Lymphomatoid contact dermatitis: the importance of its diagnosis, treatment and follow up

Abstract

Contact dermatitis is a frequent, pruritic/itchy inflammatory dermatosis, caused by external agents in contact with the skin. It represents 4 to 7% of all dermatological appointments, besides being one of the main occupational diseases. The lymphomatoid contact dermatitis (LCD), described by Gomés Orbaneja in 1976, is defined as a particular form of allergic, persistent and chronic contact dermatitis, with clinical and/or histopathological characteristics similar to cutaneous lymphoma. The purpose of this article is to describe a case of LCD showing the necessity to be attentive to its diagnosis and prescribe the therapeutics to control its dermatological lesions efficiently.
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Introduction

LCD, described by Gomés Orbaneja in 1976, is defined as a particular form of chronic and persistent allergic contact dermatitis, with clinical and/or histopathological characteristics similar to cutaneous lymphoma.\(^1,2\)

This type of contact dermatitis is part of the spectrum of skin lymphomatoid hyperplasias also known as pseudolymphomas\(^1-3\) which are formed of lymphoproliferative reactive processes of T or B cells resulting from a variety of causes.\(^2\) Several allergens such as gold, nickel, glutaraldehyde, ethylenediamine and N-isopropyl-N\(^9\) phenyl-phenylenediamine (IPPD) have been described as a trigger factor of LCD\(^1,2\) having as its main and most accepted immunological mechanism the delayed hypersensitivity, Gell and Coombs type IV with the sensitization of T-cells.

From the histopathological point of view, the findings between cutaneous lymphomas and pseudolymphomas can be indistinguishable.\(^1,2\) The absence of acanthosis, presence of prominent epidermotropism, deep lymphocytes monomorphic infiltrate, monoclonality in the genic rearrangement and destruction of the skin adnexals are findings that suggest a real cutaneous lymphoma of T cells. Alternatively, the findings of epidermic spongiosis or spongiotic micro-vesicles are more associated with LCD. This being so, the definitive diagnosis is performed from the correlation of clinical history data, histopathology and immunohistochemistry, in addition to the positive contact test.\(^1\)

Case report

A 66 year-old-man presented with erythematous to violaceous nodules and plaques on his face, anterior chest and posterior cervical region for three years (Figure 1) (Figure 2). He works as a fork lift.

The histopathological exam from a biopsy of his face showed acanthosis with a granular layer thickened in some parts of epidermis or even absent, focal parakeratosis, moderate spongiosis, and exocytosis of typical lymphocytes and focal superficial necrosis of epidermis. There was moderate lymphocytic infiltrate around superficial vessels. The diagnosis was compatible with eczematous dermatitis (Figure 3).

Immunohistochemical panel of the lymphoid infiltrate did not show malignant criteria. The contact test was strongly positive for potassium dichromate and nickel sulfate, and positive for Peru Balsam, cobalt chloride, (MIX) and PPD (MIX) perfume.

The patient was advised to keep distance from suspected substances and dark clothing and was mometasone prescribed. After 2 months, the patient returned showing only a residual hyperchromia (Figure 4) (Figure 5).

Figure 1 Erythematous to violaceous nodules and plaques on the face.

Figure 2 Close-up picture reveals details of the lesions.
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Figure 3 (A) BK: HE 100x. Epidermis show focuses of spongiosis, lymphocyte exocytosis, hypogranulosis and parakeratosis. There is a superficial moderate perivascular lymphomononuclear inflammatory infiltrate. (B) Image 002: HE 400x. Superficial Necrosis of the epidermis, spongiosis and exocytosis of lymphocytes.

Figure 4 After treatment.

Figure 5 Residual hyperchromia.

Discussion

LCD has a chronic nature, benign at first, but its diagnosis is challenging due to the difficulty in finding the particular allergenic substance. Allergic contact dermatitis can be clinically and/or histologically similar to cutaneous lymphoma.1–9

It manifests clinically as erythematous, violaceous or brownish plaques or nodules which can coalesce when associated to pruritus.1–6 Psoriatic like erythroderma can occur. The finding of atypical CD4-positive cells in the epidermis may suggest mycosis fungoides. However, alterations in the epidermis, such as spongiosis, are findings in favor of LCD.6 In spite of being a benign disease at first, individuals need to be vigilant towards its progression to a cutaneous lymphoma, as if the aggression persists; there is a possibility of abnormal mitosis of the T-lymphocytes involved in the pathogenesis of the disease.9

Conclusion

In conclusion, we reported a case of LCD with a clinical picture that resembled lymphoma, but the histopathological exam with immunohistochemical analysis helped to exclude this and favoured a diagnosis of a spongiotic process.
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