Calcific aortic stenosis (AS) is the most common manifestation of valvular heart disease. Aortic valve replacement (AVR) is the only effective treatment for symptomatic AS, being surgery the preferred AVR option for patients across all age groups. Although surgical AVR has an overall low operative mortality [1], elderly and frail patients are at a much higher risk, presumably owing to the presence of multiple coexisting conditions [2]. Cribier et al. [3] performed the first human transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) in 2002, a procedure typically targeted at patients with severe AS who were unfit for conventional surgery [4-6]. Since then, the number of patients treated with TAVI has grown exponentially, i.e., more than 70000 valves have been implanted in 2015 and this number is likely to quadruple to over 280000 by 2025 [7]. Recent studies, including some of our group, have shown favorable outcomes of TAVI in different clinical scenarios [8-11]. TAVI is now considered by some physicians as the standard of care for these patients and is also a reasonable alternative to surgery in high-risk operable patients.
Selection of TAVI candidates should ideally be made by a 'heart team,' with a particular expertise in valvular heart disease, including surgeons, cardiovascular anesthesiologists, imaging specialists and specialized cardiovascular nursing [12]. The "heart team" approach is based on a comprehensive understanding of the risk-benefit ratio of different treatment strategies and integration of patient preferences. As shown in Figure 1, when considering different treatment options, the "heart team" should consider the presence of cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular major comorbidities, frailty and disability, as well as physical and cognitive function. All these variables should be carefully considered to avoid futile interventions. This is a clear example of a shared decision-making process, that involves education of the patient, their family, and the referring physician about treatment alternatives. Patient goals and expectations should be established early in this process in the context of a discussion of life expectancy, anticipated improvement in symptoms or survival, and end-of-life constructs, when appropriate. At this point, the overall procedural risk should be calculated. Several cardiac surgical risk algorithms have been developed over the last 20 years. Despite their known limitations, the logistic EuroSCORE and the STS (Society of Thoracic Surgeons) Predicted Risk of Mortality score have been entered and surgical risk scores such as the STS Predicted Risk of Mortality, in conjunction with information gleaned from patient and family (specifically, interventional cardiologists and cardiac surgeons) risk patient should rely on the clinical judgment of a Heart Team for surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 63(19): 1972-1981.

Conclusion

TAVI is currently reserved for patients with severe aortic stenosis deemed high or prohibitive surgical risk but this may change in the future. Identification of high or prohibitive surgical risk patient should rely on the clinical judgment of a Heart Team (specifically, interventional cardiologists and cardiac surgeons) in conjunction with information gleaned from patient and family inputs and surgical risk scores such as the STS Predicted Risk of Mortality.
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