The Physiologic Principles of Permissive Anemia

Opinion

In a recent editorial published in the Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia we provided our “opinion piece” on permissive anemia as a safe practice in cardiac surgery [1]. The concept of permissive anemia is based on the principle that low postoperative hemoglobin (Hb) levels are well tolerated and should not indiscriminately result in red blood cell transfusions. The practice of judiciously accepting a low perioperative Hb concentration is part of the strategy of blood conservation with the objective of reducing the potential risks of morbidity, mortality, and reduced long-term survival associated with red blood cell transfusions.

Countless numbers of studies in the medical and surgical literature argue back and forth on the appropriateness of this clinical practice trying to determine the optimal blood Hb concentration and indicate the exact number that should trigger a red blood cell transfusion. We argue that this exercise is for the most part unproductive because there is no doubt that higher “natural” Hb concentration is beneficial to tissue and organ oxygen delivery but there is also no doubt that aiming at a target Hb concentrations by transfusing red-blood cells can be harmful [2-6].

Several clinical trials seem to support the practice of permissive anemia in cardiac surgery based on survival benefits and a lower incidence of postoperative complications, nonetheless, the analysis of the scientific principle that could support the use of this practice is broadly underrated. In fact, there is a significant body of basic science research focused on the study of tissue oxygen delivery (DO\textsubscript{tissue}) and consumption (VO\textsubscript{tissue}), offering intriguing insights as to the reason why permissive anemia is well tolerated.

In simple terms of cardiovascular physiology, it can be stated that the human circulation is regulated by the body's metabolic requirement. The heart returns in the arterial circulation what it receives as venous return, which is controlled by the metabolic rate of peripheral tissues through a mechanism of chemical flow auto-regulation [7]. This simple mechanism of peripheral regulation allows adequate DO\textsubscript{tissue} to peripheral tissues based on metabolic requirement, which is measured by VO\textsubscript{tissue}, DO\textsubscript{tissue} is function of cardiac output and arterial oxygen content, which in normal physiologic conditions is adjusted to maintain adequate VO\textsubscript{tissue} [8]. However, in any condition causing a drop of the DO\textsubscript{tissue} below a critical level capable of satisfying VO\textsubscript{tissue} (DO\textsubscript{critical}), tissue hypoxia and anaerobic metabolism would develop (anaerobic threshold) [24]. Studies on healthy human subjects in resting conditions have shown that a DO\textsubscript{tissue} of 274 mL/m\textsuperscript{2}/min did not produce inadequate tissue oxygenation [9]. Further studies have also suggested that VO\textsubscript{tissue} starts declining at much lower levels of DO\textsubscript{tissue}, indicating that DO\textsubscript{critical} could be at a significantly lower level than previously determined [9]. Slight and co-authors determined that under physiologic resting conditions and adequate body oxygenation during the intra and perioperative period, DO\textsubscript{crit} in a group of patients undergoing cardiac surgery was never above 196 mL/m\textsuperscript{2}/min, which allowed them to suggest that adequate DO\textsubscript{tissue} could be obtained with Hb concentration as low as 5.4 gr/dl in the postoperative cardiac surgery patients [10].

When values of DO\textsubscript{crit} are approached the physiologic mechanisms of homeostasis would implement adjustments aimed at improving DO\textsubscript{tissue} by optimizing tissue perfusion (increasing cardiac output) and O\textsubscript{2} extraction, which would be reflected in a reduction of mixed venous oxygen saturation (S\textsubscript{vO\textsubscript{2}}). If these changes are not sufficient to maintain adequate DO\textsubscript{tissue} whole body VO\textsubscript{2} and tissue oxygen saturation begin to decrease causing metabolic (lactic) acidosis [11]. Nevertheless, when values of DO\textsubscript{crit} are approached the delivery of an acceptable whole-body DO\textsubscript{tissue} does not ensure adequate DO\textsubscript{critical} to all organs [11]. Studies of DO\textsubscript{tissue} in pigs under cardiopulmonary bypass showed that DO\textsubscript{tissue} to the brain was preserved at the expense of DO\textsubscript{tissue} to other organ systems with significant decrease of DO\textsubscript{tissue} to the splanchic and muscle circulation [12]. This finding is confirmed by a large prospective observational study examining the role of DO\textsubscript{tissue} during cardiopulmonary bypass on postoperative renal function, where lower DO\textsubscript{tissue} on bypass (with a critical value of 272 mL/m\textsuperscript{2}/min), versus levels of hematocrit on pump and pump flow rates, represented the best predictor of developing acute renal failure [13].

Based on these observations we can argue that there is some significant basic science data suggesting that low levels of Hb are compatible with adequate DO\textsubscript{tissue} under normal physiologic conditions after cardiac surgery and this would suggest that the practice of permissive anemia has a scientific base supporting the results of clinical trials. Of course, we are not, for any possible reason, advocating that hemoglobin concentration of 5 gr/dl should be indicated as the threshold of a restrictive transfusion practice in cardiac surgery, as anemia could affect DO\textsubscript{critical} leading to organ injury. Nonetheless, the practice of permissive anemia has substantial support in the studies of the mechanisms of
oxygen delivery and consumption, showing that low hemoglobin concentration is well tolerated if $DO_2$ to tissues and organs is maintained above a critical threshold.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our contention is that “permissive anemia” should not represent a dogmatic practice of “all or nothing” [1]. In fact, recommending transfusion of red cells based only on Hb level when no subjective or objective clinical signs of inadequate $DO_2$ exist may not be in the best interest of the patient. An indiscriminate transfusion practice may expose patients to the potential risks associated with red blood cell transfusions when these transfusions are clinically unnecessary [14,15]. Clinicians must recognize that the patient’s condition should dictate the therapy and ought to refrain from the temptation to treat hemoglobin values based simply on a number and with transfusion as the only modality of therapy.
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