxemia have also been observed by others. Severingham and Naifeh reported delays of 14-24 seconds between ear and finger sensors during rapidly induced hypoxemia [5]. In a later study, Severingham and Spellman reported a single case of delayed hypoxia detection of 6 minutes during gravitational hypotension, induced by holding the hand well above the head [6]. Arterial blood pressure dropped to 55/5 mm Hg measured near the sensor site.

In another study, a group of anesthesiologist and researchers from the pharmacology laboratory and department of Anesthesiology at Duke University Medical Center were interested in our results and proposed a different model for inducing vasoconstriction. In their study they cooled core temperature by first having the subject lie on a cooling blanket (normothermic vasoconstriction) and compared paired SaO$_2$ and SpO$_2$ values [7]. The second condition was the addition of cold saline (4°C) by intravenous infusion and administration of nitroglycerin, a vasodilator (hypothermic vasodilation). The third was the discontinuance of the nitroglycerin administration (hypothermic vasoconstriction).

We were invited to attend and observed these studies. Figure 5 is an IR photograph we took of the first subject 20 minutes prior to hypothermia and then during an arterial blood draw while in the hypothermic vasodilation hypoxic challenge. Everyone was dismayed that the vasoconstriction appeared to be worse and the finger delays longer compared to the other conditions. In Figure 5, observe the degree of cooling all the way down to the base of the hand, even while administering nitroglycerin. The researchers were administering intravenous nitroglycerin which effects the entire circulation and causes a significant drop in blood pressure. The body’s baroreceptor reflex control system then tries to maintain blood pressure by further vasoconstriction to non-vital areas, thus more peripheral vasoconstriction. Figure 6 depicts the three hypoxic challenges in subject 5. The hypothermic vasodilation epoch is highlighted and it shows the longest finger delays that averaged about 2 minutes. Mild hypothermia similar to the protocol used in the MacLeod et al. study is common place during cardiac surgeries. One has to question the safety of monitoring on the fingers during hypothermia and the administration of vasoactive drugs.

Conclusion

We conclude that pulse amplitude from forehead sensors are not affected by peripheral vasoconstriction. Forehead pulse amplitude has the strongest signal and is most accurate when compared to the other sensor sites. Furthermore, blood SaO$_2$ and forehead SpO$_2$ were in complete agreement during rapid hypoxemia compared to ear and finger sites that were significantly delayed. Lastly, the forehead sensor with headband
showed the best accuracy, least error and highest pulse amplitude compared to ear and finger sensors. The ear sensors had the worst performance with poorest accuracy, highest error, greatest dropout rate and lowest pulse amplitude compared to the other sites.

Radial arterial blood (proximal the digital arteries) and forehead sensors (fed by the supraorbital artery arising from the internal carotid) are rapid indicators of central hypoxemia during peripheral vasoconstriction. Ear sensors (fed by branches of the external carotid) and finger sensors are susceptible to peripheral vasoconstriction and are slower to respond to changes in central oxygenation. Furthermore, pulse oximetry as measured at the forehead most accurately reflects blood hemoximetry values during vasoconstriction. We suggest that forehead sensors may offer significant advantage over ear and finger sensors when monitoring patients with peripheral vasoconstriction or in situations where rapid detection of hypoxemia is critical. The diminished performance of finger and ear sensors likely results from the physio-optical effects of reduced tissue blood volume in combination with small pulse amplitude.
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