Cancer Metabolic and Immune Reprogramming: The Intimate Interaction Between Cancer Cells and Microenvironment

Abstract
Cancers are highly complex tissues characterized by dynamic and reciprocal interactions between tumor cells and their microenvironment. During tumor progression, pervasive stromal reprogramming and remodeling transform a normal to a tumorigenic microenvironment. Heterotypic cooperation between tumor cells and immune/inflammatory and stromal cells allows selection of more aggressive cancer cells that develop important adaptive strategies. Among these strategies, metabolic and immune reprogramming has been recently highlighted. Overcoming metabolic stress is a critical step for tumor growth. Tumor cells shift towards a high rate of glycolysis and induce aerobic glycolysis in neighboring fibroblasts cells in type of host-parasite relationship. Recent data showed that sub-populations of tumor cells overexpress innate and/or adaptive immune markers like Toll-like receptors, immunoglobulin molecules and recombination activating genes 1 and 2, which are normally restricted to immune system cells. Accumulating evidence suggest that this expression can promote tumor growth and survival. This review focuses on the current knowledge in glucose metabolic and immune reprogramming during cancer progression.
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Introduction
Two of the most fundamental requirements to normal tissue survival are the ability to turn over nutrition to generate energy and develop an effective response against pathogenic agents. In contrast, cancers are highly complex tissues in which tumor cells interact with stromal cells within a modified extracellular matrix (ECM) [1]. This tumorigenic microenvironment is composed of a variety of mesenchymal immune/inflammatory and non-immune stromal cells. Heterotypic interactions between stromal cells and tumor cells with genetic and epigenetic alterations generate acquisition of numerous adaptive strategies, resulting in diversification of tumor cell phenotypes and tumor heterogeneity [2]. Among these adaptive strategies, we can distinguish plasticity, acquisition of stem-like properties, unfolded protein response, autophagy, and production of exosomes, invasion, metabolic reprogramming, immunosuppression and therapeutic resistance [3]. The importance of metabolic and immune reprogramming in cancer progression has been highlighted recently [4].

This review focuses on the current knowledge in cancer glucose metabolic and immune reprogramming and discusses how tumor cells directly and indirectly interact with the tumorigenic microenvironment.

Cancer Metabolic Reprogramming
Metabolic alterations observed in cancer are adaptation mechanisms to support the anabolic requirements of tumor cells, or they arise from oncogenic alterations in metabolic enzymes themselves [5]. In order to survive and grow in host tissue, tumor cells consume glucose at high rates even in the presence of adequate oxygen, an effect first described by Warburg in the last century. Hence, aerobic glycolysis became a cancer hallmark. In fact, cancer cells are surrounded by stromal cells within ECM, supported by a vascular network. These stromal cells are forced to produce energy-rich nutrients to feed tumor cells. Thus, stromal cells could influence the metabolism of adjacent epithelial tumor cells by a mechanism of stromal-epithelial metabolic coupling [6]. In this scenario, hypoxia and reactive oxygen species [ROS] exert a key role in affecting cancer metabolism.

Glucose transport in cancer cells
Glucose is transported into the cell by GLUTs, which are present in all types of cells, and have a variable affinity for glucose. The GLUT families comprise 14 known members of...
proteins (GLUT1 to GLUT14) that mediate glucose transport through the cell membrane. Functionally, the GLUTs regulate the movement of glucose between the extracellular medium and the intracellular compartments, maintaining the glucose supply available for cell metabolism [7]. GLUT1, 2, 3 and 12 were the main glucose transporters in human tumor [8,9]. GLUT1, 5 and 12 expressions were detected in invasive and noninvasive breast carcinomas, while it was absent in adjacent normal breast tissue [10-12] GLUT3 is induced during epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and promotes tumor cell proliferation in non-small cell lung cancer [13].

Glycolysis in cancer cells

Normal cells obtain only 10% of their energy by Glycolysis, with the remainder being the result of mitochondrial respiratory activity. Under normoxic conditions the glycolytic pathway involves the metabolism of glucose to 2 molecules of pyruvate, and 2 NADH/H+ with a net gain of 2 ATP. Then, pyruvate enters the mitochondria and decarboxylated by pyruvate dehydrogenase into acetyl-CoA allowing it to enter the tricarboxylic (TCA) cycle [14]. Under the influence of hypoxia, glucose is catabolized to lactate via glycolysis to yield ATP; mitochondria cannot produce ATP in oxidative phosphorylation without oxygen to aid in electron transport. This type of production is termed “Pasteur effect”. The monocarboxylate transporter MCT4 mediates lactic acid efflux from most tissues that are dependent on glycolysis for their ATP production. MCT4 is up-regulated by hypoxia through a HIF-1α-mediated mechanism. This adaptive response allows the increased lactic acid produced during hypoxia to be rapidly lost from the cell [15].

In contrast, tumor cells have a high rate of glucose uptake and glycolytic metabolism. They obtain the majority of their energy by glycolysis, maintaining elevated rates of lactate production, which is sufficient for tumor cell survival in a hypoxic environment. Normal mitochondrial respiration cannot occur without oxygen, thus tumor hypoxia will cause a shift to glycolysis. Since glycolysis generates a net gain of only 2 ATP per glucose molecule, a markedly smaller amount of energy compared with the net gain of 38 molecules of ATP produced by respiration. Thus, to obtain sufficient energy, tumor cells require an increased glucose uptake.

The reactions catalyzed by hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase are the major sites of regulation of glycolysis in tumor tissue.

**Hexokinase:** There are 4 mammalian hexokinases 1-4. The hexokinase 2 (HK2), which is bound to the outer mitochondrial membrane, is frequently over expressed in tumor cells. HK2 is often the major isoform overexpressed and is required to maintain high levels of glycolysis. In practice, the hyperactivity of HK2 in cancers is the basis of positron emission tomography (PET) that is used for primary and metastatic cancer detection [16,17]. There is a significant association between the expression of GLUT1 and HK2 in cholangiocellular carcinoma, indicating that GLUT1 is major glucose transporter expressed in this tumor and that HK2 contributes to the increased metabolism of glucose [9].

**Phosphofructokinase:** 6-phosphofructo-1-kinase (PFK-1) is the major rate-limiting step of glycolysis. Three isoforms of mammalian PFK-1 encoded by different genes have been identified; P (in brain), M (in muscle), and L (in liver) [17]. PFK-1 activity is controlled by the intracellular ATP/AMP ratio, and high levels of ATP inhibit PFK-1 activity. Fructose 2, 6-bisphosphate (F2,6BP) is a powerful allosteric regulator that acts by increasing the affinity of PFK-1 for fructose 6-phosphate and decreasing the inhibitory effect of ATP [18]. The bifunctional enzyme 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase (PFK-2/FBPase) determines the steady-state concentration of F2,6BP. PFK-2/FBPase is expressed in several tissue-specific isoforms encoded by at least 4 genes PFKBI-4 that are all stimulated by hypoxia through hypoxia-response elements in their promoters. Several data revealed overexpression of PFKFB4 mRNA and protein in breast and colon cancer cells and in melanoma [19,20].

**Pyruvate Kinase:** Pyruvate kinase (PK) is the final rate-limiting step of glycolysis. There are 4 PK isozymes in mammals (L, R, M1, and M2) encoded by 2 genes, Pkl encoding the L and R isoforms and Pkm encoding the M1 and M2 isoforms. In contrast to normal cells, tumor cells express exclusively PKM2. Indeed, it has recently been shown that switching PKM2 expression to PKM1 in tumor cells reverses the Warburg effect and reduces the ability of the cells to form tumors in nude mice, indicating that PKM2 expression is necessary for aerobic glycolysis and maximal tumor growth. Moreover, the tyrosine kinase signaling regulates PKM2 activity [21].

**Warburg effect**

Tumor cells have a different metabolic profile from that of normal cells. They shift towards a high rate of glycolysis. Otto Warburg described tumor cells in mouse as having increased glycolysis and lactate production, compared to normal hepatocytes and renal cells in the presence of oxygen [22]. Tumor cells frequently use glutamine as another source of fuel. Glutamine enters the mitochondria and can be used to replenish Krebs cycle intermediates. It can be used to produce more pyruvate through the action of malic enzyme. Highly proliferative cells need to produce excess lipid, nucleotide, and amino acids for the creation of new biomass. Excess glucose is diverted through the pentose phosphate shunt (PPS) to create nucleotides. Fatty acids are critical for new membrane production and are synthesized from citrate in the cytosol through the action of ATP-citrate lyase (ACL) to generate acetyl-CoA. This process requires NADPH reducing equivalents, which can be generated through the actions of malic enzyme, IDH1, and also from multiple steps within the PPS pathway. Serine and glycine are critical for biosynthesis of nucleic acids and lipids as well as proteins [5,23].

Several signaling pathways are implicated in Warburg Effect. Growth factor stimulation results in signaling through receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) to activate PI3K/Akt and Ras. Akt promotes glucose transporter activity and stimulates glycolysis through activation of several glycolytic enzymes including HK and PFK. Akt phosphorylation of apoptotic proteins such as Bax makes cancer cells resistant to apoptosis and helps stabilize...
the outer mitochondrial membrane by promoting attachment of mitochondrial HK to the voltage-dependent anion channel complex, the major channel of the mitochondrial outer membrane. RTK signaling to c-Myc results in transcriptional activation of numerous genes involved in glycolysis and lactate production. The p53 oncogene transactivates TP-53-induced glycolysis and apoptosis regulator (TIGAR) and results in increased NADPH production by PPS [24].

**Reverse Warburg effect**

Fibroblasts represent the principal cellular component of tumor microenvironment. Once they are recruited, activated, and accumulated to the tumor microenvironment, these cells become cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs) or myofibroblasts, and have distinctly different morphological and functional features from their normal counterparts [25]. CAFs are a heterogeneous cell group with various sources. The locally resident stromal fibroblasts are considered a major source of CAFs. This process is called fibroblast to myofibroblast transdifferentiation (FMT). It is activated by growth factors such as transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) released by the tumor cells [26-29]. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) serve as another important origin for CAFs, contributing approximately 25% to the CAF population [30]. Several studies suggest that CAFs are derived from malignant epithelial cells via EMT [31]. and endothelial cells via endothelial to mesenchymal transition (EndoMT). A transgenic mouse model showed that up to 40% of CAFs arose from endothelial cells at the invasive front of tumors [32]. CAFs also originate from other cell types including smooth muscle cells, pericytes, adipocytes, or inflammatory cells [33].

Growing evidence highlights the role of CAFs supporting the metabolic reprogramming of tumor cells. Recently, it has been proposed that tumor epithelial cells also induce aerobic glycolysis in neighboring fibroblasts [34]. Then, CAFs undergo FMT and secrete lactate and pyruvate that could be taken up by tumor cells [35]. In this model, tumor cells use CAFs for providing them high energetic sources and transform the normal stroma into a microenvironment. CAFs feed tumor cells with high-rich glucose metabolism and reduce mitochondrial respiration. One of these genes encodes pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, isozyme 4 (PDK4) that are activated by HIF-1 [24].

The lactate uptake by cancer cells increases the surrounding pH, thus also protecting cells from the extremely acid microenvironment. Lactate can also stimulate the expression of hyaluronan and hyaluronidase in CAFs and tumor cells which can increase cancer invasion and metastasis [38]. Consistently, oxidative stress in CAFs leads to the up-regulation of MCT4, which shuttles lactate to the epithelial cells [39].

**ROS and HIF-1 involvement in cancer metabolism**

In tumor cells, ROS accumulate abnormally and induce signaling cascades that mediate the oncogenic phenotype. In addition to their impact on tumor cells, ROS also affect the surrounding cells that constitute the tumor microenvironment. ROS production increases tumor angiogenesis, drives the onset of inflammation and promotes FMT. Indeed, ROS have been implicated in the metabolic reprogramming of both cancer cells and CAFs, allowing an adaptation to oxidative stress that ultimately promotes tumorigenesis and chemoresistance [35]. It is also recognized that metabolic reprogramming is under control of oxidative stress and hypoxia. The transcription factors HIF-1 and HIF-2 play also a crucial role in the metabolic reprogramming of both CAFs and tumor cells. Although their role remains controversial under hypoxia, clear evidence now exist indicating that ROS stabilize the HIF proteins under normoxic conditions through modulation of PHD enzyme activity [40-44]. Fiaschi and Chiarugi [43] have shown that accumulation of ROS and HIF stabilization in CAFs result also from the down-regulation of SIRT3, a mitochondrial NAD-dependent deacetylase. HIF through SIRT3 can regulate CAFs metabolism driving the Warburg effect. In addition, HIF-1 regulates several genes involved in glucose metabolism, as GLUT1 and GLUT3 in order to increase the glucose uptake in the cell. Moreover, the HIF-1α promoter contains binding sites for several transcriptional factors, including SP1 (61). HIF targets MCT4, responsible for the export of lactate and activates PKM2 transcription, contributing to reprogram the glucose metabolism of epithelial cells (8, 62). Moreover, OCT1 which is a transcription factor, may cooperate with p53 in regulating the balance between oxidative and glycolytic metabolism. OCT1 regulates a set of genes that increase glucose metabolism and reduce mitochondrial respiration. One of these genes encodes pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, isozyme 4 (PDK4) that are activated by HIF-1 [24].

In summary, oxidative stress induced by ROS production has severe implications in CAFs metabolism. It drives aerobic glycolysis that in turn has profound effects on tumor microenvironment. CAFs feed tumor cells with high-rich compounds, and induce anti-oxidant defense in tumor cells allowing them to proliferate [35].

**The role of specificity proteins**

Numerous mammalian genes are regulated by specificity proteins (SPs), often in cooperation with other transcription factors. It has been shown that SP1 and SP3 bind to the mouse GLUT3 gene, SP1 mediating suppression and SP3 mediating activation of expression of this gene [44-46]. A variety of cancers have been shown to overexpress SP, particularly SP1 and to...
a lesser extent SP3 [47]. SPs are known to play a role in the regulation of multiple oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes as well as a number of cell cycle, apoptosis, and angiogenesis genes [44,47]. There is evidence for a role of SP1 and SP3 transcription factors, in conjunction with the constitutive activation of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, in regulating the abnormal glycolytic and lipogenic activity of cancer cells [17].

**Metabolic reprogramming via PI3K/Akt/mTORC1 activation**

Altered metabolism in tumor cells is not a passive reaction to damaged mitochondria, but rather an active oncogene-induced metabolic reprogrammation that allows cancer cells to support proliferation, survival and differentiation [4]. Oncogenes and onco-suppressors alterations (activation of PI3K/Akt/mTORC1, Myc and Kras, loss of 53) reprogram cancer cells metabolism by increasing glucose and glutamine uptake, promoting mitochondrial biogenesis and regulating transcription and translation. Activation of the PI3K/Akt pathway is perhaps the most common lesion in human cancers [5]. This activation leads to enhanced glucose uptake and glycolysis [40]. The PI3K/Akt pathway also promotes glucose carbon flux into biosynthetic pathways that rely upon functional mitochondrial metabolism. Several findings demonstrate that the reprogramming of mitochondrial citrate metabolism is a central aspect of PI3K/Akt oncogenic activity.

Interestingly, oncogene activation in tumor cells is sufficient to induce the metabolic reprogramming of CAFs toward glycolysis, via oxidative stress. It appears that oncogenes also act at a distance, via ROS production and inflammation, to induce metabolic symbiosis between tumor cells and the surrounding stroma. As a consequence, some oncogenes (Ras and NF-kB) act via oxidative stress to upregulate MCT4 in the tumor stroma [36].

mTORC1 (mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1) is a protein complex that functions as energy/redox sensor and controls protein synthesis. mTORC1 has been shown to have direct effects on promoting mitochondrial biogenesis, in part via a transcriptional complex that promotes the function of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma co-activator (PGC-1a) [49,50]. It has been established a causal link between aberrant mTOR activation and tumorigenesis, indicating that mTOR inhibition may have therapeutic potential [51].

**Cancer Immune Reprogramming**

Immune system is composed of innate immunity that is an early, general response against infecting agents, and adaptive immunity that provides specific protection against pathogenic agents. Innate immune responses are triggered by variety of pathogens, and involve the activation of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs), monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), natural killer (NK) cells and complements. Innate immunity to microbial pathogens relies on the specific host-receptor detection of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). DCs and macrophages, express a number of germine-encoded pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that specifically recognize and release pattern recognition molecules (PAMPs and DAMPs) and release cytokines that attract secondary, active and defensive cells from the blood.

There are at least three mechanisms in which immune responses protect normal tissue from tumor formation: (i) both adaptive and innate immunity, which can suppress viral infections that have the potential for direct cellular transformation; (ii), immunosurveillance, which involves cooperation between both types of immunity, identifies and eliminates transformed cells before they can establish malignancy; (iii) pathogen removal by the immune system reduces opportunities for inflammation, which is also considered a source of tumor development [52].

In contrast, once tumors are developed, the inflammatory response changes. As a result, a set of immune cells that are immunomodulatory, rather than inflammatory, can enhance tumor progression and metastasis. They do so by promoting angiogenesis, enhancing cell migration, invasion and intravasation and suppressing antitumor immunity [52]. The interaction between tumor cells and immune cells within tumor microenvironment can be distinguished in three stages: recruitment, education and response. Tumor cells recruit immune cells to the tumor microenvironment through the production of chemokines. Then, tumor cells polarize immune cells toward tumor-supporting cells through the secretion of cytokines that regulate immune cells differentiation, as well as acquire immune tolerance. Theses differentiated immune cells promote tumor growth and immune tolerance through the production of growth hormones, growth factors, chemokines and cytokines in the tumor microenvironment [53].

Surprisingly enough, sub-populations of tumor cells overexpress innate and/or adaptive immune markers like Toll-like receptors (TLR), immunoglobulin molecules (Ig) and recombination activating genes 1 and 2 (RAG1 and RAG2), which are normally restricted to the immune system cells.

**Interplay between TLRs and epithelial-stromal-immune coupling**

The TLRs, which provide front-line protection against pathogens through recognition PAMPs, assure rapid inflammatory responses as well as communications with adaptive immunity cells. Similarly, TLRs play an active role in carcinogenesis and tumor progression during chronic inflammation that involves the tumor microenvironment. DAMPs derived from injured normal epithelial cells and necrotic cancer cells appear to be present at significant levels in the tumor microenvironment, and their stimulation of specific TLRs can foster chronic inflammation [54]. TLRs are expressed on many types of cancer cells, tumor stromal cells and infiltrating immune cells. TLRs that are expressed on immune and tumor cells are activated by PAMPs and DAMPs. These activated cells release cytokines and chemokines that are important components of the tumor microenvironment. Furthermore, cytokine-activated infiltrating immune cells can subsequently induce further cytokines and impair the function of antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and tumor-associated
antigen (TAA)-specific immunity, thereby resulting in tumor immunotolerance. The subsequent activation of TLRs in cancer cells and the ensuing signaling cascade with the production of cytokines and chemokines may promote cancer cell survival and chemoresistance and, therefore, tumor progression [53]. Recently, a direct regulatory relationship between the inducible p53 and the innate immune pathway has been established [55]. The expression of most TLR genes in human primary and cancer cells was directly affected by changes in p53 [52,56].

Immunoglobulin expression by tumor cells

Traditionally, it has been believed that the production of immunoglobulin (Ig) molecules is restricted to lymphoid lineage cells. However, immunoglobulin genes and proteins have been recently found in a variety of normal epithelial cells, as well as some epithelial and non-epithelial tumor cells.

IgG heavy chain and light chain, and the immunoglobulin gene SNC73 were found to be expressed in some normal epithelial cells [57-59]. Kimoto [60] have demonstrated by RT-PCR, the expression of Ig gene transcripts of IgM, IgD, IgG3, IgG1, IgE, and IgA in tumor cell lines of colon, breast, laryngeal, and pancreatic carcinoma. Another Ig molecules composed of a heavy chain and light chain were also detected in several epithelial tumor cell lines, and recently in soft tissue "non-epithelial" tumor cells [61,62]. The Ig expressed in tumor cells included basically all kinds of isotypes. Among heavy chains, α chain for IgA and λ chain for IgG were the mostly identified. In light chain, on the other hand, only κ chain but not λ chain was confirmed [63].

Interestingly, tumor cells also secrete assembled Ig protein out of cell membrane. By cultivating of several cancer cell lines, ELISA test reported the existence of Ig heavy chain in the culture supernatant. Other supportive evidence suggested Ig secretion, the presence of IgG protein supernatant, found in the culture of uterine cervical cancer cell lines [64]. Recently, transcripts of IgG1 heavy-chain constant region, immunoglobulin-κ and λ-light chains, IgG variable region, and RAG1/RAG2 in both esophageal cancer tissues and cell lines were detected. However, activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID), which is essential for both class switch recombination and somatic hypermutation, was also reported to be expressed in six breast cancer cell lines by nested RT-PCR [71].

In addition to the RAG1 and RAG2 promoters, the RAG gene has also other regulatory elements, such as the proximal enhancer (Ep), the distal enhancer (Ed) and the RAG enhancer (Erag). It is thought that the aforementioned transcription factors regulate RAG expression by binding to their corresponding regulatory sequences in B cells. Erag is the strongest enhancer regulating RAG expression. Targeted deletion of Erag in the mouse germline resulted in a 5-fold to 10-fold decrease in RAG expression and a partial block at the pro-B to pre-B transition [72]. E2A, Ikaros, Foxo1, Foxp1 and NF-κB were all shown to activate RAG expression by binding to Erag in murine B cells. Pax5β was reported to activate RAG2 promoter in immature B cells [73]. Chen et al. [74] analyzed the protein and mRNA expressions of those transcription factors that have been found to be essential for RAG activation in B cells, including E2A (E47 and E12), Foxo1, Foxp1, Ikaros, NF-κB, and Pax5β, in four cancer cell lines. They studied then the localization of a number of these transcription factors (E2A, Foxp1, NF-κB and Foxo1) by immunofluorescence. They found that E2A, Foxo1 and Foxp1 were expressed in cancer cells and localized to the nuclei of these cells. Over-expression of these three transcription factors significantly increased RAG expression. Functional inactivation of the genes of any of these three transcription factors by RNA interference decreased RAG expression. In vivo chromatin immunoprecipitation assay showed that the histone H3 of Erag was acetylated and that E2A, Foxo1, Foxp1 were bound to Erag in these cancer cells. These results have also other regulatory elements, such as the proximal enhancer (Ep), the distal enhancer (Ed) and the RAG enhancer (Erag). It is thought that the aforementioned transcription factors regulate RAG expression by binding to their corresponding regulatory sequences in B cells. Erag is the strongest enhancer regulating RAG expression. Targeted deletion of Erag in the mouse germline resulted in a 5-fold to 10-fold decrease in RAG expression and a partial block at the pro-B to pre-B transition [72]. E2A, Ikaros, Foxo1, Foxp1 and NF-κB were all shown to activate RAG expression by binding to Erag in murine B cells. Pax5β was reported to activate RAG2 promoter in immature B cells [73]. Chen et al. [74] analyzed the protein and mRNA expressions of those transcription factors that have been found to be essential for RAG activation in B cells, including E2A (E47 and E12), Foxo1, Foxp1, Ikaros, NF-κB, and Pax5β, in four cancer cell lines. They studied then the localization of a number of these transcription factors (E2A, Foxp1, NF-κB and Foxo1) by immunofluorescence. They found that E2A, Foxo1 and Foxp1 were expressed in cancer cells and localized to the nuclei of these cells. Over-expression of these three transcription factors significantly increased RAG expression. Functional inactivation of the genes of any of these three transcription factors by RNA interference decreased RAG expression. In vivo chromatin immunoprecipitation assay showed that the histone H3 of Erag was acetylated and that E2A, Foxo1, Foxp1 were bound to Erag in these cancer cells. These results

Similar results have been found for tumor-derived IgG. Tumor cells secreted-IgG can promote growth and survival of these cells. Blockade of tumor-derived IgG by either antisense DNA or antihuman IgG antibody increased programmed cell death and inhibited growth of cancer cells in vitro. The administration of antihuman IgG antibody also suppressed the growth of an IgG-secreting cancer line in immunodeficient nude mice. These results suggest also that tumor IgG expression is required, at least, in part, for survival of tumor cells [64].

It has been confirmed recently that downregulation of IgG restrained the growth and proliferation of cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. It seems that IgG can enhance the growth and proliferation of tumor cells via inducing the production of ROS at low level. These findings provide new cues for understanding tumor proliferation and designing cancer therapy [68].

Molecular mechanisms of immunoglobulin expression by tumor cells

RAG1/RAG2 expression by tumor cells: RAG1 and RAG2 are indispensable for V(D)J recombination. Interestingly, both RAG1 and RAG2 mRNA and proteins have been detected in IgG positive cancer cell lines, including lung, colon, uterus cervical, liver, prostate, gastric, breast, and nasopharyngeal carcinomas and recently in thyroid and oesophageal carcinoma tissues [63-65,69,70].

Activation induced cytidine deaminase (AID), which is essential for both class switch recombination and somatic hypermutation, was also reported to be expressed in six breast cancer cell lines by nested RT-PCR [71].

indicate that transcription factors E2A, Foxo1 and Foxp1 activate the expression of RAG, which is critical for V(D)J recombination, in cancer.

The transcription factor B-cell lymphoma 11A (Bcl11A) is required for B cell development. It is a critical component of a transcriptional network that regulates B cell fate by controlling V(D)J recombination. The major B cell isoform, Bcl11A-XL, binds the RAG1 promoter and Erag enhancer to activate RAG1 and RAG2 transcription in pre-B cells. They employed Bcl11A overexpression with recombination substrates in a cultured pre-B cell line as well as Cre recombinase-mediated Bcl11A<sup>lox/lox</sup> deletion in explanted murine pre-B cells to demonstrate direct consequences of Bcl11A/RAG modulation on V(D)J recombination [75]. Recent data showed overexpression of Bcl11A in breast and lung cancer [76].

**CD40/CD40L pathway:** CD40 belongs to the superfamily of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptors. The ligand for this molecule on T-lymphocytes called CD40. CD40 and CD40L play a key role in intercellular interactions of B and T cells of immune system. CD40 is expressed also by a number of antigen-presenting cells (DCs, monocytes, macrophages) eosiophils, basophils and also by epithelial cells. This expression possesses pronounced co-stimulatory properties. CD40 plays an important role in different forms of immunologic response, because it is participating in activation, proliferation, differentiation of different types of immune cells. In normal B cells, an important mechanism of activation and Ig production is CD40 ligation-triggered cellular signaling pathways. As a consequence of CD40 signaling, a number of well-characterized signaling transduction pathways are activated, including the NF-κB, p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase, c-Jun-NH2-kinase, Janus kinases/signal transducers and activators of transcription, and phosphoinositide 3-kinase 3-kinase pathways. These pathways, in turn, regulate alterations in gene expression, and induce proliferation and immunoglobulin class switching of resting B cells.

CD40 and CD40L may be expressed by a number of cancer cells. Analogously, in cancer cells, the initiation and expression of Ig gene could be regulated by the same cellular signaling pathways. Some data revealed a favorable influence of CD40/CD40L interactions on the functions of DCs, the disturbance of which happens often upon the influence of different suppressing substances produced by cancer cells [77]. In addition, it has been demonstrated in breast cancer that CD40-ligation protects circulating DCs from apoptosis, by induction of expression of anti-apoptotic molecule Bcl-2. At these conditions, the functions of populations of immature DCs are promoted. It is of significance also that the activation of DCs is accompanied by the elevation of IL-1and INFγ production and enhanced cytotoxicity of NK cells.

Intracellular mechanism of CD40 activation is accompanied by the activation of a number of transcriptional factors of protein origin, and this process occurs with the involvement of TLR [78].

However, whilst the role of CD40/CD40L interaction on immune cells has been studied in detail, the role of such interactions on tumor cells has not been clarified yet. For example, it has been demonstrated that CD40/CD40L interaction on many tumor cells (melanoma, different carcinoma, multiple myeloma, and lymphoma) may lead to inhibition of tumor cell growth, but in some cases may promote it too [79-82].

The study of CD40/CD40L interaction upon cancer development is a comparatively new discipline that is actively developed, it possesses important aspects and promotes new approaches to immunotherapy.

**Virus-encoded oncoproteins:** Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infected nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) cell lines model may shed a light on the mechanism of cancer Ig expression regulation. Several investigations revealed that in encoded latent membrane protein 1 of EBV (LMP1)-expressing NPC cells, Ig gene enhancers iEx and 3′Ex are activated by binding with transcription factors NF-κB, AP-1 and Ets-1, all of which were modulated by LMP1-triggered pathways. LMP1 stimulated transcription factors NF-κB, AP-1, Ets-1 binding to the corresponding site in Igκ gene via NF-κB, JNK/MAPK and ERK/MAPK signal pathways and finally upregulated Igκ light chain induction [83]. This presented novel proofs on the mechanisms upregulating the ectopic expression of Igκ by LMP1 in NPC. Since other virus-encoded oncoproteins, such as HBX, E6, E7, can also activate many signal pathways including NF-κB, AP-1 and ERK pathways, these oncoproteins might induce Ig gene expression through the mechanism similar to EBV-LMP1.

**TRL9-My88 pathway:** It is now known that TLR9 agonists stimulate and increase the secretion of IgM in tumor cells, and that cancer-derived IgM recognized a series of microbial antigens and some autoantigen. This secretion of cancer-derived IgM is mediated through the TLR9-MyD88 pathway. These findings indicate that tumor cells can spontaneously produce IgM with natural antibody activity [84].

**Immune Metabolic Interactions and Cancer Adaptive Strategies**

Several recent evidences have shown an interesting example of a link between immune system and metabolism. Recently, Tannahill et al. [85] demonstrated that the metabolite succinate, a key component in the Krebs cycle, is integral in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced macrophage activation, and they proved that the activation of TLR4, through LPS stimulation, leads to an increase of intracellular glutamate uptake and upregulation of succinate production under the gamma-amminobutyric acid shunt metabolic pathway. The metabolite succinate then stabilizes HIF-1α; a protein that is involved in interleukin-1β production. In addition, it has been shown that augmenting glycolytic flux drives CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells toward a terminally differentiated state, while its inhibition preserves the formation of long-lived memory CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [86]. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are recognized as cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) once they become activated and are generally classified as having a pre-defined cytotoxic role within the immune system. The immune microenvironment of tumor consists of multiple cell types, cytokines and stromal components that can further attract immune cells and guide their fate. Transforming growth factor-β1 (TGFβ1), following its release from the ECM
by interstitial flow-induced matrix tension and myofibroblast differentiation promotes tumor-associated regulatory T (Treg) cells and myeloid-suppressor cells (MDSCs), which suppress cytotoxic T lymphocyte function to facilitate tumor immune escape [87]. Progressive immunosuppression is rottenly observed at advanced tumor stages, which is partially mediated by tumor-infiltrating immunosuppressive immune cells such as T reg cells, Th17 cells, regulatory DCs, macrophages, neutrophils and MDSCs. Macrophages have both pro- and anti-tumor actions depending on the activation signals, and have accordingly been classified into antitumor M1 and pro-tumor M2 macrophages [88]. Inflammatory immune cells, when activated, display much the same metabolic profile as a glycolytic tumor cell. This involves a shift in metabolism away from oxidative phosphorylation towards aerobic glycolysis or Warburg effect. CAFs and DCs that have been activated via TLR agonist and express inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) are also found to use the Warburg effect. The M1 macrophages also use the Warburg pathways to make ATP. Glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation are linked when the pyruvate from the glycolytic pathway is used to make acetyl-CoA using the Krebs cycle. Immune cells that use this pathway are activated T cells, immunosuppressive M2 macrophages, and the pro-inflammatory Th17 T cells. Metabolism in the immune system is more than just connected to the cell’s activation. Metabolism is involved in the homeostasis between immune cells as well as between immune cells and their stromal host cells [89]. Dysregulation of metabolites has been found in many pathologies and the role of metabolite fluctuation caused by host immune cells versus pathogens is an active area of study.

In this regard, the concept of “immunoediting” has been proposed, which also encompasses three phases: elimination, equilibrium, and escape. Immunoediting allows tumor cells to evade immune destruction by becoming less immunogenic or more immunosuppressive. Such adaptive strategy, achieved through cell reprogramming, reflects an important property of tumors called immune-induced plasticity. This tumor immunoediting results in cellular reprogramming that may be accompanied by tumor alterations, including morphological changes, and the subsequent acquisition of a resistant phenotype which represents one of the fundamental barriers to the success of tumor immunotherapy [90]. New findings have revealed that activated CD8+ T cells can stimulate breast cancer cells to undergo EMT and acquire the increased tumorigenic capability and chemotherapeutic resistance of breast cancer stem cells [91]. These results represent the first direct demonstration that EMT may be a potential mechanism of immunoediting in cancer. Several studies have highlighted that cytoskeleton remodeling is a key determinant in the interaction between tumor cells and immune cells through immune synapse (IS) formation [92]. IS is a supramolecular structure involves T cell receptor, peptide-MHC complexes, modulatory receptor pairs, and adhesion molecules. In fact, EMT is associated with impairment of target susceptibility to CTL. Migratory CTLs recognize an epithelial cancer cell within a cluster of cancer cells bound through E-cadherin-mediated adherens junctions and desmosomes. This recognition leads to the formation of IS between CTLs and target cancer cells. The shift in cytoskeletal organization can be used by tumor cells as an adaptive strategy to promote their resistance after CTLs selection pressure. In contrast, mesenchymal-like cancer cells are not able to organize E-cadherin-mediated stable adherens junctions and exhibit a much more labile actin cytoskeleton [93].

It is now well recognized that metabolic transformation of CAFs with increased catabolism occurs via inflammation and high levels of ROS acting as the second messenger. A recent study by Valencia et al. [94] established loss of p62 as a marker of metabolic asymmetry and metabolically transformed CAFs in prostate cancer. They described that CAFs are reprogrammed via loss of p62 to have reduced c-Myc and mTOR expression, leading to high levels of ROS and catabolism. Consequently, the increase in ROS drives inflammation via transforming TGF-β activation and the release of IL-6. This loss of p62 in CAFs is associated with high p62 expression in cancer cells, which proves the metabolic asymmetry phenomenon.

Moreover, the expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxigenase (IDO), a tryptophan catabolizing enzyme, in tumors may lead to dysfunctional T cell response through depletion of tryptophan from the tumor microenvironment [95]. IDO is also produced by MDSCs, regulatory DCs and macrophages. Silencing of IDO within the tumor using Salmonella typhimurium as a tumor-homing vector to deliver a short-hairpin RNA targeting IDO, allowed tumor infiltration of activated ROS-producing neutrophils and consequent tumor cell death [96]. Investigations of IDO effector pathways suggest a role for mTOR control [97]. Recent data determined that IDO activation blocked mTOR and the TCR regulatory kinase PKC-theta and stimulated autophagy [98]. These studies validate IDO as a cancer target and deepen insights into how this nodal inflammatory modifier licenses progressive cancer.

**Immunosuppressive effects of CAFs**

CAFs may directly affect antitumor immune response by modulating the function of inflammatory cells, and/or indirectly impact immune response through recruitment of inflammatory cells (e.g. monocytes and macrophage) [99].

In two subsequent studies, it has been demonstrated that CAFs derived from metastatic melanomas show strong immunosuppressive activity by interfering with NK cells functions including cytotoxicity and cytokine production [100]. Then, more recent study showed that a subset of CAFs expresses fibroblast activation protein-α (FAP). The depletion of FAP+ cells causes rapid hypoxic death of cancer andstromal cells by an interferon-γ and TNF-α-dependent mechanism [101]. These observations advocate that CAFs play an important role in suppressing immune response.

Moreover, Tenascin C, one of the immuno-modulating ECM molecules released by CAFs, can inhibit the migration of monocytes into tumor cell areas and adhesion of T lymphocytes to fibronectin depending on integrin α5β1 and/or α4β1 [102, 103]. TSP-1 is another matricellular glycoprotein with immuno-modulating activity. It can affect antigen-presenting
cells (monocytes, macrophages, and DCs) and T lymphocytes, involved in tumor-mediated immune suppression by interaction with immune cells or activation of growth factors (e.g. TGF-β) to deposit in the ECM [104].

CAFs secrete various growth factors and cytokines, such as TGF-β, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), PDGF, stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), epidermal growth factor (EGF), MCP-1, and interleukins (IL-1, IL-6 and IL-8) in an autocrine and/or paracrine manner. These elements directly promote cancer cells growth and angiogenesis or indirectly promote de novo angiogenesis and metastatic spread by recruiting infiltration of immune cells [105,106]. Several important signaling pathways are implicated in this function (e.g. TGF-β/Smad, HGF/Met, and PDGF/PDGFR) [107] (Figure 1).

Conclusion

Therapy resistance is due to highly efficient adaptive strategies utilized by cancer cells. Cancer cells undergo a specific metabolic reprogramming towards opportunistic behavior, leading them to gain several advantages. Fibroblasts directly feed tumor cells in type of host-parasite relationship. Warburg effect as a well-accepted theory in cancer cells metabolism is steadily becoming established as an important phenomenon even in inflammatory/immune responses. Activated inflammatory/immune cells display the same metabolic profile as glycolytic tumor cells. The ability of metabolic reprogramming to modulate immune functions and the concept of immune-induced plasticity in cancer are new important clues to understand the mechanisms implicated in reciprocal cooperation between tumor cells and their microenvironment. Elucidating the tight interactions between cancer metabolic and immune reprogramming and cancer cells adaptive strategies could lead to identify pertinent tumor biomarkers and to develop new targeted therapies.
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