Thoracic Spinal Anesthesia

Abstract

In 1909 Thomas Jonnesco published his interesting paper under the title General spinal anesthesia through an approach of the subarachnoid space at a thoracic level, providing condition for surgery on the skull, head, neck and thorax. Etherington-Wilson proposed explaining the various possibilities for the spinal anesthesia. Leonardo da Vinci was considered the discoverer of Human and animal Anatomy. Image techniques have opened a great new field for the study of anatomy in the living man. The modern means of image, associated to computed means have facilitated the evaluation of the CSF volume, through antero-posterior and height measures of the subarachnoid space based on bi-dimentional studies of MRI. The studies of the thoracic column with MRI show that exist a space between the dura-mater and the spinal cord (medula). Thoracic puncture performed with needles proved safe and without neurological injury. Thoracic spinal anesthesia is a viable procedure, with a low incidence of hypotension and did not present any neurologic problem.

History

Until the year 1913, oral surgery, neck surgery and maxillofacial procedures were all made under inhalational anesthesia with a face mask, mucosal soaking of local anesthetic, rectal anesthesia, venous anesthesia or total spinal anesthesia. None of these techniques would protect the airway. In the order hand it puts the patient prone to aspirate blood or mucous secretion to the lungs. It was in that year that Chevalier Jackson related the high success rate of protection of the airway with the tracheal intubation technique [1]. In November 1909 Thomas Jonnesco published his interesting paper under the title General spinal anesthesia through an approach of the subarachnoid space at a thoracic level, providing condition for surgery on the skull, head, neck and thorax [2]. He proposed two sites in the thoracic spine to approach the subarachnoid space: the high thoracic site and the low thoracic site. The high thoracic approach should be between the first and second thoracic vertebra that would provide a deep and perfect analgesia for the higher segment of the body (head, neck, upper members). The lower approach should be done between the 12th thoracic and 1st lumbar vertebra that would be easily recognized and would provide anesthesia for the lower segment of the body. Jonnesco thought that the midpoint thoracic approach was more difficult to be done and unnecessary as the higher segment (T2 approach) or as the lower segment (T12-L1). In the following years the work of Jonnesco was criticised for two times. The first one in 1910 [3] with 18 patients the author finishes his paper saying that “spinal anesthesia is not yet recognized as a field of anesthesia” and a second in 1911 [4] that is an Editorial in which complication of local anesthetic are discussed instead of anesthetic techniques. In 1932, Kirschner [5] described the technique for segmental spinal anesthesia, approaching the subarachnoid space with the patient in the lateral position and head up. He aspirated spinal fluid and injected air. Used hypobaric solution of nupercaine. Vehrs [6] provided segmental thoracic anesthesia through a high approach.

In 1934 [7] and 1935 [8] Etherington-Wilson, in two papers, proposed some explanations for the block of spinal roots intratechally, explaining the various possibilities for the spinal anesthesia, through a glass spinal canal. Two years later, using the method proposed by Etherington-Wilson in 200 patients, the authors perceived that analgesia extended until the higher segments of the thorax, and proposed the segmental spinal anesthesia [9]. In 1942, based in these new concepts, spinal anesthesia for thoracic surgery was proposed [10]. At that time, thoracic surgery was a difficult task. In 1954, Frumin and col [11] also used segmental thoracic spinal through the lumbar approach inserting a radio-opaque cateter in the subarachnoid space until the 12th thoracic vertebra.

Cadaver Anatomy

In the middle age, Leonardo da Vinci was considered the discoverer of Human and animal Anatomy. He dissected over 20 cadavers in the School of Medicine, describing the vertebral column [12] anatomical concepts that remain up to date in what it concerns the cadaver. Still in the cadaver he observed that the dura-mater was pushed forward by the needle producing a tent, and that stall protect the spinal pia mater during spinal puncture preventing spinal cord injury [13]. The tent of the dura-mater and the risk of lesion are greater with the 18-G than with the 22-G [13].
Anatomy through Image

Image techniques such as radiography, angiography, computerized axial tomography, Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI), Angiography, Echography, Termography have opened a great new field for the study of anatomy in the living man. The MRI is the new technique that permits to determine the properties of a substance through a correlations of absorbed energy with the applied frequency. Formerly, the supine position was mandatory for its administration. With technological improve, it can now be applied in the standing patient, in the supine position or in a slanting position [9], what permits not only the evaluation and visualizations of the thoracic subarachnoid space in angles never seen before, but also promises new anatomical knowledge in the living man.

The MRI has been recognized because of its promising use in the healthy patient or with CNS disease [14]. The rootlets of the *cauda equina* have been exhaustively studied using this technique. The radiculae produce a pattern resembling a crescent moon [15] spreading diffusely and filling the posterior aspect of the lumbar subarachnoid space [16,17]. Three papers on MRI present excellent information on the anatomy of the thoracic vertebral canal [18-20].

The modern means of image, associated to computed means have facilitated the evaluation of the CSF volume, through antero-posterior and height measures of the subarachnoid space based on bi-dimentional studies of MRI [21].

Anatomy studies about the medular cone has demonstrated that the *cauda equina* has a dinamic attitude that varies with the position assumed by the patient [22,23]. So, bending the column forward facilitates the introduction of the needle into the subarachnoid space in the lumbar segment not providing protection to the medulla [22]. The spinal medula and the cauda equina move inside the canal, depending on the gravity, when the patient assumes lateral decubitus, occurring it in all its extension, being it greater at L2-L3 with a mean movement of 3.4±1.0 mm [23]. In the inferior thoracic segment the deviation was of only 1.0 mm [23]. Forced flexion of the spine moves the spinal cord and cauda equina anteriorly (ventrally) while the forced flexion of the limbs provides the forward movement of the whole medula anteriorly [23].

**Accidental perforation of the dura mater during thoracic epidural block**

Accidental perforation of the dura-mater during epidural attempts may happen with an incidence that varies according to the ability of the professional and the characteristics of the patient, and it may vary between 0.4% to 4.4% in a series of 6,496 cases, and no patient of the 48 cases with perforation developed neurological sequelae [24-27]. An anatomical explanation for the lack of damage was proposed by Imbelloni and Gouveia (Figure 1) [28,29]. In the printed MNR the following measures were found: 5.19 mm in T2, 5.75 mm in T5 and 5.88 mm in T10 or let us say, sufficient distance to permit the careful advancement of a needle (accidentally of intentionally) without reaching the medula and administer anesthetic for a segmental spinal anesthesia.

Cervical and thoracic myelography

Myelography under subarachnoid approach in the cervical and thoracic segments was practiced before the advancement of Computerized Tomography and Magnetic Nuclear Resonance. In 1990 a study involving 220 neuroradiologists with 187,300 mielografies in wich the approach was realized between C1 and C2 [30]. In this study, 68 complications were detected, what represents 0.023% of all mielographies practiced in this approach, being 63% of them as a consequence of hyperextension of the vertebral column during the procedure [30]. The study demonstrated that eventually an *eletric shock* can be felt. The study demonstrated that eventually the observed electric shock may be provoked by the touch of the needle in the spinal cord or any rootlet during lateral approach in the cervical segments C1 and C2. But no case of permanent lesion [30], but a few cases of transitory paresia due to the contact of the needle in the medulla [31].

An *enquete*, among 351 members of the American Society of Neuroradiology, confirmed that mielography in the cervical and thoracic segments is considered safe and continue indicated in some clinical situations [32]. Studying the cervical and thoracic column through MRI it is evident that the spinal cord is adhered posteriorly in the cervical region and anteriorly in the thoracic segment [20]. The lateral approach between C1 and C2 is point of choice for mielography. This choice can be understood by the presence of a pool of CSP posteriorly and the spinal cord...
anterioirly. The lateral approach prevents the medula from being touched by the needle. Correcting the distance between the dura-mater and the medula one can see an increase in the distance by the angle of entrance, what reduces the possibility of neurological lesion during the accidental perforation of the dura-mater.

**Thoracic approach**

It is importante to understand the anatomy when performing neuraxial blocks in the toracic segment. When the subarachnoid space is approached through a combined spinal-epidural technique, the piercing of dura by the needle is easily felt. The straight alignment of the spinous processes favours the identification of the level of approach to the epidural or subarachnoid space in the midline. The angled position of the spinal processes of the thoracic vertebra makes it difficult. In a general way the paramedian approach favors the piercing of the needle and the introduction of a cateter in the thoracic epidural level [33]. The same way, the knowledge of the depth between the skin and the epidural space through the paramedian access helps the identification of the entry local of the needle in this space.

The evaluation of the subarachnoid space with the patient in the supine position has shown that the distance between the dura-mater and the spinal cord in the thoracic segment is greater in the midthoracic point than cephalad or caudal [20]. Neuraxial blocks are realized with the patient in the lateral position or seated. This way it is less likely that the positioning of a patient determines the great moving of the tissues of such a closed space. Although the dura-mater may displace along the vertebral canal [34], only small movements of adipose tissue and blood pooling may affect the width of the measures evaluated [35,36]. On top of the thoracic curve the spinal cord is kep steady by the denticulate ligament, being that in this localization it stays anteriorly. With the knowledge of the anatomy of the thoracic column recently evaluated [20], it is expected that the patient in dorsal decubitus or in the sitting position the exaggerated curvature of the column may displace the spinal cord more anteriorly, what would reduce the risk of damage of the neural tissue by the needle of the anesthesist.

**Thoracic spinal**

In 1909 Jonnesco used to give thoracic spinal anesthesia with great habilitly, describing the best space, the best needle (cutting point), making believe that it would not be necessary an approach higher than T₃ [2]. In that time anatomy was little known as physiologie and the quality of the drugs of nowadays did not exist, let alone tracheal intubation.

It is well known that anesthesiologists frequently fail to identify a vertebral space. In a study trying to localize the L₁-L₃ interspace the incidence of correct answer was of only 29% [37]. Because of this possibility, we have to admit that in many opportunities one may think he (she) is giving a lumbar block when they are effectively giving a thoracic block. The studies of the thoracic column with MRI [18-20] show that exist a space between the dura-mater and the spinal cord (medula). Van Zundert [38,39] and Imbelloni [40] have placed segmental spinal anesthesia through a combined spinal-epidural technique in a combined spinal-epidural block via a thoracic approach and produced segmental spinal anesthesia using a set of combined spinal epidural block and a thoracic approach without any complication.

This study was important for the thoracic spinal anesthesia as it demonstrated the incidence of complication and mainly the paresthesia when comparing lumbar and thoracic approach. In 300 patients submitted to thoracic approach comparing needles of cutting point and pencil point, divided accordingly with the position of approach (sitting and lateral position) cutting point needle and pencil point needles were used. It was shown that the incidence or paresthesia was 6.6% (4.67% cutting) vs. 8.67% (pencil point) [41]. The incidence of 6.6% was almost half of what was found in an experience of lumbar puncture (12%) that was used to calculate the size of the sample [42]. All patients were followed for 30 day and and no sequel or complication was detected.

Spinal anesthesia is commonly used for a large range of procedures. It presents the advantage of a simple technique, safe and efective, producing a deep block. On the other way, anesthesiologists are reluctant in considering the possibility of thoracic spinal anesthesia, fearing a trauma to the cord. The majority of anesthesiologists give thoracic epidural blocks that eventually perforate the dura and that depends of knowledge, habilitly, attention and a variety of other factors. Recently, one anatomical explanation was proposed to explain the absence of neurologic complication during acidental dura perforation [28]. The Thoraco-abdominal Nerve Block book suggests that spinal anesthesia may be placed deliberately in the thoracic level [43].

**Advantages of thoracic spinal anesthesia**

Spinal anesthesia is commonly used en a variety or surgical procedures. It presents the advantage of a good muscle relaxation, in a conscious patient and a fast post-operative recovery. Minor doses reduce the gravity and incidence of hypotension during the block. Another advantage in relation to the conventional dosis is the faster recovery time (shorter motor block - lesser sensory block).

Recently, studying 646 patients submitted to spinal thoracic anesthesia under a low approach confirmed the results of Jonnesco [2], in 1909, that thoracic spinal anesthesia is a viable procedure, with a low incidence of hypotension and did not present any neurologic problem [44].

The total amount of CSF in the thoracic segment is less in comparison to the lumbar and cervical segment [21], and the thoracic radiculae are thinner as compared to the lower or upper ones [36]. So, there is a lesser dilution of the anesthetic per segment if the distance of the site of injection, and the rootles as easily blocked due to its small diameter, both factors of good block. Other important point is the onset time with isobaric solution in the lumbar segment that is longer than with the hyperbaric solution. When the injection is given in the thoracic segment the difference is not significant between the solutions [44].

Comparing conventional dosis of hyperbaric bupivacaine and lumbar puncture with half the dose in the thoracic injection the onset time is reduced to reach T₆ [44]. The duration of motor and sensory block, incidence of hypotension and capability to move themselves to the transport cart (stretcher) were significantly shorter with the smaller dose and thoracic approach [44].

---

Conclusion

If the thoracic epidural reain as a safe technic, even with the possibility of an accidental perforation of the dura-mater, the anatomical explanation that prevents a cord lesion (the distance between the dura-mater and the cord) suggest that spinal anesthesia may be used with the same safety. In 1909 Jonnesco described all that we now know about the possibility of a thoracic spinal. An important book of regional anesthesia suggest that thoracic subarachnoid block can be preformed deliberately. Thoracic spinal anesthesia may be placed with half the dose used in the lumber region, reducing collateral effects. The same way, the use of hyperbaric solutions and a light Trendelenburg position reinforces the spread of the solutions in the posterior canal (sensitive rootlets) with shorter durations of motor block and a longer sensitive block. This reflects a lesser incidence of hypotension, shorter durations of motor block, and a longer time of post-operative analgesia.
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