Abstract
Conservative Mastectomies provide removal of the entire breast parenchyma, saving the outer covering of the mammary gland with the possibility of performing an immediate reconstruction preserving women body image. With the oxymoron “conservative mastectomies”, we indicate Skin-Sparing Mastectomies (SSM), Nipple-Areola Complex-Sparing Mastectomies (NSM) and Skin-Reducing Mastectomies (SRM). Eventhough randomized controlled trials comparing conservative mastectomies with traditional mastectomy and breast conserving surgery would be auspicial in order to achieve higher levels of evidence, we could confidently conclude that conservative mastectomies offer the psychological advantages of good cosmesis and maintenance of woman body image without compromising the oncological safety of mastectomy.
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Conservative Mastectomies

Conservative Mastectomies provide removal of the entire breast parenchyma, saving the outer covering of the mammary gland (subcutaneous fat, skin and nipple (if oncologically safe)) with the possibility of performing an immediate one-stage or two-stage implant-based reconstruction or an immediate autologous tissue reconstruction, preserving women body image. Skin-Sparing Mastectomy was first described in 1991 by Toth and Lappert as an effort to maximize skin preservation to improve cosmetic outcome and facilitate reconstruction [1]. Mastectomy with preservation of the skin and the Nipple-Areola complex was first described even before than SSM: Rice and Stücker in 1951 presented the “adeno-mammectomy” for benign diseases [2] and Freeman in 1962 introduced the term “subcutaneous mastectomy” [3].

Other authors in the last 15 years used the terms “total skin-sparing mastectomy”, “nipple-sparing mastectomy” or “NAC (nipple-areola complex)-sparing mastectomy”. NSM is similar to SSM for the dissection of skin flaps, but also consider the respect of the nipple-areola complex. Obviously the additional preservation of the NAC makes the procedure more technically demanding, with the need of complete removal of the retroareolar ducts and preservation of nipple vascularity.

Some authors recommend the nipple eversion during surgery and the use of sharp dissection instead of electrocautery to limit thermal injury and increase NAC preservation rates [4]. Some authors attempted to precondition the NAC by dissecting it under local anesthesia from the underlying breast tissues several days before the mastectomy to stimulate blood flow from the peripheral skin [5-6]. Performing this approach, the authors present the advantage of retroareolar biopsy before mastectomy and the biopsy specimen could be submitted to permanent histological analysis.

Usually, the retroareolar tissues are removed at the time of the conservative mastectomy and the specimen is analyzed by frozen section. Other authors used intraoperative radiotherapy of the NAC when the frozen section of retroareolar tissue is negative, as a risk-reducing technique for local recurrence [7]. An appropriate incision for NSM should ease both the mastectomy and the reconstruction, preserve the NAC blood flow and guarantee a good cosmetic result. Several incisions have been proposed to achieve these goals: periareolar/circumareolar (+/- inferolateral or superolateral extension or omega), radial (straight, lateral or vertical), inframammary, inverted-T and transareolar [8-11]. Trans-areolar and periareolar/circumareolar incisions present the highest risk of NAC necrosis, while lateral radial incision ease the glandular dissection and the access to the axilla for sentinel lymph node biopsy, leaving the NAC untouched [7,12].

Some surgeons also presented minimally-invasive video-assisted techniques through a mid-axillary skin incision [13-14]. Survival of the NAC is one of the most important issues when performing a NSM. Complete necrosis of the nipple rates range from 0 to 60% [12,15]. Factors affecting NAC vascularity are smoking habit, young age and type of skin incision [16]. Other common complications are capsular contracture following implant-based reconstruction and skin flap ischaemia. Implant-based reconstruction is extensively used in association with conservative mastectomies, both one-stage (direct-to-implant) and two-stage (expander to implant). Two-stage reconstruction is preferred in case of compromised blood supply reducing the retroareolar pressure, skin tension and flap ischaemia in the immediate postoperative days [17]. The implant is always positioned under a muscular pocket created by the pectoralis major and the serratus muscles. Human acellular dermal matrices and synthetic meshes could provide lower pole coverage allowing a direct-to-implant reconstruction [18,19].

Conclusion
Conservative mastectomies provide a better quality of life for women with breast cancer. The preservation of the nipple-areola complex in particular offers the possibility of preserving the woman body image. Even if the studies indagating conservative mastectomies are low-evidenced, the low rates of local recurrence reported in several large retrospective series and prospective cohorts with 5-year survival rates of more than 95% reassure both patients and surgeons. Eventhough randomized controlled trials comparing conservative mastectomies with traditional mastectomies.
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mastectomy and breast conserving surgery would be auspicable in order to achieve higher levels of evidence to answer to many open questions (the minimum distance between tumor and nipple, maximum tumor size, best skin incision, type of reconstruction), we could confidently conclude that conservative mastectomies offer the psychological advantages of good cosmesis and maintenance of woman body image without compromising the oncological safety of mastectomy.

References