A Novel Cell Substrate Candidate for Rabies Virus Vaccine Propagation and Production

Abstract

Rabies is an acute and fatal viral encephalitis and is estimated to cause more than 50,000 human deaths annually. Several cell cultures have been introduced for rabies vaccine production such as human diploid (HDC), primary hamster kidney, fetal bovine kidney, chick embryo, and continuous line of monkey kidney (Vero) cells. In this study, a novel cell line, derived from dental pulp stem cells (RHDP) was used for rabies virus propagation and was compared to MRC-5 cell line. MRC-5 showed a density higher than RHDP. The total cells obtained in a 25ml flask, was 4.2x10⁴ ± 1.5x10³ and 2.1x10⁴ ± 2.6x10³ for MRC-5 and RHDP, respectively. However, RHDP reached its maximum density sooner than MRC. The maximum cell specific growth rate of RHDP was 0.034 μ (h⁻¹) while that of MRC was only 0.010 μ (h⁻¹). The maximum cell division number of RHDP was 1.53 which was achieved three days after culture. Adaptation of the virus to the novel RHDP cell line was achieved after six passages of sub-culturing of the virus every 3-4 days. Rabies virus caused typical CPE and RHDP-cell-lysis in monolayers which were easily observed by microscopy. Our results suggest that RHDP could be another cell substrate candidate for rabies virus titration. The maximum specific virus production in RHDP and MRC-5 were 5.243 FFU/cell/h and 3.625 FFU/cell/h respectively; both had lower titers than those reported for Vero cell.
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Introduction

Rabies is worldwide endemic fatal viral encephalitis, which continues to be a major public health and veterinary problem in many parts of the world [1,2]. Rabies is an under-reported, neglected and deadly disease estimated to cause more than 50,000 human deaths annually and millions of animal bites each year [3]. Domestic animals, mainly dogs and cats, or wild animals (bats, monkeys, and foxes) are important virus reservoirs that transmit this disease to human and animals of great economical interest, such as cattle and horses [4].

Once clinical rabies symptoms are evident, the prognosis for survival is poor and death is almost inevitable. The population at risk includes 2.5 billion people currently living in regions in which rabies is endemic. Half of the victims of dog bites and subsequently rabies and death are children younger than 15 years of age [5]. Clearly, greater efforts should be made to improve the control of rabies, a zoonotic disease with the highest rate of fatality cases known to humans. One of the most important elements in the effective control of human rabies is the use of efficacious vaccines.

Since the introduction of the first vaccine by Pasteur in 1885, many rabies vaccines were developed using various cell substrates including animal brains, avian embryos, primary mammalian cells, human diploid cell and Vero cells. Although rabies vaccine was also produced in mammalian neural tissues over 100 years ago, the vaccines that were produced in cell culture and embryonated eggs have dramatically decreased human deaths throughout the world [6-9].

Using neural tissue vaccine (NTV) was very common in the past and this type of crude vaccine was improved over the years, but remained associated with serious adverse events and severe neurological reactions, because of the production of antibodies against myelin or other substances of the animal nervous tissue present in the product. Hence, the use of NTV was phased out slowly as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) [10].

Many different cell culture systems have been used extensively in research, diagnostics and the manufacturing of biological products including vaccines. The use of cell lines allowed large-scale production of vaccines using micro-carriers and cultures in bioreactors [11]. Despite supporting studies reporting the safety of Vero cell as a cell substrate for vaccine production [12-14], other reports claim contamination of some cell lines including Vero cell used for vaccine production with adventitious agents [15]. Therefore, a continuous search for an appropriate cell substrate for the production of vaccines remains useful.

Currently, stem cells have gained increasing attention in research because of their promising applications in medical-clinical as well as developmental biology. Stem cells have self-renewal capacity and the ability to provide differentiated and
undifferentiated cells that allow a diverse range of specialized cells and tissues. They can be obtained from different tissues including the dental pulp [16-18], and the cultivation these cells under different conditions has been established [19-21]. So far no report to date has documented the use of stem cells in research or for the production of rabies vaccine. In this paper we describe a novel cell substrate, stem cell line derived from dental pulp (RHDP), for the production and quality control of rabies virus vaccine. We show that rabies virus could be adapted to these cells and harvested at high titers.

**Material and Methods**

**Cell lines**

MRC-5 cell line, passage 25 was provided by Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute RVSI, Alborz, Iran. RHDP cell line derived from dental pulp stem cells, passage 174, was provided by the Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute RVSI; Human Viral Vaccine Department, Alborz, Iran. BSR cell line was provided by the WHO collaborating center for references and research on rabies, Pasteur Institute, Tehran, Iran.

**Rabies virus strain**

Rabies Pasteur strain (PV) adapted to Vero cells was provided by WHO collaborating center for references and research on rabies, Pasteur Institute, Tehran, Iran.

**Cell culture**

MRC-5 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Gibco BRL) supplemented with 8% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco BRL) and kanamycin-neomycin (Biosera, United Kingdom) as previously described [22]. Tissue culture flasks (175 or 25 cm²) were seeded by MRC-5 at 1×10⁴ cells/ml and incubated at 37°C. The cells were cultured when confluency was reached, normally every 6-7 days. For further subculture, the cell monolayer was washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by 0.025% trypsin to suspend cells and subculture at appropriate concentration. The RHDP cells were cultured according to the same protocol, except that we used 5% fetal calf serum instead of 8% and sub cultured every 4 days.

**Cell counting by hemocytometer**

Cell counting was performed by hemocytometer [22]. Briefly, a flask containing a monolayer cell culture was trypsinized and suspended by pipetting to obtain a homogenized cell suspension. 50 µl of cell suspension was mixed carefully with 50 µl of 0.4% Trypan blue in a clean micro tube. A sample of stained cells was added to the counting chamber of a hemocytometer slide (Improved Neubauer, HBG, Germany). The cells were counted on a microscope and the cell concentration was calculated.

**Infection of cell monolayer with rabies virus:**

Virus inoculation was performed according to the modified Koprowski method [9]. Briefly, freshly trypsinized cells suspension were inoculated with virus seed at a MOI of 0.1 and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Then the virus propagation DMEM media supplemented by 5% FBS was added and incubation was continued at 37°C for 4 and 2 days for MRC-5 and RHDP cell lines, respectively. After washing the cell monolayer twice with PBS, sufficient virus propagation media was added to the flask and incubated at 32-33°C. The virus was harvested at different times according to the experimental design.

**Infection of cell suspension with rabies virus**

Virus inoculation was performed according to the modified Koprowski method [9]. Briefly, freshly trypsinized cells suspension were inoculated with virus seed at a MOI of 0.1 and incubated at 37°C for 45 min. Finally, DMEM media supplemented by 0.2% human albumin was added and incubated at 32-33°C. The virus was harvested at different times post infection according to the experimental design.

**Rabies Virus titration**

Virus titer in the infected cultures was determined according to the modified fluorescent antibody staining technique described by Kaplan [9] and expressed in Fluorescent Focus Unit per ml (FFU/ml). Briefly, serial dilutions of virus were prepared and an aliquot of each dilution (100µl) was transferred to each chamber of a Slide Chamber (Lab Tech) followed by 200 µl of media and 100 µl of cell suspension. Slide chamber was incubated at 36-37°C for 48 hours then subjected to staining. All chambers were washed twice with PBS and after fixation of cells in acetone, the chambers were stained with anti-rabies nucleocapsid conjugated antibody. Slides were examined by fluorescent microscope for presence of the Negri bodies.

**Cell growth kinetics**

The cell growth kinetics was calculated according to the methods used by Samia Rourou [23,24].

The Specific Cell Growth rate, \( \mu \) (h⁻¹) was estimated using equation 1:

\[
\mu = \frac{\ln X_n - \ln X_{n-1}}{t_n - t_{n-1}}
\]  

(1)

Where \( X_n \) represents the viable cell density per ml, \( t \) represents the time points of sampling expressed in hour, the subscripts \( n \) and \( n-1 \) stand for two successive sampling points.

Cell Division Number, \( Cd \) was calculated according to the equation 2:

\[
Cd = \log \frac{2\text{Cell density level at the end of the culture}}{\text{Cell density level at inoculation}}
\]  

(2)

The virus growth kinetics was calculated according to the methods used by Samia Rourou [23,24].

**Virus growth kinetics**

Specific Virus Production Rate, \( P \) was calculated according to equation 3:
null
reached 4 days post infection. The specific virus production rates were 0.0092 FFU/cell/h and 5.2431 FFU/cell/h on day one and four, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 4c, 4d).

The Mean virus productivities were 0.0372±0.0231 and 0.1326±0.0375 for MRC and RHDP cell respectively, 48 hrs after inoculation. This parameter reached its maximum level on the fourth day of culture then decreased dramatically in both cell substrate as shown in figures 4b and 4d. The maximum level of virus productivity was 3.4826±2.9216 and 2.4682±0.0351 for MRC and RHDP cells, respectively (Table 2).

### Table 1: Cell growth parameters for MRC-5 and RHDP cell lines cultured in flask.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time After Starting Culture Hr</th>
<th>Total Cell Count Per 25 Cm² Flask Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Specific Growth Rate M (H⁻¹) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Cell Division Number(Cd) Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRC-5</td>
<td>RHDP</td>
<td>MRC-5</td>
<td>RHDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3<em>10⁶± 1.5</em>10⁵</td>
<td>9.3<em>10⁵± 2.5</em>10⁵</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.7<em>10⁶± 1.5</em>10⁵</td>
<td>1.3<em>10⁵± 3.1</em>10⁵</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.3<em>10⁶± 2.0</em>10⁵</td>
<td>2.03<em>10⁵± 2.5</em>10⁵</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>2.9<em>10⁶± 2.1</em>10⁵</td>
<td>2.03<em>10⁵± 1.1</em>10⁵</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.5<em>10⁶± 3.0</em>10⁵</td>
<td>2.1<em>10⁵± 2.0</em>10⁵</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>4.1<em>10⁶± 3.5</em>10⁵</td>
<td>2.1<em>10⁵± 2.6</em>10⁵</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>4.2<em>10⁶± 2.6</em>10⁵</td>
<td>1.9<em>10⁵± 1.5</em>10⁵</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Virus production parameters in MRC-5 and RHDP cell substrate in static culture flask.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time After Virus Inoculation Hrs</th>
<th>Total Cell Count Per/ML Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Specific Virus Production Rate FFU/Cell/H</th>
<th>Virus Productivity Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRC-5</td>
<td>RHDP</td>
<td>MRC-5</td>
<td>RHDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.0<em>10⁵± 1.0</em>10⁴</td>
<td>1.8<em>10⁵± 2.1</em>10⁴</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.1<em>10⁵± 2.5</em>10⁴</td>
<td>1.9<em>10⁵± 0.6</em>10⁴</td>
<td>0.0292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.15<em>10⁵± 2.7</em>10⁴</td>
<td>1.8<em>10⁵± 1.7</em>10⁴</td>
<td>1.3750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.3<em>10⁵± 2.0</em>10⁴</td>
<td>1.6<em>10⁵± 1.0</em>10⁴</td>
<td>1.6024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.26<em>10⁵± 1.5</em>10⁴</td>
<td>1.13<em>10⁵± 1.5</em>10⁴</td>
<td>3.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>4.3<em>10⁵± 2.1</em>10⁴</td>
<td>0.47<em>10⁵± 1.5</em>10⁴</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Cell growth curve of MRC-5 (a) and RHDP (b). Cells were cultured in 25cm² flasks in static condition, using DMEM supplemented by 8% and 5% FCS for MRC and RHDP cell lines, respectively.
Figure 2: Most important growth parameters in cell culture system.

Figure 3: Photomicrographs of rabies inclusion Negri bodies in RHDP cell substrates infected by PV rabies virus strain. First (a), second (b), fourth (c) and sixth (d) passages of virus on RHDP cell line. Sharpe increasing in number of fluorescence focuses in subsequent passages is noted. The slides were stained with fluorescein-labelled antirabies nucleocapsid antibody.
Discussion

Vaccination as a deliberate attempt to protect humans against infectious diseases has a long history; although only in the 20th century did the practice flourish into the routine vaccination of large population. Rabies is an acute viral-encephalitis that is transmitted from animal to animal or from animal to human by exposure to saliva. All mammalian species are believed to be susceptible to rabies. In an area in which animal control programs are not extensively developed, dogs and cats account for most of the reported rabid animals and cause most (90%) of the human rabies exposures. Wildlife is the main reservoir of the rabies virus [25,26]. Human infection with the virus occurs often after a bite from a rabid animal. However, exposure through the inhalation of the virus or through the transplantation of infected corneas have also occurred [27].

One of the most important elements to effectively control human rabies is using an efficacious vaccine. Intensive efforts were exerted, world-wide, to produce cost-effective vaccines that meet or improve the levels of safety and efficacy achieved with human diploid cells [6,25,28].

Animal cell culture was established as a manufacturing technology for the preparation of viral vaccines since the late 1940s [23]. However, the use of cell substrate presents several disadvantages such as the potential to induce hypersensitivity, batch variability, and possibility of contaminants with adventitious agents, heterogenic proteins, bovine viruses, prions, mycoplasma, DNA, and other components. Therefore, the requirements for the use of animal cells as in vitro substrates for the production of biologicals were published by the WHO [29].

However, there are some safety issues using cell lines including Vero cell [15]. Moreover, because of absence of rabies CPE on cell substrate, different techniques such as titration in mice and staining with fluorescence conjugated rabies virus-nucleocapsid-antibodies are applied for virus titration. Some of these methods are expensive and/or time consuming.

In this study, we investigated the characteristic of the RHDP cell line (a cell line derived from human dental pulp stem cell) in terms of growth in stationary condition and use as a substrate for the propagation of rabies virus, compared with MRC-5 cell substrate. Also we evaluated the potential application of this cell line for vaccine production as well as using it in developmental research.

Both MRC-5 and RHDP cell lines were evaluate according to their growth parameters as well as virus propagation characteristics. We found that MRC-5 had a higher growth rate in terms of total cell number in each flask compared with RHDP. The total cells per a 25cm² flask with a confluent monolayer, were $4.2 \times 10^6 \pm 1.5 \times 10^5$ and $2.1 \times 10^6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^5$ for MRC-5 and RHDP, respectively, but the RHDP reached its maximum density sooner than MRC-5.

Figure 4: The kinetic of rabies virus production in MRC-5 and RHDP cell substrates under static condition. DMEM supplemented by 0.2 % human albumin was used as virus propagation media. The Specific Virus Production Rate in MRC (a) and RHDP (c) are depicted. The virus productivity is shown for MRC (b) and RHDP (d).
The specific growth rates were 0.034±0.011 μ (h⁻¹) and 0.010±0.001 μ (h⁻¹) in RHDP and MRC-5, respectively. Maximum specific growth rate in RHDP was on day one of subculture and therefore, the lag time was very short. Meanwhile, the maximum growth rate in MRC-5 was observed on days 3 and 4 of culture, showing a relatively long lag phase. It can be concluded that RHDP grows more rapidly than MRC-5. However, the specific growth rate in RHDP was higher than those reported in other studies on Vero cell [23].

The Cd plot was also consistent with these findings. Cd was 0.7±0.19 on day one after starting the culture and reached 1.5±0.19 on day 3 and fixed at this level. Therefore, for expanding the RHDP cell in an optimum condition, sub culture should be made every 3-4 days to obtain the maximum cell in a defined period of time.

RHDP is highly sensitive to rabies virus and as shown in figure 3, the virus was well adapted to this cell substrate in only 6 passages. Rabies virus can cause cell lysis and develop a CPE in RHDP cell monolayer which can be easily observed by light inverted microscope. The CPE is characterized by cells granulation and rounding, cell lysis and finally cell detachment.

This ability can make the RHDP as a cell substrate which can potentially be used for virus titration.

Recent evidence suggest that the dental pulp stem cells are thought to be mesenchymal stem cells, and able to differentiate into a wide variety of other cell and tissue types including neural cells, adipocytes, osteoblasts, chondrocytes [30]. Therefore, special attention should be for using RHDP as a cell substrate for vaccine production.

A significant decrease in cell density after virus inoculation was observed in RHDP cell line and it was due to cell lysis which is concomitant with virus release in media. The RHDP cell densities 24 and 144 hours after initiating the virus inoculation were 1.8±1.0×10⁶ and 0.47±0.15×10⁶ per mL, respectively. No significant changes in cell density were observed during the virus propagation on MRC-5 cell substrate. Only a slight change was observed in RHDP cell line and it was due to cell lysis which is concomitant with virus release in media. The Cd plot was also consistent with these findings. Cd was 2.4682±0.0351 for MRC-5 and RHDP cells, respectively, both observed on day four. Cell lysis in RHDP had caused such decrease in virus productivity compared with MRC cell line. The ability to amplify and support a virus replication in a cell substrate is a valuable indicator for evaluating the cell, to select it as a suitable substrate for vaccine production or further study. Virus Productivity can reflect this ability in cell substrate which can easily be calculated base on cell and virus growth kinetics. Higher Virus Productivity means more virus particle produced by a single cell.

Using micro carrier for mass production of Vero cell as well as stem cell by fermentor has been reviewed by many researchers and well documented [19-21] and can be used for RHDP cultivation in large scales to increase the virus titer.

In conclusion, in this study a new cell substrate (RHDP) was presented which can be used for propagation of rabies virus and vaccine researches. All essential elements such as cell kinetic as well as virus growth properties on both, RHDP and MRC-5 cell substrates were investigated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on a stem cell derived cell line (RHDP), used for rabies virus propagation. Nevertheless, further studies are still needed to improve the culture conditions and increase production using a bioreactor. Also more studies are essential to introduce a rabies virus titration method base on RHDP cell culture.
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