A New Look at Atherosclerosis Repeatable Science
Ushers in a New Era of Medicine

Introduction

Clinicians are now able to prevent and reverse coronary artery disease using non-invasive, inexpensive and non-toxic interventions, while monitoring progress serially in an inexpensive, non-invasive, non-toxic manner. This results in a reduction of heart attack and stroke by 98 percent, not to mention the many other complications of atherosclerosis. The science behind this is not new and, in fact, coronary artery disease, noted by coronary atherosclerosis or cholesterol plaque build-up in these arteries, was first shown to be reversible in humans as early as 1954. This study was published in the peer-reviewed medical literature [1].

The study, by Dr. Willis was remarkable and well ahead of its time. He was able to show the progression or regression of atherosclerosis in living humans for the very first time, using an innovative idea of injecting radio-opaque dye into the vessels and taking x-rays of the arteries in a serial manner. He did this before and after his proposed intervention.

Another item of note in Willis’ study was his showing that in patients with atherosclerosis as demonstrated on serial arteriography, these plaques were able to reverse using nutrient supplementation in a non-toxic, non-invasive, inexpensive program. In fact, in his preliminary work into the reversal of coronary artery disease in humans, he was able to demonstrate that 60 percent of study participants had a reversal, or improvement, in what, up until now, has been thought to be a progressive and irreversible necessity of degeneration. The intervention was Vitamin C taken orally in a dose of 500 mg three times per day. This dose was chosen based on earlier studies and was designed to maintain a steady tissue concentration of Vitamin C.

In 1955, Willis et al. [2] did a study based on autopsies that showed a focal deficiency of Vitamin C in the coronary arteries of patients who had died of suddenly [2]. In some instances, they found that the tissue concentration of Vitamin C in the aorta was seriously depleted despite being in a normal, well-nourished previously healthy individual. This tended to be exacerbated by advancing age and areas of mechanical stress, as described by Virchow [3], who showed that atherosclerosis occurs at particular high pressure points in the vascular system. Combining the two observations, he reasoned that intimal damage in the vessel resulted from increases in pressure in the absence of Vitamin C’s protective effect to the ground substance.

Our current understanding of atheroma formation, leading to atherosclerosis of the coronary arteries, and many other arteries, recognizes that as plaques build, they become less stable [4-10]. When these unstable plaques fracture off, they cause an environment that is chemically favorable to thrombosis. They also can become emboli or create thromboemboli. These thromboses and emboli lead often to sudden vascular events or phenomena such as heart attack, stroke, central retinal artery occlusion, mesenteric infarction and many other problems. It stands to reason, and it is the currently acceptable approach, to stabilize these plaques in order to prevent these events [11,12]. It is now being shown that the best way to do this is through non-invasive, inexpensive, non-pharmacological nutrient supplementation as has been demonstrated by Willis, Pauling, and Rath [1,13-15].

Wills’ landmark work received no attention until later when Linus Pauling and Matthias Rath, MD, a cardiologist, did experiments that confirmed the work of Dr. Willis.

Wills, Pauling and Rath all believed that atherosclerotic plaques were a part of the healing process of the arteries due to a chronic and focal ascrobucic condition (scurvy). Bush’s work, able to repeated here, has confirmed this. Willis had already demonstrated in autopsies that a local tissue depletion of Vitamin C often occurred (focal scurvy) in patients who did not show signs of acute scurvy (low grade chronic scurvy). Pauling and Rath tested guinea pigs given a scorbutic diet and found that Lp(a), or Lipoprotein alpha, was raised in guinea pigs not receiving Vitamin C but not in those receiving it [16,17]. This Lp(a), they theorized, a sticky, low density lipoprotein with multiple lysine binding sites, was responsible for invading the intima of the artery and producing atherosclerosis. Using 40 mg/kg/day of Vitamin C was able to prevent the formation of plaque and the rise in Lp(a). They suggested that a similar mechanism would exist in humans due to the similarities in the formation of atherosclerosis and the rise of Lp(a) in humans and guinea pigs.

Robert Hollenhorst noted in 1961 that streaks of bright white or yellow in the vessels of the retina and visible on fundoscopic exam or photograph, were not a normal reflexive light refraction as previously thought, but instead a collection plaque development, atheroma and fatty emboli, representing an extent
of atherosclerosis that was easily visible on these exams [18]. Dr. Sydney Bush, founder of the science of CardioRetinometry®, noted in 1999 that these ‘light reflexes’ were disappearing from the retinal vessels of patients receiving Vitamin C for irritation from their contact lenses. Since he had been taught that these atheromas were normal findings, he did not at first understand what he was seeing. On subsequent research, he found that, although not taught, it was demonstrated decades before by Hollenhorst that these were not normal findings at all [18].

Dr. Bush has since been following patients for eighteen years and has noted a consistent ability to reverse fundoscopic vessel atherosclerosis while improving patients’ overall cardiovascular status and function [18]. These results are very predictable and a new terminology has been created to describe and discuss these previously unwitnessed events (Figure 1). In 1979, Michelson et al. [19] studied how these findings relate to coronary artery disease [19]. Their findings were published in the Archives of Internal Medicine. Each coronary vessel was graded with respect to its most occlusive lesion by angiography; coronary index was derived for each patient without knowledge of eye findings. Abnormal light reflex changes were the most sensitive indicators of presence and extent of coronary artery disease. Abnormal vessel tortuosity and decreased caliber were less sensitive but more specific; their presence also suggested more extensive coronary lesions. These studies were repeated by Tedeschi-Reiner et al. [20] in 2005 and published in the American Journal of Cardiology. They found a nearly one-hundred percent correlation between fundoscopic vessel atherosclerosis and coronary artery disease.

Dr. Bush was able to fuse the work of these doctors and his own unique observation to develop CardioRetinometry® whereby the practitioner uses serial monitoring of the retinal vessels and administers the Pauling/Rath therapy along with other vital and non-toxic nutrients in order to stabilise, reverse and eliminate atherosclerosis in the retinal vessels, the coronary arteries and throughout the rest of the body. This stabilisation results in a vastly decreased potential for a vascular event to where Rath states, “No heart attack would occur.” While Bush mostly agrees, it is understood that not all myocardial infarctions are thrombotic in nature, but that it would be most unlikely to have an event from the fracture of an unstable plaque, decreasing the chance of heart attack or stroke by 98 percent. However, Bush cautions that while plaque is regressing, coronary artery spasm may occur and thrombosis is not the only mechanism of heart attack. This greatly reduces mortality and morbidity associated with these devastating illnesses, as well as many other problems that atherosclerosis causes [19,21,22].

The approach is non-invasive, inexpensive, non-toxic and has no serious side effects. The monitoring is practically as effective as the current gold standard of angiogram but at a small fraction of the price and without harmful radiation. The ease, cost, safety and effectiveness of CardioRetinometry® for the prevention and reversal of coronary artery disease, stroke and other complications of atherosclerosis greatly improve upon conventional therapy and beckon in a new science and a new era of medicine (Figure 2). Most mammals produce their own Vitamin C in an enzymatic pathway that converts glucose to ascorbic acid. This is a 10-step biochemical process. These mammals produce Vitamin C in boluses as needed continuously throughout their lives, with the dose increasing depending on stressors placed on the animal. A daily dose for an animal can range normally between the human equivalent of 5,000 to 15,000 mg per day [23].

A number of mammals, however, do not have the ability to do this. These include the fruit bat, guinea pigs and primates, including humans. In all these mammals, the biochemical pathway to make Vitamin C is present but has one or another defect. In humans, there is genetic point mutation that affects the enzyme in the last of ten steps. Glucose can be taken 90 percent of the way to Vitamin C [24]. The enzyme in the final step needs to be shaped like a hand to catalyze the final step but the point mutation makes the fingers bend backwards resulting in a totally defunct enzyme. Thus, humans cannot form the effective vital nutrient ascorbic acid [24]. One theory on this is that a genetic mutation took place during the evolution of human ancestors about forty million years ago, but was not fatal as this occurred when said ancestors were living equatorially and subsisting mostly on fruit, high in Vitamin C [25]. Such mammals have developed a number of compensatory mechanisms for their lack of ability to form Vitamin C. One of these mechanisms is atherosclerosis itself [26]. The mammals...
that produce their own Vitamin C do not form atherosclerosis under natural circumstances [23]. Yet the deficient mammals will develop atherosclerosis on a scorbutic diet [27].

Vitamin C is a vital nutrient and is important to form the most abundant protein in the structure of the human body, collagen [33]. Collagen gives structural integrity to most body tissues including the basement membrane of the arterial intima as well as the ground substance that supports the endothelial lining [34]. It would seem, based on Willis’ work and autopsies of patients who had died suddenly of trauma versus those that had died of heart disease, that a focal tissue depletion of Vitamin C can occur and lead to a rationing effect of Vitamin C, where some tissues are getting the bulk of the inadequate share of Vitamin C and seeming healthy and normal while other tissues suffer a focal scurvy and structural degradation [35]. This seems to occur in the white blood cells since they have cell membrane pumps that take up 35 times the amount of Vitamin C than other cells. This allows them to perform their various immune and phagocytic functions. White blood cells can show a normal level of Vitamin C despite an overall deficiency in the body in general or certain tissues in specific, the arteries being a common site of tissue depletion of Vitamin C [36].

It is felt that this focal deficiency can be overcome with:

a) Adequate intake of Vitamin C

b) Decreased oxidative exposure that depletes Vitamin C

Adequate intake of Vitamin C

The Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) for Vitamin C is currently set at 90 mg per day for adults [37]. This level was determined by the FDA and is based on measuring saturation of the white blood cells of the body. As previously mentioned, the white blood cells can pump Vitamin C into their cells and are one of the last tissues to suffer from a global Vitamin C deficiency. They are quickly replenished when Vitamin C is replaced in even small doses [36]. Acute scurvy does not occur with as little as 10 mg of Vitamin C. Willis’ study would seem to indicate that 500 mg of oral Vitamin C three times a day could be highly beneficial to slightly over half the population studied [1].

Rath suggested 600 mg to 3,000 mg per day for general health [38]. Pauling recommended 6,000 mg to 18,000 mg [39]. Levy recommended 3,000 mg to 9,000 mg per day [40]. Rath was able to demonstrate the reversal of coronary atherosclerosis with visualisation by ultra-fast coronary artery CT scan [41]. Dr. Bush has seen results with doses up to 20,000 mg per day. Authors and scientists Hickey and Roberts believe that the RDA needs to be reevaluated in light of the fact that a focal chronic scurvy can occur and lead to atherosclerosis and other problems as has been shown in multiple studies [42].

Numerous studies have also been done and published in peer-reviewed medical literature. In many of them, doses of Vitamin C have been used in excess 5,000 mg per day. Doctors treating patients with high doses of Vitamin C, up to 10,000 mg per day and more, have reported only improvements in patients [43-45]. High doses of IV Vitamin C have been given in clinical trials and found to be well-tolerated without significant side effects and showing no adverse reactions [46]. This is consistent with Ewing Cameron’s observation of terminal cancer patients...
receiving doses of up to 10,000 mg of Vitamin C [47]. Klenner used Vitamin C injections and IVs in doses of 2,000 mg every four hours for problems from polio to snake envenomation showing it to be well-tolerated by patients and effective as an anti-viral, anti-toxin and anti-oxidant. He published several studies in peer-reviewed literature and outlined his results in a book about his use of Vitamin C [43-45,48,49]. Notably, prior to the Salk Vaccine for polio, Klenner had 60 out of 60 cases of polio that were cured without any neurological sequelae using Vitamin C in such a dose. He reported no adverse events from this.

For these and many other reasons, noted in authors Hickey and Roberts’ book, the RDA for Vitamin C is likely set at a level to prevent only acute scurvy and not provide many other benefits that Vitamin C has been proven to have. Dr. Bush had noted over several decades that the individual requirement for Vitamin C can change and vary quite dramatically based on multiple factors [50], all of which affect oxidative stress in the body, including but not limited to:

- a) Infection
- b) Emotional Trauma
- c) Physical Trauma
- d) Dietary Changes
- e) Depression/Anxiety
- f) Dental procedures
- g) Medication use
- h) Life stressors
- i) Season changes
- j) Hydration
- k) Timing versus last ingested Vitamin C
- l) Time of day
- m) Time of year
- n) Inflammation
- o) Gender
- p) Age
- q) Diabetes
- r) Hypertension
- s) Heavy Metal Exposure
- t) Deficiencies of vitamins and/or minerals

These observations are consistent with the multiple-studied causes of oxidative stress leading to Vitamin C deficiency that have been published in peer-reviewed medical literature, as documented by Dr. Thomas Levy, JD, MD, a cardiologist. Levy has written several books, including his landmark review of the pertinent peer-reviewed literature on the oxidative stress effect on Vitamin C levels and coronary atherosclerosis [51]. He cites more than 650 peer-reviewed studies on the subject in this comprehensive work. This fluctuating need for Vitamin C may also explain why Willis saw improvement in just over half of the human subjects in his study. It also underscores the importance of not just estimating what an adequate dose of therapy may be for any particular patient, though this would be better than nothing. The stakes of coronary atherosclerosis are too high and the therapy easily accessible.

The mechanism of action of Vitamin C in the prevention and reversal of atherosclerosis is at least two-fold. First, Vitamin C neutralizes free radicals that are known to damage the endothelium. It does this by carrying two loose electrons that it can easily donate [52]. Because of the loosely-held electrons, Vitamin C is stable whether it has one or two electrons available. This is in sharp contrast to the free radical molecule that has one very unstable electron. Its electron will react indiscriminately with any tissue it contacts, damaging that tissue. The endothelium is quite susceptible to damage of free radicals that circulate in the blood stream. Should plasma and tissue concentration of Vitamin C be adequate, then when said free radical comes into the tissue, the Vitamin C neutralizes the damaging effect by easily donating an electron, becoming oxidized itself but remaining stable, so as to not cascade the free-radical damage.

The other mechanism is by providing the required material for the formation of collagen, which allows the vessel to heal. When a vessel is damaged, cholesterol, specifically Lp(a) adheres to the exposed amino acids beneath the endothelial lining. This serves as a patch to keep the vascular channel viscous and moving. Once the vessel heals, the cholesterol patch can reabsorb from the vessel wall, thus reversing atherosclerosis and repairing the vessel. These changes are clearly evident in the studies by Willis et al, Pauling and Rath and in images taken and evaluated with CardioRetinometry® on numerous patients by Bush.

**Misconceptions about Vitamin C**

For various reasons, there are a great number of misconceptions that are perpetuated through the medical field. Thomas Levy, JD, MD has outlined these in his book *Primal Panacea* [53]. They are worth reiterating here:

A) There are no studies on Vitamin C

A Pub Med search today yields 58,612 studies on Vitamin C. Many of these studies show a positive effect with regard to a number of illnesses, as one might expect from an antioxidant and precursor to the most abundant protein in the body, whose total absence causes a painful and rapid death and whose relative deficiency has been proven to be the world’s deadliest condition.

B) There is no evidence that Vitamin C works

Again, Vitamin C per the literature, appears to work for just about everything and is necessary for life itself. Many studies have shown it to be effective in many ailments. Only a fraction of them is even possible to outline. Levy referenced 1,200 studies on Vitamin C in peer-reviewed literature almost all showing a beneficial effect. This massive undertaking of his still only scratches the surface about what is known about the benefits of Vitamin C.
C) Vitamin C is not safe

Dr. Levy points out that no lethal dose has ever been found for Vitamin C, even though many clinicians have used doses routinely of 250,000 to 300,000 mg daily (about 3,300 times the RDA) with no reported side effects. He points to a study that shows that water is more toxic than Vitamin C. He does not miss the irony that while Vitamin C exhibits an astonishing safety profile, pharmaceutical medications kill more than 100,000 patients per year in hospitals alone, yet are considered safe and effective. Dr. Cathcart treated more than 20,000 patients in twenty-years with no incidence of major side effects from doses around 15,000 to 200,000 mg of Vitamin C per day. It should not be too much of a leap to state that it is unsafe not to reverse heart disease. On balance, it would appear from the evidence that it is much safer to take enough Vitamin C than to take less than that, and it has been shown harmless to take more.

D) Vitamin C causes kidney stones

No study has demonstrated this. A study of 85,557 women followed for fourteen years found no association between Vitamin C intake and kidney stone formations. Another study found that Vitamin C lowered the incidence of kidney stones.

E) Dietary intake of Vitamin C is adequate

The question is what exactly is dietary intake adequate for? To prevent acute scurvy, then yes, it is. To prevent and reverse atherosclerosis in 60 percent or greater of the population, then no, it is not.

Willis et al. reversed atherosclerosis, the number-one killer, with 500 mg of Vitamin C three times per day, or a total of 1,500 mg per day. A person would have to eat fifteen red peppers per day, or twenty-two oranges per day to achieve these levels. And that was only enough Vitamin C to reverse atherosclerosis in 60 percent.

Willis points out that no lethal dose has ever been found for Vitamin C, even though many clinicians have used doses routinely of 250,000 to 300,000 mg daily (about 3,300 times the RDA) with no reported side effects. He points to a study that shows that water is more toxic than Vitamin C. He does not miss the irony that while Vitamin C exhibits an astonishing safety profile, pharmaceutical medications kill more than 100,000 patients per year in hospitals alone, yet are considered safe and effective. Dr. Cathcart treated more than 20,000 patients in twenty-years with no incidence of major side effects from doses around 15,000 to 200,000 mg of Vitamin C per day. It should not be too much of a leap to state that it is unsafe not to reverse heart disease. On balance, it would appear from the evidence that it is much safer to take enough Vitamin C than to take less than that, and it has been shown harmless to take more.

F) When people take high doses of Vitamin C, they simply lose it in their urine without benefit

To say that Vitamin C is without benefit simply because it is excreted in the urine would be similar to saying we don’t need water since it is excreted in the urine. While water is excreted in the urine, it performs many vital functions in the body beforehand. Vitamin C is water soluble and is excreted in the urine, but as we can see from virtually any study we look at, it performs many valuable functions prior to doing so. We also have conclusive evidence that it reverses atherosclerosis prior to being excreted in the urine.

G) If it works so well, everybody would be using it

It works; start using it. Several studies show it to reverse atherosclerosis, the precursor to heart disease and stroke and a multitude of other deadly, debilitating, painful, disfiguring conditions. Now that we have the ability to take guesswork out of the dosing with easily obtainable serially retinal images and can prove effectiveness on a case-by-case basis, there is no reason not to.

Current approach to treatment and prevention of heart disease:

Our current approach to the prevention of heart disease focuses on the treatment of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and aspirin use. Multiple randomized clinical trials have been run on these treatments that have shown an effect in mortality with heart disease and stroke [55]. These findings have been presented as medical imperatives to treat due to the statistically significant decreases in the incidence of heart attack, stroke and increased mortality. The rates of improvement have all been stated in terms of relative risk reduction. These statistics inevitably exaggerate the actual benefit of these medications. Looking at the statistical analysis of absolute risk gives a better idea of how these treatments are actually going to help the patients. Some of these standard care treatments are listed below [56]:

Use of Antihypertensives to treat mild hypertension:

NNT: None was helped by this therapy

NNH: One in twelve was harmed (8.3 percent)

i. Use of Antihypertensives to prevent heart attack, stroke and death:

ii. One in 125 was helped (prevented death) (0.8 percent)

iii. One in 67 was helped (prevented stroke) (1.5 percent)

iv. One in 100 was helped (prevented heart attack) (1 percent)

NNH: One in 10 was harmed. (10 percent)

Aspirin to prevent first heart attack or stroke:

i. One in 1,667 was helped (cardiovascular problem prevented)

ii. None was helped (prevent death)

iii. One in 2,000 was helped (prevented non-fatal heart attack)

iv. One in 3,000 was helped (prevented non-fatal stroke)

NNH: One in 3,333 (major bleeding event)

Aspirin for secondary prevention of heart attack or stroke:

i. One in 50 was helped (cardiovascular problem prevented)

ii. One in 333 was helped (prevented death)

iii. One in 77 was helped (prevented non-fatal heart attack)

iv. One in 200 was helped (prevented non-fatal stroke)

NNH: One in 400 (major bleeding event)

Aspirin for survival of major heart attack:

i. One in 42 was helped (life saved at one month)

Beyond this, the mechanism of action of these medications, the without important effect and with significant toxicities [58]. Thus to toxic, dangerous and cumbersome and therapies are essentially disease and it remains the number-one killer. Screening tests stated and observed by Bush [19,57].


distant surrogate indicators of progress, stabilisation or regression, effective medicines in the drug trials. With easily obtainable serial participants. The NNT in his study was less than two, and this effect to show a remarkable landmark result with far, far fewer patients. The NNT in his study was less than two, and this was on a relatively small dose of therapy with no ability to adjust the dose as needed. That means in this preliminary trial, 60 percent of patients benefited versus the 2.5 percent of the most powerful effect from the medications listed above would be that they help 2.5 percent of patients that take them (statins for acute coronary syndrome; most of the effects are much less than that.

Contrast this to Willis’ et al. study that has enough of an effect to show a remarkable landmark result with far, far fewer participants. The NNT in his study was less than two, and this was on a relatively small dose of therapy with no ability to adjust the dose as needed. That means in this preliminary trial, 60 percent of patients benefited versus the 2.5 percent of the most effective medicines in the drug trials. With easily obtainable serial surrogate indicators of progress, stabilisation or regression, therapy can be adjusted to ensure a NNT of nearly one as has been stated and observed by Bush [19,57].

Current therapy fails to address the silent nature of heart disease and it remains the number-one killer. Screening tests lack sensitivity and specificity; diagnostic tests are expensive, toxic, dangerous and cumbersome and therapies are essentially without important effect and with significant toxicities [58]. Thus have dealt with the biggest challenge of our time in medicine. Beyond this, the mechanism of action of these medications, the cholesterol-lowering ones in particular, is simply to stop the normal healing process of the endothelium without providing it other options as the literature quoted in this article demonstrates.

Yet, in the background, many scientists have labored with academic rigor and a passionate search for the cause, effect and legitimate therapy for atherosclerosis. And, they have done it. Willis, Klenner, Cathcart, Stone, Pauling, Rath, Levy, Huggins, Bush and many others throughout the world have worked tirelessly to bring the knowledge of the solution to this biggest problem to the forefront of medicine. Bush has ushered in a new era of medicine, and added a touch to these great works by giving us the ability to monitor patients’ progress and to ensure the best possible outcome on an individual basis. These scientists and doctors have brought us to where it is now possible to live free of the problems related to vascular disease and the shortened lives, the broken families from sudden, unnatural loss and the burgeoning disability. A new era is envisioned in which practitioners now have the ability to address the cause and treat accordingly for these many illnesses. Patients will thrive with a cost-effective, non-invasive, non-toxic approach to vascular health.

CardioRetinometry® provides us this opportunity for optimal health and life extension. Many more studies are to be done validating this work further, but this should not delay the ability of patients to improve immediately. Rather than working strictly from a protocol and an educated guess as to what therapy might best suit a patient, each individual patient can be monitored easily on an ongoing basis. This is especially important as any given patient may have risk factors, seen or unseen, that increase oxidative stress and deplete Vitamin C, leading to a rationing in the body and a potential focal scurry. Levy discusses many of these in his book, Stop America’s # 1 Killer, and includes many factors that can vary and dramatically change a person’s demand for Vitamin C. There is much overlap with the factors that Bush has observed to cause an increased demand for Vitamin C.

As one can see, these varying factors can produce a varying degree of oxidative stress requiring a varying degree of Vitamin C to neutralise. This is why Bush recommends the use of easily obtainable, non toxic, inexpensive and extremely accurate serial monitoring with direct visualisation under hyper-magnification of the vascular status of the retinal vessels, correlating particularly with the coronary arteries (as it has been shown to be a nearly perfect surrogate indicator of the coronary arteries by Michelson et al. and Tedeschi-Reiner et al. and the vascular status of the body in general). These images can be alternately rapidly flickered in overlap to accentuate even the smallest photo-micrographic changes.

Bush recommends ongoing monitoring for a year or more until the plaque is visualized to be regressing in a consistent fashion and vascular symptoms subside, then following on a per six-month basis from that point forward. This way a progression of atherosclerosis due to any factor that has caused an increase in oxidative stress can be caught early and the program can be adjusted as necessary. This is a major advance over anything that has been possible up until his discovery of regressing atheromas on fundoscopic photography in 1999 and his subsequent years of refining this new science. It is an improvement on the currently available screening and diagnostic methods in the following ways:
a) CardioRetinometry® is sensitive 100 percent compared to angiogram  
   b) It is specific: 96 percent compared to angiogram  
   c) Highly magnified to get a picture of small vessels  
   d) Can detect a one percent change in progression/regression of atherosclerosis  
   e) Is inexpensive  
   f) Has no toxicity  
   g) Can be repeated as often as necessary  
   h) Is not invasive  
   i) Offers true prevention  

Due to the high stakes of a vascular event, and the high variability of oxidative stress on the patient’s need for therapy, it is also recommended that patients register with a practitioner to be followed for life. It has been noted that discontinuing therapy can lead to a progression of atherosclerosis in a short amount of time, and this is to be avoided with continuity and caught early so intervention can continue. Should a registrant change practitioners, this would be done with a formal hand-off from one practitioner of CardioRetinometry® to the next to ensure a smooth transition, continuity and to prevent the registrant falling through the cracks. The practitioner would have a duty to ensure close follow up and monitoring, to advise and educate the registrant. As such, the practitioner of CardioRetinometry® is forbidden, by the Institute of CardioRetinometry®, to carry or sell required supplementation to prevent a conflict of interest, real or apparent, from interfering with the goal of optimal registrant outcomes.

As of this writing, individuals who smoke cigarettes may not register for treatment with CardioRetinometry® as smoking all but reverses the effects of any supplementation that could help their vascular status [59]. They are encouraged to quit smoking and can be referred for an aggressive smoking intervention. Should they quit, then registration and intervention with CardioRetinometry® may take place.

In the near future, all practitioners will be able to reverse and prevent heart attack, stroke and multiple other complications of atherosclerosis. A whole new field of research is open with increasing technology making funduscopic photomicrography with higher resolution available to detect very nuanced improvements or worsening of each patient’s vascular status. Contrast this to the statement made in 2014 in the journal Atherosclerosis.

Research to identify and evaluate new atherosclerosis treatments is challenging. Despite best efforts, only a handful of drugs has been proven to reduce atherosclerotic events. The ultimate step in drug evaluation (phase 3 trials) is to assess impact on clinical end points. Because of the nature of the disease, phase 3 atherosclerosis drug trials require enrollment of large numbers of patients (at times >20,000) and long follow-up periods, with typical costs exceeding $350 million.

Such end points could be monitored much more easily and inexpensively than the multiple modalities that are discussed in the above article. CardioRetinometry® has distinct advantages over each of them. Unfortunately, any medication that requires tens and thousands of patients to show an effect is going to have a very high number needed to treat (NNT). It is the thought of this author that therapies should be looked at that have a much smaller NNT with the goal being one to two. This would also greatly lower the cost of research. Preliminary studies and reports seem to indicate that this is possible if the correct etiology and pathophysiology of atherosclerosis is considered [60].

Conclusion

There is sufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed scientific literature to substantiate the use of CardioRetinometry®. In addition, CardioRetinometry® would lend itself well to large clinical trials should enough practitioners be trained in it. Even so, it is clear from the work of several dedicated scientists that atherosclerosis is reversible with Vitamin C, lysine and proline. Dr. Sydney Bush, from the UK, has added the crucial touch of being able to monitor this improvement to ensure its occurrence in each registrant and to modify therapy as necessary. This addition brings us into a new era of medicine. Dr. Bush now brings to the world the most direct route to the prevention and reversal of coronary artery disease and stroke as well as the many complications of atherosclerosis, including:

I. Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm  
II. Dissecting Aortic Aneurysm  
III. Cerebral Aneurysm  
IV. Claudication  
V. Macular Degeneration  
VI. Central Artery Occlusive Blindness  
VII. Mesenteric Ischemia  
VIII. Congestive Heart Failure  
IX. Transient Ischemic Attack  
X. Peripheral Artery Disease  
XI. Heart Valve Stenosis  
XII. Heart Valve Regurgitation  
XIII. Atrial Fibrillation  
XIV. Raynaud’s Phenomenon  
XV. Erectile Dysfunction

Hollenhorst first noted that the “normal healthy” light reflex of retinal vessels was in fact plaque and emboli in 1961[18]. Michelson et al found that it indicated coronary artery disease [20]. Michelson concluded, referring to these reflexes, “Abnormal light reflexes were the most sensitive indicator of the presence and extent of coronary artery disease.” These white reflexes are not at all normal, rather they are early sensitive and specific indicators of coronary atherosclerosis. All Optometry and medical
schools now have the opportunity and invitation to begin correct education on this matter.
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