Control intraoperatory glucose levels with modified atlanta scheme in subtotal partial hepatectomy: Case report

Abstract

We report the case of an 84-year-old patient with diagnosis of colon cancer with liver metastases in segment six. The patient required partial subtotal right hepatectomy. Glycemic control in patients undergoing liver surgery is vital, due to the complexity and secondary metabolic repercussions that arise from surgical stress. The dysregulation of glucose metabolism is associated with greater morbidity and mortality in these patients. In our patient, glucose levels were controlled based on the modified Atlanta scheme. There were no variations during the transanesthetic or hypoglycaemic events. The modified Atlanta scheme provides adequate efficacy and safety for the metabolic control of glucose in patients undergoing liver surgery.
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Introduction

The control of glucose as a metabolic factor is of great importance in patients undergoing hepatectomy, due to the level of complexity and the metabolic repercussions secondary to the surgical stress that are generated. This dysregulation of glucose metabolism is associated with perioperative complications, increased morbidity and mortality of the patient subjected to this type of intervention. Atlanta scheme is not only easy to reproduce, with a quick response to the infusion change, but also has high levels of safety with reports of up to 0.6% of hypoglycaemic events. It is a tool with a high level of efficiency in operating rooms and intensive therapy.

Case presentation

Male 84 years old, with a history of type 2 diabetes mellitus of seven years evolution, treated with metformina, and prostatectomy 7 years ago; in addition to irritable bowel syndrome, for which diagnostic colonoscopy was performed in 2012. We found poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma colon, treated with laparoscopic hemicolecotomy and chemotherapy. In 2016, liver metastases were detected by primary colon.

The patient was scheduled to perform partial subtotale right hepatectomy. The pre-anesthetic evaluation was: ASA II, RAQ EII-B, KARNOSFKY 80% ECOG 1. Anesthetic technique started with previous anxiolysis with midazolam 30mcg/kg; in addition to peridural block at level L1-L2 with permeable inert peridural catheter, previous oxygenation, anesthetic induction with propofol 2mg/kg, narcosis with fentanyl 4mcg/kg, cisatracurium 0.15mg/kg, lidocaine 1mg/kg. Intubation is performed with direct laryngoscopy, maintained with mechanical ventilation controlled by volume (running volume 6ml/kg, respiratory rate 15, positive pressure at the end of expiration 5cmH\textsubscript{2}O, inspired fraction of oxygen 50-60%). Invasive monitoring: central venous pressure 3-5cmH\textsubscript{2}O, invasive blood pressure 50-60mmHg. Maintenance: nitroglycerin infusion dose 0.5-1mcg/kg/min, fentanyl in plasma concentration 6mg/ml. Sevoflurano minimum alveolar concentration MAC 0.8-1, ropivacaine infused at a 0.375% concentration via epidural. Modified Atlanta infusion scheme was prepared with regular rapid-acting insulin 50UI dilution in 50ml of 0.9% saline solution, blood glucose measurements were taken every hour during the procedure. Start of infusion of insulin with pump (Baxter colleague volumetric Infusion™ 0.5ml/hour with basal glycemia 84mg/dl by gasometry (GEM equipment, Premier 3000™), second dose was of 105mg/dl modified perfusion 4 rows up, with a volume of 1.3ml/hour, third takes 102mg/dl, continuous with scheme at 1.3ml/hour, fourth takes 120mg/dl progresses to 1.8ml/hour. The patient withdraws from the extubation room, hemodynamically stable, with total bleeding of 700ml. She was admitted to the intermediate care unit with capillary glycemia of 140mg/dl and central glucose of 114mg/dl (Table 1).

Table 1 Glucose variations during the procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose values</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial blood collection</td>
<td>84mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second hour</td>
<td>105mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third hour</td>
<td>102mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fourth hour</td>
<td>120mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to ICU</td>
<td>114mg/dl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion

Hepatectomy great importance in the treatment of liver cancer. Anesthetic management ranges from hemodynamic control management of postoperative pain; anesthetic technique will always depend on the condition of the patient, his hepatic and hemodynamic function, and his nutritional status. The indications for surgery in 52% of cases are due to metastatic disease, followed by primary liver tumors with 16% and, thirdly, for malignancy in the bile ducts with 10%. In our case, indication for surgery was metastasis. Hepatectomy is associated with multiple disorders of metabolism, specifically glucose; being a risk factor for renal failure, infections of the surgical site and higher incidence of sepsis. It has been reported that hyperglycemia increases the inflammatory state, with increased cytokines such as interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, and interleukin-18. An important point during hepatectomy are clamping events or total vascular isolations; what is sought with these maneuvers is to reduce the amount of bleeding during the moment of resection, since irrigation is important and a central point to consider in this surgical event. These maneuvers generate glucose peaks due to the transition of the glycogen decomposition within hepatocytes secondary hypoxia that occurs in each pringle.

In addition to this, due to insulin resistance induced by liver disease per se, glucose metabolism is aggravated by hepatectomy and is not reversed during the intraoperative neoplastic stage; functional liver function then plays a decisive role in the metabolism of glucose. In this case, the patient had a history of diabetes mellitus, requiring a more efficient control of glycemia. Few interventions, such as the use of intensive insulin regimen, improved the survival of critical patients, preventing the onset of acute kidney injury, fewer transfusions, helping to improve erythropoiesis or reducing hemolysis. Insulin therapy reduces insulin resistance related to trauma, increases glycogen reserves, provides an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the defense of the immune system against infection, in addition to improving mitochondrial integrity with various clinical benefits.

The insulin infusion algorithms that have shown the greatest benefits are those with the following characteristics for greater effectiveness; the first is that they are designed for dose-response; second, that there be monitoring capacity in the perioperative period or in intensive therapy, and third, perhaps the most important, is the stability and response capacity of the infusion; since an infusion can be so aggressive that it leads to hypoglycemia, or on the contrary expose the patient to prolonged hyperglycemia, significantly reducing in-hospital mortality by 16%, OR of 0.84. Modified Atlanta scheme used in our patient, and meets these three fundamental characteristics. Kinsley J found that mortality decreased by 29.3%, finding a greater benefit from intensive insulin therapy in patients with septic shock, preventing the onset of acute kidney injury, fewer transfusions, helping to improve erythropoiesis or reducing hemolysis. Insulin therapy reduces insulin resistance related to trauma, increases glycogen reserves, provides an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the defense of the immune system against infection, in addition to improving mitochondrial integrity with various clinical benefits.

In this case report, an infusion of 0.5ml/hour was started due basal metabolic state of the patient, and meets these three fundamental characteristics. Kinsley J found that mortality decreased by 29.3%, finding a greater benefit from intensive insulin therapy in patients with septic shock, preventing the onset of acute kidney injury, fewer transfusions, helping to improve erythropoiesis or reducing hemolysis. Insulin therapy reduces insulin resistance related to trauma, increases glycogen reserves, provides an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the defense of the immune system against infection, in addition to improving mitochondrial integrity with various clinical benefits.

Davidson et al. The latter occupies the formula: insulin dose/h = (blood glucose in mg/dl-160) x multiplier. This dynamic algorithm, also called modified Atlanta, is presented in tabular form, with rows that represent ranges of blood glucose and columns that represent insulin doses based on patients insulin sensitivity, representing individualized control according sensitivity of each patient. When the glucose is above 110 m/dl, the insulin dose increases by moving one column to the right, when the blood glucose level decreases in at least one range, but it is still above 110 mg/dl, the insulin dose decreases within the same column, the lower limit of safety zone is 85mg/dl, this allows to reduce the dose to a blood glucose of 84 mg/dl to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia, once the goal of blood glucose between 85 and 110 mg/dl, green area (Figure 1), insulin is kept in the same column. Should be mentioned that with this protocol, hypoglycemia events have been reported in ranges from 0.6% to 2.6%, and with lower need for insulin use in the ICU in the postsurgical unit. During procedure hypoglycemia events did not occur at any time, was not necessary to use dextrose in the procedure, which confers not only effectiveness but also safety that is one determining factors when choosing infusion scheme insulin.

In this case report, an infusion of 0.5ml/hour was started due basal metabolic state of the patient, and meets these three fundamental characteristics. Kinsley J found that mortality decreased by 29.3%, finding a greater benefit from intensive insulin therapy in patients with septic shock, preventing the onset of acute kidney injury, fewer transfusions, helping to improve erythropoiesis or reducing hemolysis. Insulin therapy reduces insulin resistance related to trauma, increases glycogen reserves, provides an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the defense of the immune system against infection, in addition to improving mitochondrial integrity with various clinical benefits.

In this case report, an infusion of 0.5ml/hour was started due basal metabolic state of the patient, and meets these three fundamental characteristics. Kinsley J found that mortality decreased by 29.3%, finding a greater benefit from intensive insulin therapy in patients with septic shock, preventing the onset of acute kidney injury, fewer transfusions, helping to improve erythropoiesis or reducing hemolysis. Insulin therapy reduces insulin resistance related to trauma, increases glycogen reserves, provides an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the defense of the immune system against infection, in addition to improving mitochondrial integrity with various clinical benefits.

In this case report, an infusion of 0.5ml/hour was started due basal metabolic state of the patient, and meets these three fundamental characteristics. Kinsley J found that mortality decreased by 29.3%, finding a greater benefit from intensive insulin therapy in patients with septic shock, preventing the onset of acute kidney injury, fewer transfusions, helping to improve erythropoiesis or reducing hemolysis. Insulin therapy reduces insulin resistance related to trauma, increases glycogen reserves, provides an anti-inflammatory effect and improves the defense of the immune system against infection, in addition to improving mitochondrial integrity with various clinical benefits.
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