Effects of simvastatin on prevention and progression of induced periodontitis in rats

Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the effect of simvastatin prior to or during periodontitis induction in rats.

Methods: Twenty-four rats were divided into 4 groups, according to the treatment received over the 13 days before and after ligature insertion around first lower molar in order to induce periodontal disease (PD): Ss (Simvastatin-Simvastatin); Sw (Simvastatin-Water); Ws (Water-Simvastatin) and Ww (Water-Water). Simvastatin was administered by gavage (35mg/Kg/day). After euthanasia, radiographic, macroscopic and histometric analysis were performed for assessment of alveolar bone loss.

Results: After radiographic, macroscopic and histometric analysis, it was found no significant difference among groups.

Conclusion: Simvastatin, in dosage used, had no effect on PD when administered prior to or during the induction of PD in rats.
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Introduction

Statins are used to prevent and treat coronary diseases because they act as competitive inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) reductase, the enzyme responsible for converting acetyl-CoA to mevalonate, an important intermediate in cholesterol metabolism, therefore widely used for treatment and prevention of coronary diseases. In addition, the inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase by statins is believed to lead to several pleiotropic effects, including the stimulation of bone tissue. Studies using simvastatin have shown potential for stimulating osteoblast function by increasing the expression of BMP-2. Statins also stimulate the release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), osteocalcin and bone sialoprotein and human studies have shown a protective effect of statins against osteoporosis, a reduction in fractures and improvements in bone mineral density (BMD). Periodontal disease (PD) is a prevalent chronic inflammatory disease that results in alveolar bone loss. According to World Health Organization, about 15-20% of middle age adults have the disease, and the annual cost with periodontal therapy is estimated about over than 14 billion dollars in USA. The anabolic action of statins has been studied in terms of the recovery of alveolar bone loss. Considering the contradictory results in the literature and since simvastatin shows a protective effect against osteoporosis and decreases fracture risk, our aim was to evaluate the effect of simvastatin before and during PD induction in rats, in order to study its prophylactic action in periodontitis.

Material and methods

Experimental groups

This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (CEP 034/07). In total, 24 rats (n=6) were used (Rattus novergicus, albinus Wistar). The numbers of rats were calculated based on previous experiments. The animals were divided into 4 groups according to the treatment they received over the 13 days before and after ligature insertion:

a. Group Ss (simvastatin-simvastatin): An aqueous suspension of simvastatin was administered by gavage (35 mg/kg/day, Simvascor, Baldacci, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) before and after PD induction.

b. Group Sw (simvastatin-water): Simvastatin was administered before and filtered water after PD induction.

c. Group Ws (water-simvastatin): Water was administered before and simvastatin after PD induction.

d. Group Ww (water-water): Water was administered before and after PD induction.

Periodontal disease induction

The rats were anaesthetised with 13mg/kg of xylazine hydrochloride 2% (Anasedan-VetBrands International, Miramar,
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Florida, EUA) and 33mg/kg of ketamine (Dopalen-Ceva Saúde animal Ltda, Paulínea, SP, Brasil) by intramuscular injection and immobilized in an appropriated device, in which the animal were kept with the mouth open. The PD was induced by inserting two loops of a polyester thread (Drima Coats Corrente, São Paulo, SP, and Brazil) around the first lower molars.

Radiographic analysis of alveolar bone support

After the treatment, the animals were sacrificed, and their jaws were removed and fixed. The right hemimandibles were radiographed (65kVp, 7mA, Gendex 765DC- Gendex Dentals- Des Plaines, IL, USA) with focus-object distance of 30cm, exposure time of 0.063 s and X-ray incidence by lingual side. Percentage of bone support was calculated in duplicate according to the linear measurements between the distal root apex and the tip of the distal cusp (AB segment), between the apex of the distal root and the bottom of the defect/bone crest (AC segment), and the angle between these 2 segments (CAB angle)\(^2\) (Figure 1A). The analysis was performed using Image J 1.3p software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) according to formula: bone support (%) = (AC cos(CAB)x100)/AB. The mean of alveolar bone loss percentage founded in each animal were used for statistical analysis, using ANOVA test (\(\alpha=5\%\)).

Macroscopic analysis of alveolar bone loss

After radiographic analysis, the right hemimandibles were macerated, stained with methylene blue (1%) for demarcation of cementoenamel junction and photographed under magnification. Measurements were obtained for the distance between the cementoenamel junction and the bottom of the bone defect in the midline of the 3 roots of the first molar (Figure 1B), using Image J 1.3p software, by one single blind examiner. For statistical analysis we used the mean of the 3 linear measures of the lower first molars, and the data were submitted to Kruskal-Wallis test (\(\alpha=5\%\)).

Histological analysis

The left hemimandibles were decalcified and prepared for routine staining with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). Descriptive histological analysis was performed under light microscopy and the area of alveolar bone loss was determined in the furcation region of the first molar after scanning 4 sections separated by 20μm between them, using image J 1.3p software (Figure 1C). The data was submitted to ANOVA test (\(\alpha=5\%\)).

Results

No significant differences were observed between groups in the macroscopic (F=0.8325, df=3, p=0.5059), radiographic (F=0.3282, df=3, p=0.8069) or histological (F=0.2665, df=3, p=0.8498) parameters (Figure 2A-2C). There were no differences in any analyses between animals receiving simvastatin before the induction of PD and those that received water, suggesting that simvastatin does not have a protective effect against the development of PD. There was also no difference between the groups receiving simvastatin following the induction of PD and the control group, demonstrating that in this study, simvastatin was not effective in controlling the development of PD.

Discussion

Since the discovery of the pleiotropic actions of simvastatin by Mundy et al.\(^2\) through promotion of BMP-2 activity and stimulation of bone formation, this drug has been widely studied in periodontitis. However, as far as we know, this is the first report that analyzed the effects of a period of oral administration of the drug before PD induction. Simvastatin was administered prior to PD induction to examine its potential ability to protect periodontal tissues, since previous studies have shown a decrease in the rate of fractures and an increase in bone mineral density (BMD) in patients with osteoporosis who have used statins.\(^3\) Moreover, the administration of simvastatin after PD induction was proposed to clarify the controversy in the literature over the possible beneficial effects of this drug. In the present study, this drug did not reduce bone loss in PD, which is consistent with studies reporting a lack of effect on PD.\(^11,12\) We administered simvastatin orally to simulate the normal route of administration in humans who use this drug to reduce hypercholesterolemia. However, simvastatin shows a high degree of hepatoselectivity, and oral administration decreases the concentration that reaches the bone.\(^1\) Thus, other routes have been proposed to increase the concentration of simvastatin that reaches the bone, such as its local application,\(^14\) which has proven to be effective in preventing alveolar bone loss in rats\(^15\) and humans.\(^16\) Price et al.\(^19\) found that simvastatin conjugated with alendronate administered locally prior to periodontitis induction reduced the alveolar bone loss in rats, what may suggest that simvastatin alone has no protective effect on alveolar bone loss due to periodontitis. In contrast, other authors reported a reduction in bone loss in PD in rats with orally administered simvastatin at doses of 10, 20 and 30 mg/kg/day.\(^9,12\) Similarly, Ho et al.\(^11\) administered simvastatin at concentrations of 10 and 20 mg/kg/day by gavage to ovariectomized rats and observed an increase in osteogenic proteins in the tibia at a dose of 20 mg/kg/day.\(^26\) Xu et al.\(^26\) administered simvastatin locally (0.8mg/0.05mL), orally (25 mg/Kg/day) and used both administration routes in a ligature-induced periodontitis model in ovariectomized rats, for two months, and observed that the local and the association of local and oral administration of simvastatin were effective in preventing alveolar bone loss, although the oral administration alone had no effect on alveolar crest height. In our study, we administered simvastatin at 35 mg/kg/day. Although there is no consensus regarding an optimal dose of statins that would have an effect on bone tissue, doses ranging from

Figure 1 (A) Radiography with labels for segments AB and AC and the angle between these segments (CAB). (B) Magnified macroscopic image with the linear measurements of bone loss. (C) Microscopic image with labels of the area demonstrating alveolar bone loss in the furcation area; 50x magnification HE.

Figure 2 Means and standard deviations for macroscopic alveolar bone loss. (A) percentage of bone support. (B) The area of alveolar bone loss & Figure 2C.
1 to 30 mg/kg/day have been used in various studies resulting in negative, neutral and positive effects on bone tissue. Maritz et al. evaluated the effects of different doses of simvastatin on BMD in rats and reported a significant reduction in BMD with 1 mg/kg/day. Using 20 mg/kg/day, the same authors reported an increase in the parameters for both bone formation and bone resorption, resulting in no change in the BMD. These results are consistent with the lack of a significant difference observed for the parameters analyzed in our study. The effect of statins on PD appears to depend on the amount of plaque and/or gingival bleeding, as, when such parameters are not evident, there is an increase in the pocket depth when the drug is used, suggesting that the action of statins also varies with the degree of inflammation. Thus, the induction period for PD, which is associated with the degree of inflammation, may also have affected our results.

**Conclusion**

Simvastatin, in dosage used, had no effect on PD when administered prior to or during the induction of PD in rats.
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