Fungal Keratitis Efficient Treatments using Surface Active Agents (Cetrimide): An Overview

Abstract
Early diagnosis of mycotic keratitis in patients is crucial for prompt antifungal therapy. Confirmation of diagnosis in case of mycotic keratitis leads to initiate prompt and accurate therapy that would avoid unnecessary and indiscriminate use of steroids/antibacterial/antiviral/and antifungal. Immunological methods of mycotic keratitis diagnosis have not been found to be satisfactory, and recent research has been diverted to the use of PCR for the sensitive and early diagnosis at the molecular level. Therapy should be aggressive and most authors advocate dual therapy to avoid the risk of resistance. Typically, the topical antifungal is given every hour initially. We have recommended the use of the surface active agent cetrimide as an effective antymycotic keratitis agent that led to complete inhibition of mycotic ulcers in volunteer cases. Cetrimide showed no pathological effect to eye corneal tissues with no side effects during the treatment course.
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Introduction
Keratitis is a term used for description of inflammation of the cornea and the transparent membrane that cover the iris and the pupil of the eye. Various types of eye infections, dry eyes, eyes injury, and a large variety of underlying medical diseases all may lead to keratitis (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Typical fungal keratitis: Pyramidal hypopyon, feathery hyphate stromal infiltration, gutter and immune ring.

However, some cases of keratitis result from unknown factors. Generally, more than 70 genera of filamentous fungi and yeast have been identified in fungal keratitis. The predominant causes of fungal keratitis are Aspergillus, Acremonium, Penicillium and Fusarium solani which are all non-pigmented fungi. Although Fusarium and Aspergillus clearly dominate the list, causative agents vary in different geographic areas. Moharram et al. [1] reported that Fusarium species counted for 13.8% of fungal keratitis isolated with F. solani being the most common (approximately 10% of the isolates) in Upper Egypt. However, they have reported a few cases with F. moniliforme and F. oxysporum. Fusarium was cultured from 6.8% to 7.6% of cases in delta region in Egypt; 10.7-20% in India [3]; 26% in Thailand; 11.4-57.2% microbial keratitis in childhood in the USA; 55.5% in Argentina [6] and 62% in south Florida, USA [7]. Still, fungal keratitis is the most challenging among corneal ulcers and yet most commonly found in agricultural or developing countries including Malaysia [8-10].

Most of the literature on corneal ulcer available is from African, Asian and subcontinent. Almost all the reports from India, Nepal, Sri Lanka, and Bangladesh indicate Aspergillus as the most common causal agent of keratomycoses followed by species of Fusarium and Candida [4,11]. As an exception Rhizopus has been reported as a major cause of mycotic keratitis in Nepal. While in African countries the commonest causal agent is Fusarium sp. which followed by Aspergillus and Curvularia sp. However, within the tropics, as many as two third of corneal ulcer may be due to filamentous fungi [12]. In European countries mycotic keratitis is not common as a mere 3% of total corneal ulcer patients are reported due to fungi and species of Candida are the commonest isolates [4], also, Candida albicans is the commonest pathogen of mycotic keratitis in temperate region [13]. In America Fusarium sp. are the commonest pathogen followed by Candida sp. [14] According to a World Health Organization report, mortality rate due to keratitis is highest (0.25 deaths per 1 million people) in New Zealand [4].

What are the Risk Factors for Keratitis?
Major risk factors for the development of keratitis include any break or disruption of the surface layer (epithelium) of the cornea. The use of contact lenses increases the risk for the development of keratitis, especially if when poor hygiene, improper solutions,
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or over wear are associated with contact-lens use. A decrease in the quality or quantity of tears predisposes the eye to the development of keratitis [2].

The overall outlook of mycotic keratitis is still of great concern. Because of the delay, in patient’s presentation to clinicians, in isolation and identification of causative fungus and initiation of antifungal regimen, the vision is very often impaired. In some developing countries corneal ulcer is second commonest cause of blindness after non operated cataract [11]. Suppurative corneal ulcer may be caused by many factors including bacteria and fungus as discussed earlier. However, Therapeutic contact lens-related bilateral fungal keratitis within the tropics, has been studied by Höflin-Lima & Roizenblatt [12].

Recent Advancement in Diagnostic Mycoses

Immunology based methods

Serological approaches have been used for many years to established presumptive diagnosis of a number of fungal infections [15-18], but the situation is more complicated in case of mycotic keratitis because the cornea is an avascular structure and therefore immunological methods will not be successful.

DNA based methods

The increasing incidence of fungal infections in immunocompromised individuals has focused attention on the rapid and accurate diagnosis of invasive fungal infections using molecular biological techniques. Nucleic acid hybridization and amplification methods are fundamental to molecular diagnosis. These methods have the potential to provide both high detection rates and identification of specific fungal pathogens. The use of molecular diagnostic tools to detect fungal specific nucleic acid sequences has recently been reviewed [15,19,20], and many researchers have reported the usefulness of DNA-based methods for the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections.

We have designed a rapid detection of mycotic keratitis in patients. Ordinary culturing of infection swap is time consuming, SCAR-PCR-based DNA amplification was conducted by using a highly specific primer pairing for detection of fungal chitin synthase gene conserved region, for all samples expected to be infected with fungi; specially by using the primer pairing probability (Forward primer: 5’- TAČ AAG CTT ACT ATG TAT AAT GAG GAT -3’ + Reverse primer: 5’- TTA CTC GAG CTT ATA CTC AAA ATT TTG -3’). The obtained results confirmed that this method gave more accurate, time-saving, as positive visualization of fungal genome was achieved only after 1 day of work, while ordinary cultures consumed 4-14 days to visualize the fungal growth [21].

Suitability, promptness and adaptability of a method

A diagnostic procedure must be sensitive and accurate for all the fungal genera. A signature molecule of fungi should be identified, which can either be a secretory, cell wall, cytoplasmic molecules, or a conserved region in the chromosome of the fungus. Scraped material from the corneal lesions is very small in amount therefore a method requiring very little amount of patient material will be very useful for diagnostic purpose. Identification based on secretory molecule or protein is not adaptable for these kinds of infections. Only culture based, DNA based or cell wall and cytoplasmic constituent based identification can be used for mycotic keratitis. Further the method should be quick so that an early diagnosis is made and consequently accurate antifungal therapy is initiated instantaneously [4].

What is the Available Treatments for Keratitis?

Antifungal agents

Treatment of fungal keratitis poses many challenges, at least its poor corneal penetration and limited efficacy of currently available topical antifungal therapies. Actually, there is no one treatment gives prompt satisfactory results in controlling signs and symptoms of keratitis.

Medical treatment of mycotic keratitis is absolutely essential - even a delay of a few hours can affect the ultimate visual result. The causative factors must be determined through laboratory analysis of scrapings; medical treatment (i.e., medication) varies depending on the causal agent. Prompt treatment for mycotic keratitis with effective antifungals may prevent loss of vision of the patient. Antibiotics, antifungals, and antivirals have to be used to treat the appropriate organism. Broad-spectrum antibiotics are used immediately, but once the laboratory analysis determines the causative organism the medication may have to be changed [4].

Sometimes a combination of medication is necessary that depends upon the infection. Antifungal, antibiotic, or antiviral eye drops or ointments are prescribed to cure keratitis, which should be used by patients only under the care of an ophthalmologist. Inappropriate prescriptions or over-the-counter preparations can make symptoms more severe and cause tissue deterioration. Topical corticosteroids can cause great harm to the cornea in patients with herpes simplex keratitis [22]. The patient with keratitis must be advised to wear a patch to protect the healing eye from bright light, foreign objects, and avoid the lid rubbing against the cornea. The patient should probably consult every day to the ophthalmologist to check on the progress. Although early detection and treatment can cure most forms of keratitis, the infection can cause: glaucoma, permanent scarring, ulceration of the cornea, and blindness. It is extremely important to treat keratitis before corneal tissue is destroyed and scar tissue is formed.

Because the pain is so severe in keratitis, the patient usually welcomes medical attention. However, if the cornea loses its sensitivity (as in trauma, surgery, or damage to the trigeminal nerve), ulcers can develop without accompanying pain. The implications for personal hygiene are evident, especially with children. Hand washing during periods of illness and following toileting is of vital importanc as a preventive measure. In today’s market, natamycin 5% is the only commercially available topical agent indicated for the treatment of fungal keratitis, and has been popularly used for filamentous fungal infections. However, there are other therapeutic treatments that include both topical and oral anti-fungal medications. The two most commonly prescribed antifungal treatments are amphotericin B, which is usually used primarily to treat **Candida** pathogens. In addition, flucytosine can
be an alternate treatment, used in conjunction with amphotericin B or miconazole. An eye infected with fungal keratitis before treatment. Notice the extensive neovascularization within the corneal tissue. There are many antifungals available in the market belonging to five major groups: polyenes, imidazoles, triazoles, allylamines, and other include fluconazole and griseofulvin [2, 4].

Surface active agents (Cetrimide)

A new trend in management of fungal infections is to use surface-active agents depending upon their safety as a commercial detergents and disinfectant preparation-like povidone iodine, or chlorohexidine and/or cetrimide.

The main problem of commercially available antymycotic keratit is their instability, poor solubility and penetration ability, restricted pharmacokinetic properties, development of resistant organisms and toxicity even at therapeutic doses [1]. Therefore, the efficacy of surfactants such as cetrimide compound against human corneal ulcers was evaluated on human volunteers with F. solani. Fusarium solani has been chosen as model because it is nearly, the most prevalent caustic agent all over the world [23]. Medical treatment of these devastating infections would be aided by the widespread availability of more effective medications. Several investigators concluded that the more the drug penetration and persistence in ocular tissue, the more efficacy and stability of drugs to be used topically in cases of mycotic keratitis [24].

In our searching for efficient and safe antifungal compounds, we have studied the treatment of mycotic corneal ulcers caused by Aspergillus flavus; using natural, synthetic, and surface active materials [25]. The survey for antifungal Materials was carried out against Aspergillus flavus which has been isolated from human cases diagnosed to have mycotic ulcers and has been grown on Sabouraud’s dextrose. Many natural and synthetic products were chosen to be tested for their inhibitory action against Aspergillus flavus, isolated from different mycotic corneal ulcers. These were apple vinegar, bee propolis, fluconazole, nystatin, a chitosan derivative, an N-heterocyclic bromide derivative, and cetrimide [cationic surfactant= CH3(CH2)15N+(CH3)3Br-] at pH 6.4 and 5.9 through the initial screening for its antifungal activity. Cut plug method recorded by Pridham et al. [25] was employed to determine the antifungal activity of the chosen products as follows:

Table 1: General survey for inhibition of Aspergillus flavus growth (inhibition zone diameter, mm) by tested materials [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antifungal Agents</th>
<th>Chitosan derivative</th>
<th>N-heterocyclic bromide derivative</th>
<th>Apple vinegar</th>
<th>Bee propolis</th>
<th>Nystatin</th>
<th>Fluconazole</th>
<th>Cetrimide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition Zone</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accordingly, several parameters were measured to ensure the promising antifungal activity of cetrimide, and safety to be used in treatment of mycotic corneal ulcers, also nystatin was studied for comparison (a known non-fungal agent as a positive control); untreated fungal growth was taken as a negative control (at 0.0 concentration of chosen materials). Study has been conducted at the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) for Aspergillus flavus with cetrimide which was sharply defined to be of 0.01 mg/mL, that gave a complete inhibitory effect on the fungus. However, nystatin needs higher concentration to be noticeably effective even until 1 mg/mL.

Improvement tests for cetrimide safety to be used in topical treatment for human mycotic keratitis were achieved by histopathological studies on healthy rabbit corneas. In comparison with control, cetrimide-treated corneal section possessed no significant toxic effects; the corneal tissues appeared with normal compact epithelium, and endothelium, regularly arranged stromal lamellae, and no vacuolation in Descemet’s endothelial complex. On the other hand, nystatin-treated cornea possessed increased endothelial thickness, epithelial desquamation, and severe stromal edema with vacuolation due to infiltration of aqueous [25].

Current successful data for cetrimide efficacy against F. solani was confirmed by the very low surviving cell number in the presence of different cetrimide concentration [23]. The healing of human corneal ulcer was surprising with cetrimide as a simply prepared solution in distilled water with very low concentration where the MIC value of cetrimide and nystatin was similar and concluded to be (100 μg/mL-1) [23].
Examination of corneal sections treated with cetrimide indicated no significant toxic effects and appeared with normal corneal tissues of experimental animals. Mirza & Hart & Dong et al. [26,27] have been successively treated a (young man) patient with ketoconazole and miconazole, but these compounds have a high price and the patient might develop resistant to it after a prolonged period of time treatments. Usage of bee propolis for healing of mycotic keratitis was investigated. Orsi et al. [28] have mentioned that propolis has been used in folk medicine since ancient times that might be due to its safe biological properties, such as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and immunomodulatory activities. Our obtained results indicated that cetrimide alone has a high capacity and short treatment time course in order to heal fungal keratitis caused by F. solani in vivo [23]. Cetrimide with other components-like bee propolis, and fluconazole gave a good healing outcome, but take a longer time about 6 weeks to achieve a good recovery as illustrated in (Figure 2) [23].

To sum up the necessity of a rapid diagnostic method is required. This necessity has prompted the development of a test and other tools that may lead to prompt initiation of antifungal therapy and good prognosis of the infection.
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