Diagnostic accuracy of thyroid cytology reporting between a general and a specialist histopathology department, over a five year period

Abstract

Background: According to current Royal College of Pathologists (RCPath) guidelines, thyroid cytology cases classified as Thy4/Thy5 are expected to be reviewed by a cyto-histopathologist core member of the thyroid multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meeting. We reviewed the usefulness of such practice in a district general hospital (DGH) in the UK.

Methods: Over a five year period, all thyroid fine needle aspirations (FNA) referred from a DGH to a specialist centre with subsequent histology were reviewed. The frequency and degree of discordant thyroid FNA diagnoses between in-house initial diagnosis (ID) and the specialist’s second opinion diagnosis (SOD) were analysed, alongside cyto-histological correlations.

Results: A total of 164 cases were reviewed, with 36% showing diagnostic disagreement between the ID and the SOD. Overall, the SOD was better supported by the subsequent histology, with an improved diagnostic accuracy rate (82% vs 74%), sensitivity (80% vs 73%) and specificity (83% vs 74%).

Conclusion: This study demonstrates interpretation of thyroid cytology is dependent on the experience of the cyto-pathologist. Due to the limitations of FNA cytology, the nature of thyroid lesions can be challenging to interpret. A specialist review is considered a safe practice to prevent errors and subsequent adverse outcomes in patient management.

Keywords: thyroid cytology, second opinion diagnosis, diagnostic accuracy

Abbreviations: FNA, fine-needle aspiration; ID, initial diagnosis; SOD, second opinion diagnosis; RCPath, royal college of pathologists; MDT, multi-disciplinary team; DGH, district general hospital; BTA, british thyroid association; JRH, john radcliffe hospital

Introduction

In the United Kingdom (UK), thyroid cytology reporting system is based on the British Thyroid Association (BTA)/Royal Collage of Pathologists (RCPath) Thy terminology. This classification includes Thy1 (non-diagnostic), Thy2 (benign), Thy3a (cytological/architectural atypia), Thy3f (suggestive of a follicular neoplasm), Thy4 (suspicious of malignancy) and Thy5 (malignant). This system allows consistent and reproducible reporting of thyroid cytology specimens, and provides a basis for clear communication on which the management of patients with abnormal FNAs can be based.1,2

Thyroid cytology can, however, be challenging to interpret due to the nature of thyroid lesions, and a second opinion is often needed for an accurate cytological assessment. According to current RCPath “Guidance on the reporting of thyroid cytology specimens”,2 cases categorised as Thy4 or Thy5 are expected to be reviewed by a cyto-histopathology core member of the thyroid MDT.2 Prior to this revision, previously published guidance in 2009 recommended that any case classified as Thy3a/f, Thy4 or Thy5 should be reviewed by a cyto-histopathologist. Some DGHs routinely refer thyroid cytology cases to a specialist centre in order to comply with these guidelines. Overall, this practice can result in delayed curative surgery, as well as incurring additional cost. To date, there is limited data on the usefulness of such practice in the UK, whilst the value of second opinions in thyroid cytology has been well investigated elsewhere.

In this study, we compared the in-house initial diagnosis (ID) of thyroid FNA’s at Wycombe General Hospital (WGH) with the specialist’s second opinion diagnosis (SOD) at the John Radcliffe Hospital (JRH). The frequency and degree of discordant diagnoses between WGH and JRH were reviewed, alongside assessment of histological follow up to help determine whether SOD is beneficial.

Materials and methods

From 2009 and 2014, all thyroid cytology cases received at WGH were collated using the local institutional database (Winpath). In this study, all referral cases from WGH to JRH for a SOD were included if subsequent histology was available. These cases included an ID of Thy3a/f, Thy4 and Thy5 and any challenging case that required a specialist review. Cases were excluded if there was an unclear ID or where there was no histology available.

Initially, in each case, the degree of diagnostic agreement/disagreement between the ID and the SOD was classified into five categories as follows:
i. Complete diagnostic agreement–Unchanged Thy classification.

ii. Incomplete agreement–Thy3a changed to Thy3f, or vice versa.

iii. Thy category upgraded–Thy category changed from either Thy3a/f to Thy4, Thy4 to Thy5 or Thy3a/f to Thy5.

iv. Thy category downgraded–Thy category changed from either Thy5 to Thy4, Thy4 to Thy3a/f or Thy5 to Thy 3a/f.

v. Complete diagnostic disagreement–Thy category changed from Thy1 to Thy2, Thy1 to Thy3(a/f)-5, Thy2 to Thy3(a/f)-5, or vice versa.

vi. Whilst there are no current widely accepted definitions of false positives, false negatives, true positives and true negatives in thyroid cytology, we defined these terms as follows by correlating the cytological interpretation with the final histological diagnosis (Table 1).

vii. True negatives (TN), including cytology cases reported as either Thy2 with subsequent benign histology (normal or hyperplastic/inflammatory process), Thy3f with final histology showing a benign follicular neoplasm (adenomatoid nodule, follicular adenoma, hurtle cell adenoma) or Thy3a with subsequent benign histology.

viii. False negatives (FN), including any case reported as either Thy2 with subsequent malignant histology or Thy3f with a non-follicular malignancy on final histology.

ix. True positives (TP), including Thy3a, Thy4, Thy5 cases with subsequent proven malignant histology. This also includes Thy3f where the subsequent histology was either a malignant follicular lesion (including follicular carcinoma and follicular variant papillary carcinoma) or hyalimising trabecular tumour.

x. False positives (FP), comprising cases reported as Thy3a, Thy4, Thy5 with subsequent proven normal or benign histology. This included Thy3f if the final histology showed a benign process (other than hurtle cell adenoma, follicular adenoma or a cellular adenomatoid nodule).

Table 1 Definitions of false negative ‘FN’, false positive ‘FP’, true positive ‘TP’ and true negative ‘TN’ in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thy Classification</th>
<th>Histological findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy 2</td>
<td>Hyperplastic/inflammatory process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy3f</td>
<td>Benign follicular neoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy3a</td>
<td>Benign histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy 2</td>
<td>Neoplasm (benign or malignant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy3f</td>
<td>Non-follicular malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy3a, Thy4 and Thy5</td>
<td>Malignant neoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy3f</td>
<td>Malignant follicular neoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy3a/f, Thy4 and Thy5</td>
<td>Hyperplastic/inflammatory process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy4 and Thy5</td>
<td>Benign follicular neoplasm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity [TP/ (TP+FN)], specificity [TN/(TN+FP)], positive predictive value (PPV) [TP/(TP+FP)] and overall diagnostic accuracy [(TP+TN)/(TP+TN+FP+FN)] were assessed in each center and compared with RCPath guidelines.

Results

Over five years (2009-2014), 858 thyroid cytology specimens were reported at WGH. Of these, 164 cases were referred from WGH to JRH for a SOD and had subsequent surgical management. The mean patient age was 54 (range 16-88 years) with a predominant number of female patients (88%). The overall number of ID and SOD in each Thy category is demonstrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2.

Figure 1 Number of ID and SOD in each Thy classification

Figure 2 Correlation of Thy classification between the ID and SOD.

Complete diagnostic agreement between the ID and SOD was seen in 106 out of the 164 cases (64%), as shown in Figure 3. Within this subgroup, the majority of cases (69/106) showed complete agreement between the ID and SOD with Thy3f categorisation (103 cases were initially referred from WGH with an ID of Thy3f). Seventeen out of twenty cases referred from WGH with an ID of Thy5 were completely supported by the SOD and had subsequent histology of papillary thyroid carcinoma. In addition, a total of twenty one cases were referred with an ID of Thy3a, which were completely agreed by the SOD in twelve cases.

The remaining 36% of cases in this study (58/164) demonstrated a degree of diagnostic disagreement, see Figure 1. Sixteen cases involved partial (50%) agreement with seven cases changed from Thy3a to Thy3f with the subsequent histology showing follicular adenoma (4/7), Hurtle cell adenoma (2/7) and nodular goitre (1/7). The remaining nine cases were changed from Thy3f to Thy3a with a final histological diagnosis of papillary thyroid carcinoma (3/9), follicular adenoma (2/9), adenomatoid nodule (1/7), colloid nodule (2/7) and parathyroid adenoma (1/7).
A total of eleven cases were upgraded from Thy3a/f to Thy4 (6/11), Thy3a/f to Thy5 (4/11), and Thy4 to Thy5 (1/11). In 9/11 cases, the final histological diagnosis was papillary thyroid carcinoma, alongside 1/11 hurttle cell adenoma (Thy3f to Thy4) and 1/11 hyalising trabecular tumour (Thy3f to Thy4).

Twenty six cases showed complete diagnostic disagreement, see Figure 4. This included eighteen cases which were appropriately changed from Thy3f (ID) to Thy2 (SOD), with final histological diagnoses including nodular goitre (12/18), Hashimoto’s thyroiditis (2/18) and colloid nodule (2/18). Three cases were changed from Thy 2 to Thy3f, with subsequent histology showing follicular adenoma (1/3), follicular adenoma and papillary thyroid carcinoma (1/3) and multinodular goitre with dominant nodule (1/3). Two cases were changed from Thy1 to Thy3f, including a follicular adenoma (1/2) and Hashimoto’s Thyroiditis (1/2). A further single case was changed from Thy1 to Thy3f, including a follicular adenoma (1/2) and Hashimoto’s Thyroiditis (1/2). Following cyto-histological correlation, we found that the sensitivity in WGH and JRH was 73% and 80%, respectively. However, the specificity in WGH was 74%, which is below the recommended RCPath range (76-100%), whilst it was much higher in JRH at 83%. The PPV at both sites was within the recommended ranges for Thy3a, Thy3f, Thy4 and Thy5. In particular, the PPV for Thy5 by the ID and SOD was 95% and 100%, respectively.

**Discussion**

Thyroid cytology provides both an accurate and cost-effective test to deliver a diagnosis of a thyroid neoplasm, and allows the patient to be triaged for either conservative or surgical management. Misinterpretation of thyroid cytology can have a significant impact on patient management, as well as carrying the prospect of litigation. SOD in thyroid cytology has shown to improve cytological interpretation and to prevent mismanagement. In this study, we investigated the value of SOD in thyroid cytology.

The majority of cases in this study demonstrated complete agreement in the Thy classification between the ID and SOD (64%), with highest concordance in Thy3f cases. The remainder of cases showed a degree of diagnostic disagreement (36%). Similar results reported by Park et al showed a diagnostic agreement rate of 74% between the ID and SOD using the Bethesda system for Thy categorisation, alongside a diagnostic disagreement rate of 26%. Whereas, Tan et al reported a much lower discordant rate of 18%, and among these surgical management was changed for 30% of patients.

In this study 16% of cases showed complete diagnostic disagreement with most cases appropriately changed from Thy3f (ID) to Thy2 (SOD). Of the cases showing partial disagreement, 85% of cases changed from Thy3a to Thy3f were supported by the histological follow up. However, in only 33% of cases changed from Thy3f to Thy3a, subsequent histological findings of malignancy were present. This gives further evidence that management of Thy3a cytology cases should be very careful with vigilant correlation with subsequent histology revealing papillary thyroid carcinoma. The SOD avoided eight of these false negative cases with re-classification to Thy3a–Thy5. In contrast, the second review introduced five false negatives, with each case downgraded from Thy3f to Thy2, and subsequent histology showing a follicular neoplastic lesion. However, overall, the SOD false negative rate was lower than the ID at 20%.

**Table 2** Diagnostic accuracy of thyroid cytology reporting in WGH and JRH, with comparison with RCPath guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WGH</th>
<th>JRH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>False positive rate</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative rate</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV for Thy3a</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV for Thy3f</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV for Thy4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV for Thy5</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall accuracy</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the clinical features and radiological findings.\textsuperscript{8}

80\% of the “upgraded” cases, with SOD of either Thy4 or Thy5, had subsequent histology of papillary thyroid carcinoma. In addition, three out of five cases downgraded from Thy4 to Thy3 included cases of two follicular adenomas and one multinodular goitre. By improving the false negative rate and false positive rate, respectively, a SOD has shown to prevent cytological misinterpretation and potential adverse patient management. However, the direct change in management was difficult to record in this study, as surgical excision is not just dependent on the Thy categorisation, but also by other factors including patient age, size of the lesion and multimodality.

Literature on malignancy detection on thyroid cytology indicates sensitivity ranges between 65\% to 98\%, specificity of 76-100\%, false-negative rate of 0-5\% and false positive rate of 0-5.7\%.\textsuperscript{2} The specificity for detecting thyroid malignancy by WGH was found to be slightly lower at 74\%, whilst the sensitivity and specificity at JRH were within the recommended ranges.

The false positive and false negative rates at both centers were higher than the published data stated in the RCPath “Guidance on the reporting of thyroid cytology specimens”. However this document acknowledges the limitations of the comparison with international data due to how results are categorized and analysed.\textsuperscript{2}

Moreover, the definitions for FP, TP, FN and TN in thyroid cytology reporting are not outlined in the RCPath guidance, and our definitions may vary to those used elsewhere. Causes for false negative rates in thyroid cytology could be explained by under sampling of lesions, or sampling of cystic tumors or lesions where there maybe dual pathology.\textsuperscript{9} Since thyroid lesions can have variable morphology in appearance, it is important to ensure adequate sampling to provide a representative specimen for analysis. In particular, this study included three cases demonstrating dual pathology, in which one component in each case being papillary thyroid carcinoma that was not suspected on the initial FNA. This shows that interpretation of thyroid cytology is not only dependent on the experience of the cytopathologist, but also the experience of the radiologist and aspirator. In addition, this study further supports that Hashimoto’s thyroiditis can introduce both false positives and false negatives in thyroid cytology, as seen in previous studies.\textsuperscript{9,10,11} This is mainly due to the considerable morphological overlap with malignant cells in papillary thyroid carcinoma.

The overall diagnostic accuracy of detecting malignancy in thyroid cytology ranges between 69-97\%, as mentioned in current RCPath guidance.\textsuperscript{2} This study has revealed that diagnostic accuracy at WGH was 73\% (within recommended range), whilst this was much higher in JRH at 82\%. In addition, we have demonstrated that a SOD in thyroid cytology is associated with an improved sensitivity, specificity, PPV and diagnostic accuracy in detecting malignancy.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we reviewed the usefulness of a SOD in thyroid cytology in the UK. With improved overall diagnostic accuracy by the SOD by 9\%, we demonstrate that thyroid cytology interpretation is dependent on the experience of the cyto-pathologist. We therefore further support that a SOD is considered to be safe practice, by providing an important tool to detect interpretive errors which may significantly impact on patient management.
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