A Generalized Akash Distribution

Abstract

In this paper a generalized Akash distribution (GAD) which includes Akash distribution of Shanker [1] and exponential distribution as particular cases has been introduced and studied. Its mathematical and statistical properties including its shapes for varying values of parameters, moments based measures, hazard rate function, mean residual life function, stochastic ordering, order deviations, Renyi entropy measure, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves and stress-strength reliability have been studied. The estimation of its parameters has been discussed using both the method of moments and the maximum likelihood estimation. Goodness of fit of GAD has been explained through a real lifetime data and the fit has been compared with other lifetime distributions.
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Introduction

Shanker [1] introduced a one-parameter lifetime distribution, known as Akash distribution, defined by its probability density function (pdf) and cumulative distribution function (cdf)

\[ f(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta^3}{\theta^2 + 2} \left(1 + x^2\right) e^{-\theta x}; \quad x > 0, \quad \theta > 0 \]  
\[ F(x; \theta) = \left[1 + \frac{\theta x (9x + 2)}{\theta^2 + 2}\right] e^{-\theta x}; \quad x > 0, \theta > 0 \]

This distribution is a two-component mixture of exponential (\(\theta\)) and gamma (3, \(\theta\)) distributions with their mixing proportions \(\frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2 + 2}\) and \(\frac{1}{\theta^2 + 2}\) respectively. Shanker [1] has discussed its various mathematical and statistical properties and showed that in many ways (1.1) provides a better model for modeling lifetime data from medical science and engineering than Lindley [2] and exponential distributions. Shanker et al. [3,4] have detailed comparative and critical study on Akash, Lindley and exponential distributions for modeling lifetime data from biomedical science and engineering and observed that Akash distribution provides better fit than both Lindley and exponential distributions in almost all lifetime datasets.

The first four moments about origin of Akash distribution obtained by Shanker [1] are given by

\[ \mu_1 = \frac{\theta^2 + 6}{\theta^2 + 2}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{2(\theta^2 + 12)}{\theta^2 + 2}, \quad \mu_3 = \frac{6(\theta^2 + 20)}{\theta^2 + 2}, \quad \mu_4 = \frac{24(\theta^2 + 30)}{\theta^2 + 2} \]

The central moments of Akash distribution as obtained by Shanker [1] are

\[ \mu_n = \frac{\theta^{n+1} 6^n}{\theta^{n+2}} \]

Shanker [5] obtained Poisson- Akash distribution (PAD), a Poisson mixture of Akash distribution, and discussed its various statistical and mathematical properties along with estimation of parameter and applications for counts data from different fields of knowledge. Shanker [6,7] has also introduced size-biased and zero-truncated version of PAD and studied their statistical properties, estimation of parameter and applications for count datasets which structurally excludes zero counts.

In this paper, a generalized Akash distribution (GAD), of which the Akash distribution (1.1) of Shanker [1] and exponential distribution are special cases, has been suggested. Its shapes for varying values of parameters, moments and moments based properties have been derived and discussed. The hazard rate function, mean residual life function, stochastic ordering, order deviations, Renyi entropy measure, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, and stress-strength reliability of GAD have been derived and discussed. The estimation of parameters has been discussed using both the method of moments and the maximum likelihood estimation. Finally, goodness of fit of GAD has been discussed with a real lifetime dataset and the fit has been compared with some well known distributions.
A Generalized Akash Distribution

A two-parameter generalized Akash distribution (GAD) with parameters $\theta$ and $\alpha$ is defined by its pdf

$$f_{X}(x;\theta,\alpha)=\frac{\theta^3}{\theta^2+2\alpha}(1+\alpha x^2)e^{-\theta x};x>0,\theta>0,\alpha>0 \quad (2.1)$$

It can be easily verified that the Akash distribution defined in (1.1) and exponential distribution are particular cases of GAD (2.1) at $\alpha=1$ and $\alpha=0$ respectively. The pdf (2.1) can be shown as a two-component mixture of exponential ($\theta$) and gamma ($3,\theta$) distributions. We have

$$f_{X}(x;\theta,\alpha)=p g_{1}(x,\theta)+(1-p) g_{2}(x;3,\theta), \quad (2.2)$$

where $p=\frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2+2\alpha}$, $g_{1}(x,\theta)=\theta e^{-\theta x}$ and $g_{2}(x)=\frac{\theta^3}{\Gamma(3)} e^{-\theta x} x^2$. The corresponding cdf of GAD (2.1) can be obtained as

$$F_{X}(x;\theta,\alpha)=1\left[1+\frac{\alpha \theta x(x+2)}{\theta^2+2\alpha} \right] e^{-\theta x};x>0,\theta>0,\alpha>0 \quad (2.3)$$

The graphs of pdf and cdf of GAD for varying values of parameters are shown in figures 1 and 2.

Figure 1: pdf of GAD for varying values of parameters $\theta$ and $\alpha$.

Figure 2: cdf of GAD for varying values of parameters $\theta$ and $\alpha$.

Statistical Constants

The moment generating function (mgf) about origin of GAD (2.1) can be obtained as

$$M_{X}(t)=\frac{\theta^3}{\theta^2+2\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{(\theta-t)^2}+\frac{2\alpha}{(\theta-t)^3} \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t\theta)^k}{\theta^k} \right]$$

$$=\frac{\theta^3}{\theta^2+2\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{(\theta-t)^2}+\frac{2\alpha}{(\theta-t)^3} \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t\theta)^k}{\theta^k} \right]$$

$$=\frac{\theta^3}{\theta^2+2\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{(\theta-t)^2}+\frac{2\alpha}{(\theta-t)^3} \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t\theta)^k}{\theta^k} \right]$$

The $r$th moment about origin of GAD (2.1) thus can be obtained as
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\[ \mu_r = \frac{r! \theta^{r+(r+1)(r+2)\alpha}}{\theta^r (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)}; \quad r=1,2,3,\ldots \]  

Taking \( r=1,2,3 \) and 4 in (3.1), the first four moments about origin of GAD (2.1) are obtained as

\[ \mu_2 = \frac{\theta^4 + 6\alpha}{\theta^2 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)}, \quad \mu_3 = \frac{2(\theta^2 + 12\alpha)}{\theta^2 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)}, \quad \mu_4 = \frac{6(\theta^4 + 20\alpha)}{\theta^4 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)}, \quad \mu_4 = \frac{24(\theta^4 + 30\alpha)}{\theta^4 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)}. \]

Using the relationship between moments about origin and central moments, central moments of GAD (2.1) are obtained as

\[ \mu_2 = \frac{\theta^4 + 16\theta^2 \alpha + 12\alpha^2}{\theta^4 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)^3}, \]

\[ \mu_3 = \frac{2(\theta^2 + 30\theta^4 \alpha + 360\theta^2 \alpha^2 + 24\alpha^2)}{\theta^2 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)^3}, \]

\[ \mu_4 = \frac{3(3\theta^8 + 128\theta^6 \alpha + 408\theta^4 \alpha^2 + 576\theta^2 \alpha^3 + 240\alpha^4)}{\theta^4 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)^3}. \]

The coefficients of variation (\( \gamma \)), skewness (\( \sqrt{\beta_1} \)), kurtosis (\( \beta_2 \)) and index of dispersion (\( \gamma \)) of GAD are thus obtained as

\[ C.V. = \frac{\sigma}{\mu_1} = \frac{\sqrt{\theta^2 + 6\theta^2 \alpha + 12\alpha^2}}{\theta^2 + 6\alpha}, \]

\[ \sqrt{\beta_1} = \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2^{3/2}} = \frac{\theta^2 + 30\theta^4 \alpha + 360\theta^2 \alpha^2 + 24\alpha^2}{(\theta^2 + 16\theta^2 \alpha + 12\alpha^2)^{3/2}}, \]

\[ \beta_2 = \frac{\mu_4 - \left(3 \theta^8 + 128\theta^6 \alpha + 408\theta^4 \alpha^2 + 576\theta^2 \alpha^3 + 240\alpha^4\right)}{\theta^4 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)^3}, \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu_1} = \frac{\theta^4 + 16\theta^2 \alpha + 12\alpha^2}{\theta^2 (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)^3}. \]

It can be easily verified that these statistical constants of GAD reduces to the corresponding statistical constants of Akash and exponential distributions at \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 0 \) respectively. Graphs of coefficient of variation, coefficient of skewness, coefficient of kurtosis and index of dispersion of GAD for varying values of parameters \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \) have been drawn and presented in figure 3.

\[ \text{Figure 3: Graphs of coefficient of variation, coefficient of skewness, coefficient of kurtosis and index of dispersion of GAD for varying values of parameters } \theta \text{ and } \alpha. \]
Statistical Properties

Stochastic Ordering

Stochastic ordering of positive continuous random variables is an important tool for judging the comparative behaviour. A random variable $X$ is said to be smaller than a random variable $Y$ in the

i. stochastic order $(X \leq_{st} Y)$ if $F_X(x) \geq F_Y(x)$ for all $x$

ii. hazard rate order $(X \leq_{hr} Y)$ if $h_X(x) \geq h_Y(x)$ for all $x$

iii. mean residual life order $(X \leq_{mrl} Y)$ if $m_X(x) \leq m_Y(x)$ for all $x$

iv. likelihood ratio order $(X \leq_{lr} Y)$ if $f_X(x) / f_Y(x)$ decreases in $x$.

The following results due to Shaked and Shanthikumar [8] are well known for establishing stochastic ordering of distributions $X \leq_{st} Y$ and hence $X \leq_{mrl} Y$, $X \leq_{mrl} Y$ and $X \leq_{hr} Y$.

**Proof:** We have

$$
\frac{f_X(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{f_Y(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)} = \left(\frac{\theta_2^2 + 2\alpha_2}{\theta_1^2 + 2\alpha_1}\right) \frac{1 + \alpha x^2}{1 + \alpha x^2} \exp\left(-\theta_1 x - \theta_2 x\right), \quad x > 0
$$

Now

$$
\ln \frac{f_X(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{f_Y(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)} = \ln \left(\frac{\theta_2^2 + 2\alpha_2}{\theta_1^2 + 2\alpha_1}\right) + \ln \left(\frac{1 + \alpha x^2}{1 + \alpha x^2}\right) - (\theta_1 - \theta_2) x.
$$

Thus

$$
d \ln \left[ \frac{f_X(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{f_Y(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)} \right] = \frac{2(\alpha - \alpha_1)}{1 + \alpha x^2} \left(\theta_1 - \theta_2\right).
$$

Case (i) If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2$, then $d \ln \left[ \frac{f_X(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)}{f_Y(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)} \right] < 0$. This means that $X \leq_{st} Y$ and hence $X \leq_{mrl} Y$, $X \leq_{mrl} Y$ and $X \leq_{hr} Y$.

Case (ii) If $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$, then $\frac{d}{dx} \left[ \ln \frac{f_X(x; \theta_1, \alpha_1)}{f_Y(x; \theta_1, \alpha_2)} \right] < 0$. This means that $X \leq_{st} Y$ and hence $X \leq_{mrl} Y$, $X \leq_{mrl} Y$ and $X \leq_{hr} Y$.

This theorem shows the flexibility of GAD over Akash and exponential distributions.

Mean Deviations

The amount of scatter in a population is generally measured to some extent by the totality of deviations usually from the mean and the median. These are known as the mean deviation about the mean and the mean deviation about the median defined by

$$
\delta_1(X) = \int_0^\infty |x - \mu| f(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2(X) = \int_0^\infty |x - M| f(x) dx,
$$

respectively, where $\mu = E(X)$ and $M = \text{Median}(X)$. The measures $\delta_1(X)$ and $\delta_2(X)$ can be calculated using the following simplified relationships

$$
\delta_1(X) = \mu - \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx - \mu \left[1 - F(\mu)\right] + \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx = 2\mu F(\mu) - 2\mu + 2 \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx
$$

and

$$
\delta_2(X) = M - \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx - M \left[1 - F(M)\right] + \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx = -\mu + 2 \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx = \mu - 2 \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx
$$

Using pdf (2.1) and expression for the mean of GAD, we get

$$
\mu = \int_0^\infty x f(x; \theta, \alpha) dx = \mu - \frac{\theta^2(\alpha + 1)}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha} e^{-\theta x}
$$

$$
M = \int_0^\infty x f(x; \theta, \alpha) dx = \mu - \frac{\theta^2(\alpha + M + 1)}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha} e^{-\theta x}
$$
Using expressions from (4.2.1), (4.2.2), (4.2.3), and (4.2.4), the mean deviation about mean, $\delta_1(X)$, and the mean deviation about median, $\delta_2(X)$, of GAD are finally obtained

$$\delta_1(X) = \frac{2\left\{\alpha^2 (\mu^2 + 1) + 2\alpha (2\theta \mu + 3)e^{-\theta \mu}\right\}}{\theta (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)}$$

$$\delta_2(X) = \frac{2\left\{\alpha \theta^3 (\alpha \theta^3 + M) + \theta^2 \left(3\alpha M^2 + 1\right) + 6\alpha (\theta M + 1)\right\}e^{-\theta M}}{\theta (\theta^2 + 2\alpha)} - \mu$$

Distribution of Order Statistics

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample of size $n$ from GAD (2.1). Let $X_{(i)} < X_{(2)} < ... < X_{(n)}$ denote the corresponding order statistics. The pdf and the cdf of the $k$th order statistic, say $Y_k$, are given by

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{n!}{(k-1)! (n-k)!} F^{k-1}(y) \left\{1 - F(y)\right\}^{n-k} f(y)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(k-1)! (n-k)!} \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \left(\begin{array}{c} n-k \\l \end{array}\right) (-1)^l F^{k+l-1}(y) f(y)$$

and

$$F_Y(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-j} \left(\begin{array}{c} n-j \\l \end{array}\right) \left[1 - \frac{\alpha \theta x (\theta x + 2)}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha} e^{-\theta x}\right]^{k+l-1}$$

Renyi Entropy Measure

Entropy of a random variable $X$ is a measure of variation of uncertainty. A popular entropy measure is Renyi entropy [9]. If $X$ is a continuous random variable having pdf $f(x)$, then Renyi entropy is defined as

$$T_\gamma (X) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \log \left\{ \int f^\gamma (x) dx \right\}$$

where $\gamma > 0$ and $\gamma \neq 1$.

Thus, the Renyi entropy of GAD (2.1) can be obtained as

$$T_\gamma (X) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \log \left[ \left(\frac{\theta^\gamma x}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} \gamma j \\l \end{array}\right) \left(\frac{\theta^\gamma x}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha}\right)^j e^{-\theta^\gamma x} dx \right]$$

Bonferroni and Lorenz curves

The Bonferroni and Lorenz curves [10] and Bonferroni and Gini indices have applications not only in economics to study income and poverty, but also in other fields like reliability, demography, insurance and medicine. The Bonferroni and Lorenz curves are defined as
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\[ B(p) = \frac{1}{p \mu} \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{p \mu} \left[ \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx - \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx \right] = \frac{1}{p \mu} \left[ \mu - \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx \right] \]  
(4.5.1)

and \( L(p) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[ \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx - \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx \right] = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[ \mu - \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx \right] , \)  
(4.5.2)

respectively or equivalently

\[ B(p) = \frac{1}{p \mu} \int F^{-1}(x) \, dx \]  
(4.5.3)

and \( L(p) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int F^{-1}(x) \, dx \)  
(4.5.4)

respectively, where \( \mu = E(X) \) and \( q = F^{-1}(p) \).

The Bonferroni and Gini indices are thus defined as

\[ B = 1 - \frac{1}{0} B(p) \, dp \]  
(4.5.5)

and \( G = 1 - \frac{1}{0} L(p) \, dp \)  
(4.5.6)

respectively.

Using pdf of GAD (2.1), we get

\[ \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx = \frac{\theta^q (\alpha q^3 + q) + \theta^q (3\alpha q^2 + 1) + 6\alpha (\theta q + 1)}{\theta^q + 2\alpha} e^{-\theta q} \]  
(4.5.7)

Now using equation (4.5.7) in (4.5.1) and (4.5.2), we get

\[ B(p) = \frac{1}{p \mu} \left[ 1 - \frac{\left( \theta^q (\alpha q^3 + q) + \theta^q (3\alpha q^2 + 1) + 6\alpha (\theta q + 1) \right) e^{-\theta q}}{\theta^q + 6\alpha} \right] \]  
(4.5.8)

and

\[ L(p) = 1 - \frac{\left( \theta^q (\alpha q^3 + q) + \theta^q (3\alpha q^2 + 1) + 6\alpha (\theta q + 1) \right) e^{-\theta q}}{\theta^q + 6\alpha} \]  
(4.5.9)

respectively, where \( \mu = E(X) \) and \( q = F^{-1}(p) \).

Now using equations (4.5.8) and (4.5.9) in (4.5.5) and (4.5.6), the Bonferroni and Gini indices of GAD are thus obtained as

\[ B = 1 - \frac{\left( \theta^q (\alpha q^3 + q) + \theta^q (3\alpha q^2 + 1) + 6\alpha (\theta q + 1) \right) e^{-\theta q}}{\theta^q + 6\alpha} \]  
(4.5.10)

and

\[ G = \frac{2\left( \theta^q (\alpha q^3 + q) + \theta^q (3\alpha q^2 + 1) + 6\alpha (\theta q + 1) \right) e^{-\theta q}}{\theta^q + 6\alpha} - 1 \]  
(4.5.11)

Reliability Measures

Hazard Rate Function and Mean Residual Life Function

For a continuous distribution with pdf \( f(x) \) and cdf \( F(x) \), the hazard rate function (also known as the failure rate function) \( h(x) \) and the mean residual life function \( m(x) \) are respectively defined as

\[ h(x) = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \frac{P(X \leq x + \Delta x | X > x)}{\Delta x} = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)} \]  
(5.1.1)

and

\[ m(x) = E[X - x | X > x] = \frac{1}{1 - F(x)} \int_x^{\infty} [1 - F(t)] \, dt \]  
(5.1.2)

The \( h(x) \) and \( m(x) \) of GAD (2.1) are thus obtained as

\[ h(x) = \frac{\theta^q (1 + \alpha x^2)}{\alpha \theta x (\theta x + 2) + (\theta^q + 2\alpha)} \]  
(5.1.3)

and

\[ m(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha \theta x (\theta x + 2) + (\theta^q + 2\alpha)} \left[ \frac{\theta^q}{\theta^q + 2\alpha} \right] e^{\theta^q} dt \]  
(5.1.4)

It can be easily verified that \( h(0) = \frac{\theta^q (1)}{(\theta^q + 2\alpha)} = f(0) \) and for varying values of parameters \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \) are shown in figures.
Stress-Strength Reliability

The stress-strength reliability describes the life of a component which has random strength $X$ that is subjected to a random stress $X$. When the stress applied to it exceeds the strength, the component fails instantly and the component will function satisfactorily till $X > Y$. Therefore, $R = P(Y < X)$ is a measure of the component reliability and known as stress-strength parameter in statistical literature. It has wide applications in almost all areas of knowledge especially in medical science and engineering.

Let $X$ and $X$ be independent strength and stress random variables having GAD (2.1) with parameter $(\theta_1, \alpha_1)$ and $(\theta_2, \alpha_2)$ respectively. Then the stress-strength reliability $R$ can be obtained as

$$R = P(Y < X) = \int_0^{\infty} P(Y < X | X = x)f_X(x)dx$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} f_2(x; \theta_2, \alpha_2) F_1(x; \theta_1, \alpha_1)dx$$
and
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\[ \frac{\mu_i'}{\mu_i} = k \text{ (Say)} = \frac{2(\theta^2 + 12\alpha)(\theta^2 + 2\alpha)}{\theta^2 + 6\alpha} \]

Assuming \( \alpha = b\theta^2 \) in (6.1.1), we get a quadratic equation in \( b \) as

\[ 12 \left( 4 - 3k \right) b^2 + 4 \left( 7 - 3k \right) b + 2 - k = 0 \]

(6.1.2)

It should be noted that for real values of \( b, k \leq 2.083 \).

Replacing \( \mu_i \) and \( \mu_i' \) by their respective sample moments in (6.1.1), an estimate of \( k \) can be obtained and substituting the value of \( k \) in equation (6.1.2), value of \( b \) can be obtained. Again taking \( \alpha = b\theta^2 \) in the expression for the mean of GAD, we get the method of moment estimate (MOME) \( \hat{\theta} \) of \( \theta \) as

\[ \hat{\theta} = \frac{1 + 6b}{1 + 2b} \]

and thus the MOME \( \hat{\alpha} = b\theta^2 = \frac{b\left(1 + 6b\right)^2}{\left(1 + 2b\right)^2} \).

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Let \( \left( x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n \right) \) be a random sample from GAD (2.1). The likelihood function, \( L \) of (2.1) is given by

\[ L = \left( \frac{\theta^3}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha} \right)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \alpha x_i^2 \right) e^{-\alpha x_i^2} \]

and so its natural log likelihood function is thus obtained as

\[ \ln L = n \ln \left( \frac{\theta^3}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left( 1 + \alpha x_i^2 \right) - n \theta x_i^2 \]

The maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) \( \hat{\theta} \) and \( \hat{\alpha} \) of parameters \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \) are then the solutions of the following nonlinear equations

\[ \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = -3n \frac{2n\left(\theta^2 - 2\alpha\right)}{\theta^2 + 2\alpha} - n \tau = 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \alpha} = -2n + \frac{\sum_i x_i^4}{\left(\theta^2 + 2\alpha\right)^2} = 0 \]

where \( \tau \) is the sample mean.

These two natural log likelihood equations do not seem to be solved directly because these equations cannot be expressed in closed forms. However, the Fisher’s scoring method can be applied to solve these equations. We have

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{6n\left(\theta^2 - 2\alpha\right)}{\left(\theta^2 + 2\alpha\right)^2} \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \alpha^2} = -\frac{4n}{\left(\theta^2 + 2\alpha\right)^2} - \frac{\sum_i x_i^4}{\left(\theta^2 + 2\alpha\right)^2} \]

The following equations can be solved for the MLEs \( \left( \hat{\theta}, \hat{\alpha} \right) \) of (2.1)

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \alpha^2} \right]_{\theta = \hat{\theta}, \alpha = \hat{\alpha}} = \left[ \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} \quad \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \alpha} \right]_{\theta = \hat{\theta}, \alpha = \hat{\alpha}} \]

where \( \theta_0 \) and \( \alpha_0 \) are the initial values of \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \), respectively. These equations are solved iteratively till sufficiently close values of \( \hat{\theta} \) and \( \hat{\alpha} \) are obtained. The initial values of the parameters \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \) are taken from MOME estimates.

An Illustrative Example

The following data set represents the failure times (in minutes) for a sample of 15 electronic components in an accelerated life test, Lawless [11]
For this data set, GAD has been fitted along with one parameter exponential, Lindley and Akash distributions and two parameters generalized exponential distribution (GED) introduced by Gupta and Kundu [12], Weibull distribution introduced by Weibull [13], Lognormal distribution introduced by Pearce [14], quasi Lindley distribution (QLD) introduced by Shanker and Mishra [15], quasi Shanker distribution (QSD) introduced by Shanker and Shukla [16]. The pdf and the cdf of GED, Weibull distribution, Lognormal distribution, QLD, QSD, Lindley distribution and exponential distribution are presented in table 1. The ML estimates, values of $-2 \ln L$, K-S statistics and p-values of the fitted distributions are presented in table 2. Recall that the best distribution corresponds to the lower values of $-2 \ln L$, K-S and higher p-value.

It can be easily seen from above table that the GAD gives better fit than all the considered distributions and hence it can be considered as an important two-parameter lifetime distribution for modeling lifetime dataset.

Table 1: The pdf and the cdf of fitted distributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>pdf</th>
<th>cdf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GED</td>
<td>$f(x; \theta, \alpha) = \theta \alpha (1-e^{-\theta x})^{\alpha-1} e^{-\theta x}$</td>
<td>$F(x; \theta, \alpha) = (1-e^{-\theta x})^\alpha$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weibull</td>
<td>$f(x; \theta, \alpha) = \theta \alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\theta x}$</td>
<td>$F(x; \theta, \alpha) = 1-e^{-\theta x}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>$f(x; \theta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha x}} e^{\left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2\alpha}\right)}$</td>
<td>$F(x; \theta, \alpha) = \Phi\left(\frac{\log x - \theta}{\alpha}\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>$f(x; \theta, \alpha) = \frac{\theta}{\alpha+1} (\alpha+\theta x) e^{-\theta x}$</td>
<td>$F(x; \theta, \alpha) = 1-\left[\frac{\theta x}{\alpha+1}\right] e^{-\theta x}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSD</td>
<td>$f(x; \theta, \alpha) = \left[\frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2 + \theta + 2\alpha}\right] x e^{-\theta x}$</td>
<td>$F(x; \theta, \alpha) = 1-\left[\frac{\alpha \theta^2 x^2 + \theta(x + 2\alpha)}{\theta^3 + \theta + 2\alpha}\right] e^{-\theta x}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindley</td>
<td>$f(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta^2}{\theta + 1} e^{-\theta x}$</td>
<td>$F(x; \theta) = 1-\left[\frac{\theta x}{\theta + 1}\right] e^{-\theta x}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>$f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$</td>
<td>$F(x; \theta) = 1-\left[\frac{\theta x}{\theta + 1}\right] e^{-\theta x}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: MLE’s, $-2 \ln L$ and K-S Statistics of the fitted distributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>ML Estimates</th>
<th>$-2 \ln L$</th>
<th>K-S</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.084$, $\hat{\alpha} = 0.007$</td>
<td>128.02</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GED</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.045$, $\hat{\alpha} = 1.443$</td>
<td>128.47</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weibull</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.034$, $\hat{\alpha} = 1.306$</td>
<td>128.04</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 2.931$, $\hat{\alpha} = 1.061$</td>
<td>131.23</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.062$, $\hat{\alpha} = 0.598$</td>
<td>128.21</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSD</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.074$, $\hat{\alpha} = 0.001$</td>
<td>129.37</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akash</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.108$</td>
<td>133.68</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindley</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.070$</td>
<td>128.81</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>$\hat{\theta} = 0.036$</td>
<td>129.47</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concluding Remarks

A two-parameter generalized Akash distribution (GAD), of which one parameter Akash distribution of Shanker [1] and exponential distribution are particular cases, has been suggested and investigated. Its mathematical properties including moments, coefficients of variation, skewness, kurtosis, index of dispersion; hazard rate function, mean residual life function, stochastic ordering, mean deviations, order statistics, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, Renyi entropy measure and stress-strength reliability have been discussed. For estimating its parameters, the method of moments and the method of maximum likelihood estimation have been discussed. Finally, a numerical example of real lifetime dataset has been presented to test the goodness of fit of GAD over exponential, Lindley, Akash, Lognormal, Weibull, QSD, QLD and GED, and the fit by GAD is much better over these distributions. Hence, GAD can be considered an important two-parameter lifetime distribution for modeling lifetime data from engineering and biomedical sciences.

References