A Case Report of a Facial Skin Lesion of Dental Origin

Abstract

Chronic inflammation due to periapical abscess can drain into the mouth or outside of the skin through sinus tract. In this last, it may be difficult to differentiate the opening of the fistula from primary skin conditions by the general physician who wrongly refers patients to dermatology, being a main issue of dentist.
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Introduction

Periapical abscess may be due to cavities or trauma are a highly common cause of intraoral fistulas, and in a less frequent occurrence extraoral fistula, which drain directly onto the skin [1,2]. This last is rare, but easily misdiagnosed due to the amount of differential diagnoses which may be mistaken, for so patients could undergo unnecessary antibiotic therapy, allowing the infection to be chronic [3,4]. Fortunately, life threatening complications such as osteomyelitis and sepsis are not very common [5,6]. Whenever a patient has a facial or cervical fistula, it is mandatory a complete oral exploration looking for an odontogenic abscess besides a fibrous tissue (fistula) on the mandibular angles, cheeks or chin that connects jugal with gingival mucosa, causing the skin retraction [7-9]. It is necessary to corroborate the periapical infection with a panoramic x-ray, or it may be done by drawing the sinuous tract con radiopaque material such as gutta percha, and then takes the x-ray [10-12]. Therapy consists of treating the underlying infection, which may be with endodontic treatment in teeth, which can be saved, or if the piece is completely useless, it is best to remove, along with its fistulous react [1-4]. This article describes the case of a patient who was wrongly referred to dermatology for surgical resection of a probably basocelular carcinoma on the cheek, based on the presence of retraction in the skin and lack of oral symptoms. This manuscript should help guide the general physician to understand that cutaneous lesion could be the consequence of dental pathology, and this way make the right derivation for odontological treatment [12].

Case Report

79 year old male, born and raised in Antioquia, with a personal history of prostate carcinoma and undergoing oncological treatment with leuprolide acetate, whom was referred to a dermatology department for a biopsy of a lesion on his right cheek, thought being malign. During anamnesis the patient described a lesion on the right cheek approximately the last 3 years, completely asymptomatic and had no relation to any other personal medical history. Also, he said he was partially toothless. In the physical examination, it was noticed a facial orifice on the cheek with a retraction of the surrounding skin (Figure 1). An oral exploration once the patient took off the dental prostheses revealed one original right canine and a right premolar, also present it could be seen a fibrous tract that coincided with the retracted skin lesion and connected the right jugal with the gingival mucosa at the level of the inferior premolar. There was cavities and abfraction of the same exact dental piece, nerve root being completely exposed (Figure 2). Based on these findings, the patient was referred to stomatology to have the fistula treated. Panoramic x-ray identified periapical radiolucency in tooth number 44 and fillings in pieces 43 and 44 (Figure 3). The patient is now waiting endodontic treatment.
Discussion

Cutaneous dental fistulas are not a common dermatological subject, being the reason why is misdiagnosed by dermatologist and surgeons. A study published by Miri et al. [13] which was realized in Iran in 1,527 patients with a personal history of endodontic treatment, it was found a frequency of a sinus tract of 9.9% in contrast with another study reported by Sadeghi et al. [14] in 2011 in a very similar population with the same procedure and under canalicular therapy, in 728 patients it was set a prevalence of 14.7%. [13,14] submitting the patient to unnecessary antibiotic treatments, biopsies, resections, and even radiotherapy, with a not so favorable outcome and a recurrence of the fistula [1-3]. Patients with or cutaneous fistulas it has been thought that odontocutaneous fistulas are more frequent in children and adolescents, due to the fact that the alveolar processes are not fully developed, although, it has been seen in patients older than 20 years of age [9]. The average of the patient age varies: Sadeghi et al. [14] reported an age range of 10-69 years; in the Guevara et al. [15] study the mean was 45 years with a higher presentation of sinus tracts in patients older than 51 years. In the Slutzky et al. [16] the mean was 46 years [14,15]. According to gender, the presence of odontocutaneous fistulas can vary: Guevara et al. [15] found 53% of women with this condition and Sadeghi et al. [13] in a 9.1% and Huang et al. [17] in 45.3% of women, meanwhile; Sadeghi et al. [14] did not find significant differences between men and woman [13-17]. Initially, cavities due to microorganisms such as Fusobacterium nucleatum sp. Vicentii, orphyromonas gingivalis Veillonella parvula, Enterococcus faecalis, Campylobacter graculis and Neisseria mucosa invade the tooth through the enamel and dentin, infecting the pulp causing necrosis, periodontitis and formation of the periapical abscess, rich in anaerobic bacteria. Usually this process is acute and renders the patient to seek medical attention [4-18]. With the passing of time between six months and 30 years, added to the partial and total destruction of the tooth, the purulent material finds a way out through an orifice in the interior of the mouth (intraoral sinus tract), or into the skin (extraoral sinus tract) [6-21]. Based on a recent report, extraoral fistulas are more common in women and adults and intraoral fistulas are usually found in children [16]. The draining pathway depends on the length of the root and the exposition of the apex, in relation to the muscle union to the bone: if the infection goes underneath the buccinator muscle in the maxillary area or if the infection passes on top of the mentionian muscle, myobiyoidus or buccinators in the mandible, the most probably, the result is an intraoral fistula. [12-22]. On the contrary, there is perforation of the cortical on top of the muscular union in the maxillary and underneath the mandible, the tract is an extraoral odontocutaneous fistula. The purulent material tends to find a way out through a place that presents less resistance, in order to relieve the pain and the edema, the purulent material does this by passing through the periodontal ligament, bone and soft tissue right into the epidermis, creating an abscess or cellulitis of the skin, which leads to a hole in the skin [9-23]. Besides cavities being the most common cause of periapical infection, there can be other causes such as trauma, root retentions, odontogenic cysts or chronic mandibular infections that lead to odontocutaneous fistulas [24]. Clinically, there can be a soft nodule on the skin, symmetric, erythematosus, or covered with scab tissue, the skin can be retracted because of a fibrous tissue that joins the jugal mucosa with the gingival tissue, which if it is palpated may produce the exit of purulent material. In other cases it may manifest with abscess, cysts, ulcers, or scars. Only 50% of the patients feel pain or dental sensitivity, but there may be evident drainage which can produce esthetic problems [19]. The most common causes of extraoral fistulas are associated with mandibular teeth in 80 to 87% of the cases, in a proportion of 4:1 between mandibular and maxillary unions and more common in anterior dental pieces like canine or incisor than posterior teeth [2-18]. In other rare occasions it has been documented otherwise [13-15]. Topically, they are localized in the angles of the mandible, chin and cheeks, and most of the times it can be predicted where the fistula will drain, depending on what tooth is affected: the mandibular premolars and molars will drain into the submandibular regions by some into the cheek of the affected side as well. Meanwhile the same pieces, but on the maxillary region will drain directly into the cheek [7-20]. The maxillary incisors will most likely drain into the base of the nose and the canine into the internal part of the eye. There are less cases with drainage sites located distant to the affected area such as chest, muscles and sacral region [10-28]. The diagnosis of a fistula requires suspicion, a well done medical history and an adequate physical and oral examination and sensitivity test. Past inflammatory process and dental work should be asked about. A panoramic and a periapical x-ray may show some radiolucent areas, traces with gutta percha on the sinus tract (radioopaque material put into the fistula and then take the x-ray). If there is purulent material it is best to take samples for cultures, in 60% of the cases it may be caused by anaerobic bacteria [8-22]. Ultrasonography and CAT scans may be useful, but not necessary for diagnostics. If the biopsy material was sent to the lab the findings would be granulomatous tissue, pseudoeptiheilomatous hyperplasia and chronic inflammation [23-26]. Usually it can misdiagnose the diagnostics, as there are other entities that can generate fistulas, pyogenic granuloma, salivary gland fistulas, congenital fistulas, infected cysts, deep mycosis infections, actinomycosis, thyroid cyst, pestules, furuncles, reactions to foreign bodies, skin carcinomas such as basal cell and squamous cancer; inverted follicular keratosis, dacyrocystitis, supplicative lymphadenitis, tertiary syphilis and tuberculosis [27,28]. Rarely periapical abscess and fistulas generate complications, as mentioned before, osteomyelitis and sepsis [6]. Treatment consists of the elimination of the infectious agent through endodontic work if the piece can be recovered, in 12.7%
of cases [21]. If it cannot, it is best to remove it and complete resection of the fistula as well. There should be administration of systemic antibiotics if the patient is diabetic, immunosuppressed or has signs of systemic infection [26-29]. Once the infection is treated the sinus tract will heal in 5-14 days and closes by secondary intention, but the esthetic results are not so favorable; patients present scaring and depressions of the skin, which is why it is always recommended surgical removal of the fistula [9].

Conclusion
It is very important to have a clear understanding and suspicion, as well as a very detailed medical history and an oral and physical exam, no matter whose patient it is. An initial dental-work-up would have avoided this patient unnecessary dermatology visit and instead he could be directly referred to stomatology.
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