On Two - Parameter Lindley Distribution and its Applications to Model Lifetime Data

Abstract
In this paper some of the important mathematical properties including moment generating function, mean deviations, order statistics, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, Renyi entropy and stress strength reliability of two-parameter Lindley distribution (TPLD) of Shanker & Mishra [1] have been discussed. Its goodness of fit over exponential and Lindley distributions have been illustrated with some real lifetime data-sets and found that TPLD is preferable over exponential and Lindley distributions for modeling lifetime data-sets.
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Introduction
The probability density function (p.d.f.) and the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) of Lindley [2] distribution, introduced in the context of Bayesian analysis as a counter example of fiducial statistics, are given by

\[ f(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2 + 1} (1 + x)e^{-\theta x}; \quad x > 0, \theta > 0 \] (1.1)

\[ F(x; \theta) = 1 - \left[ 1 + \frac{\theta x}{\theta + 1} \right] e^{-\theta x}; \quad x > 0, \theta > 0 \] (1.2)

The detailed study about its mathematical properties, estimation of parameter and application showing the superiority of Lindley distribution over exponential distribution for the waiting times before service of the bank customers has been done by Ghitany et al. [3]. The Lindley distribution has been generalized extended and modified by different researchers including [1,4-20] are some among others.

The probability density function (p.d.f.) and cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) of two-parameter Lindley distribution (TPLD) of Shanker & Mishra [1] are given by

\[ f(x; \alpha, \theta) = \frac{\alpha^2 \theta}{\alpha \theta + 1} (\alpha + x)e^{-\theta x}; \quad x > 0, \theta > 0, \alpha > 0 \] (1.3)

\[ F(x; \alpha, \theta) = 1 - \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha \theta + \alpha x}{\alpha \theta + 1} \right] e^{-\theta x}; \quad x > 0, \theta > 0, \alpha > 0 \] (1.4)

At \( \alpha = 1 \), both (1.3) and (1.4) reduce to the corresponding expressions (1.1) and (1.2) of Lindley distribution. The first two moments about origin and the variance of TPLD of Shanker & Mishra [1] are given by

\[ \mu_1 = \frac{\alpha \theta + 2}{\theta (\alpha \theta + 1)} \] (1.5)

\[ \mu_2 = \frac{2}{\theta^2 (\alpha \theta + 1)} \] (1.6)

\[ \mu_2 = \frac{\alpha^2 \theta^2 + 4\alpha \theta + 2}{\theta^2 (\alpha \theta + 1)} \] (1.7)

At \( \alpha = 1 \), these moments reduce to the corresponding moments of Lindley distribution. Shanker & Mishra [1] have derived and discussed some of its mathematical properties such as shape, moments, coefficient of variation, coefficient of skewness and kurtosis, hazard rate function, mean residual life function and stochastic orderings. They have also discussed the estimation of its parameters using maximum likelihood estimation and method of moments and its goodness of fit over Lindley distribution. It has been observed that many important mathematical properties of this distribution have not been studied.

In the present paper some of the important mathematical properties including moment generating function, mean deviations, order statistics, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, Renyi entropy and stress strength reliability of TPLD of Shanker & Mishra [1] have been derived and discussed. Its goodness of fit
over exponential and Lindley distributions have been illustrated with some real lifetime data-sets and found that TPLD gives better fit than exponential and Lindley distributions.

Moment Generating Function

The moment generating function, \( M_X(t) \) of TPLD (1.3) can be obtained as

\[
M_X(t) = \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha \theta + 1} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\theta - t} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \right]^{\alpha \theta + 1}
\]

It can be easily seen that for \( \mu' \) obtained as the coefficient of \( t^r \) in \( M_X(t) \), is given as

\[
\mu'_r = \frac{r!}{\theta^r} \left( \frac{\alpha \theta + r + 1}{\alpha \theta + 1} \right)
\]

For \( \alpha = 1 \), \( \mu' \) reduces to the corresponding \( \mu' \) of Lindley distribution.

Mean Deviations

The amount of scatter in a population is measured to some extent by the totality of deviations usually from mean and median. These are known as the mean deviation about the mean and the mean deviation about the median defined by \( \delta_1(X) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int (x - \mu) f(x) \, dx \) and \( \delta_2(X) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int (x - \theta) f(x) \, dx \), respectively, where \( \mu = E(X) \) and \( \theta = \text{Median}(X) \).

The measures \( \delta_1(X) \) and \( \delta_2(X) \) can be calculated using the relationships

\[
\delta_1(X) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int (x - \mu) f(x) \, dx + \frac{1}{\mu} \int (x - \mu) f(x) \, dx
\]

and

\[
= 2 \mu F(\mu) - 2 \mu + 2 \frac{x}{\mu} f(x) \, dx \quad (3.1)
\]

Using p.d.f. (1.3), and expression for mean of two-parameter Lindley distribution, we have

\[
\int \frac{x}{\theta} f(x) \, dx = \mu - \frac{\left[ \theta^2 (\mu^2 + \alpha \mu + 2 \theta \mu) + (\alpha \theta + 2) \right] e^{-\theta \mu}}{\theta (\alpha \theta + 1)} \quad (3.3)
\]

Using expressions from (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) and little algebraic simplification, the mean deviation about mean, \( \delta_1(X) \) and the mean deviation about median, \( \delta_2(X) \) of TPLD (1.3) are obtained as

\[
\delta_1(X) = \frac{2(\theta \mu + (\theta + 1) e^{-\theta \mu}}{\theta (\alpha \theta + 1)} \quad (3.5)
\]

and

\[
\delta_2(X) = \frac{2\left[ \theta^2 (\mu^2 + \alpha \mu + 2 \theta M + (\alpha \theta + 2) \right] e^{-\theta M}}{\theta (\alpha \theta + 1)} - \mu \quad (3.6)
\]

It can be easily seen that expressions (3.5) and (3.6) of TPLD (1.3) reduce to the corresponding expressions of Lindley distribution at \( \alpha = 1 \).

Order Statistics

Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) be a random sample of size \( n \) from two-parameter Lindley distribution (1.3). Let \( x_{(i)} \) denote the corresponding order statistics. The p.d.f. and the c.d.f. of the \( k \) th order statistic, say \( Y = X_{(k)} \), are given by
The Bonferroni and Gini indices are thus defined as
\[
B = 1 - \int_0^1 B(p) \, dp \quad (5.5)
\]
and
\[
G = 1 - \int_0^1 L(p) \, dp \quad (5.6)
\]
respectively.

Using p.d.f. (1.3), we get
\[
\int x f(x) \, dx = \frac{\theta^2 \left( q^2 + \alpha q \right) + 2\theta q + (\alpha \theta + 2) \theta}{\theta(\alpha \theta + 1)} e^{-\theta q} \quad (5.7)
\]
Now using equation (5.7) in (5.1) and (5.2), we get
\[
B(p) = \frac{1}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( q^2 + \alpha q \right) + 2\theta q + (\alpha \theta + 2) \right] e^{-\theta q} \quad (5.8)
\]
and
\[
L(p) = 1 - \left( q^2 + \alpha q \right) + 2\theta q + (\alpha \theta + 2) \right] e^{-\theta q} \quad (5.9)
\]
Now using equations (5.8) and (5.9) in (5.5) and (5.6), the Bonferroni and Gini indices of TPLD (1.3) are obtained as
\[
B = 1 - \frac{\theta^2 \left( q^2 + \alpha q \right) + 2\theta q + (\alpha \theta + 2)}{\alpha \theta + 2} e^{-\theta q} \quad (5.10)
\]
\[
G = 1 - \frac{2\theta^2 \left( q^2 + \alpha q \right) + 2\theta q + (\alpha \theta + 2)}{\alpha \theta + 2} e^{-\theta q} \quad (5.11)
\]
The Bonferroni and Gini indices of Lindley distribution are particular cases of the Bonferroni and Gini indices (5.10) and (5.11) of TPLD (1.3) for \( \alpha = 1 \).

\textbf{Renyi Entropy}

An entropy of a random variable \( X \) is a measure of variation of uncertainty. A popular entropy measure is Renyi entropy \([22]\). If \( X \) is a continuous random variable having probability density function \( f(x) \), then Renyi entropy is defined as
\[
T_k(\gamma) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \log \left[ \int f^\gamma(x) \, dx \right]
\]
where \( \gamma > 0 \) and \( \gamma \neq 1 \).

Thus, the Renyi entropy for TPLD (1.3) can be obtained as
\[
T_k(\gamma) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \log \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^\gamma q^{\gamma \alpha} e^{-\theta q}(\alpha + x)^{\gamma \alpha - 1}}{(\alpha x)^{\gamma \alpha}} \, dx \right]
\]
The expression of stress-strength reliability of Lindley distribution is a particular case of the expression of stress-strength reliability of TPLD (1.3) at $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$.

**Estimation of Parameters**

**a. Method of moment estimate of parameters**

The TPLD (1.3) has two parameters to be estimated and so the first two moments about origin are required to estimate parameters. Using the first two moments about origin, we have

$$\mu'_1 = \frac{2(\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 1)}{(\alpha + 2)^2}$$

Taking $b = \alpha \theta$, we get

$$\mu'_2 = \frac{2(b + 3)(b + 1)}{(b + 2)^2} \frac{2b^2 + 8b + 6}{b^2 + 4b + 4}$$

This gives a quadratic equation in $b$ as

$$(2 - k)b^2 + 4(2 - k)b + 2(3 - 2k) = 0 \quad (8.1.2)$$

Replacing the first and second moments about origin $\mu'_1$ and $\mu'_2$ by their respective sample moments, an estimate of $k$ can be obtained and substituting the value of $k$ in equation (8.1.2), an estimate of $b$ can be obtained. Substituting this estimate of $b$ in the expression for the mean of TPLD (1.3), moment estimate $\tilde{\theta}$ of $\theta$ can be obtained as

$$\tilde{\theta} = \left(\frac{b + 2}{b + 1}\right) \frac{1}{X}$$

Finally, moment estimate $\tilde{\alpha}$ of $\alpha$ can be obtained as

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{b}{\tilde{\theta}}$$

**b. Maximum likelihood estimate of parameters**

Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_k)$ be a random sample from TPLD (1.3). Let $f_s$ be the observed frequency in the sample corresponding to $X = x = (x = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k)$ such that $\sum_{x=1}^k f_s = n$, where $k$ is the largest observed value having non-zero frequency. The likelihood function, $L$ of TPLD (1.3) is given by

$$L = \left(\frac{\theta^2}{\alpha \theta + 1}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha + x)^{f_s} e^{-(\alpha \theta + 1)x}$$

(8.2.1)
The log likelihood function is thus obtained as
\[ \log L = n \log \theta^2 - n \log \left( \alpha \theta + 1 \right) + \frac{k}{\alpha} + \sum f_i \log \left( \alpha + x \right) - n \theta \bar{x} \] (8.2.2)
where \( \bar{x} \) is the sample mean.

The two log likelihood equations are obtained as
\[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = 2n \frac{n \alpha}{\theta (\alpha + 1)} - n \bar{x} = 0 \] (8.2.3)
\[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n \theta}{\alpha (\alpha + 1)} + \sum \frac{f_i}{\alpha + x} = 0 \] (8.2.4)

It can be easily seen that equation (8.2.3) gives \( \hat{\theta} \), mean of TPLD. The equations (8.2.3) and (8.2.4) do not seem to be solved directly. However, Fisher’s scoring method can be applied to solve these equations iteratively. We have
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} = -2n \left( \frac{n \alpha^2}{(\alpha + 1)^2} \right) \] (8.2.5)
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta \partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{(\alpha + 1)^2} \] (8.2.6)
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \alpha^2} = \frac{n \theta^2}{(\alpha + 1)^2} - \sum \frac{f_i}{(\alpha + x)^2} \] (8.2.7)

The maximum likelihood estimates \( \hat{\theta} \) and \( \hat{\alpha} \) of parameters \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \) are the solution of the following equations
\[ \begin{align*}
\hat{\theta} - \theta_0 \\
\hat{\alpha} - \alpha_0
\end{align*} \]
where \( \theta_0 \) and \( \alpha_0 \) are initial values of \( \theta \) and \( \alpha \) as given by the method of moments. These equations are solved iteratively till sufficiently close estimates of \( \hat{\theta} \) and \( \hat{\alpha} \) are obtained.

Applications of Two-Parameter Lindley Distribution

The two-parameter Lindley distribution (TPLD) has been fitted to a number of lifetime data-sets. In this section, we present the fitting of two-parameter Lindley distribution to five real lifetime data-sets and compare its goodness of fit with the one parameter exponential and Lindley distributions data sets (1-5).

In order to compare distributions, \( -2 \ln L \), AIC (Akaike Information Criterion), AICC (Akaike Information Criterion Corrected), BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion), K-S Statistics (Kolmogorov-Smirnov Statistics) for five real data-sets have been computed (Table 1). The formulae for computing AIC, AICC, BIC, and K-S statistics are as follows:

\[ AIC = -2 \ln L + 2k \]
\[ AICC = AIC + \frac{2k(k+1)}{n-k-1} \]
\[ BIC = -2 \ln L + k \ln n \]
\[ D = \sup_x \left| F_n(x) - F(x) \right| \]
where \( k \) = the number of parameters, \( n \) = the sample size and \( F_n(x) \) is the empirical distribution function.

The best distribution corresponds to lower \( -2 \ln L \), AIC, AICC, BIC, and K-S statistics.

Data set 1: This data set represents the lifetime’s data relating to relief times (in minutes) of 20 patients receiving an analgesic and reported by Gross et al. [23].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1</th>
<th>1.4</th>
<th>1.3</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.9</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.6</th>
<th>2.2</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>2.7</th>
<th>4.1</th>
<th>1.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Set 2: This data set is the strength data of glass of the aircraft window reported by Fuller et al. [24].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18.83</th>
<th>20.8</th>
<th>21.657</th>
<th>23.03</th>
<th>23.23</th>
<th>24.05</th>
<th>24.321</th>
<th>25.5</th>
<th>25.52</th>
<th>25.8</th>
<th>26.69</th>
<th>26.77</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.78</td>
<td>27.05</td>
<td>27.67</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>33.73</td>
<td>33.89</td>
<td>34.76</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>35.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.98</td>
<td>37.08</td>
<td>37.09</td>
<td>39.58</td>
<td>44.045</td>
<td>45.29</td>
<td>45.381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data set 3: This data set represents the waiting times (in minutes) before service of 100 Bank customers and examined and analyzed by Ghitany et al. [3] for fitting the Lindley [2] distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>1.3</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.9</th>
<th>1.9</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.6</th>
<th>2.7</th>
<th>2.9</th>
<th>3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Set 4: The data set represents the strength of 1.5cm glass fibers measured at the National Physical Laboratory, England. Unfortunately, the units of measurements are not given in the paper, and they are taken from Smith & Naylor [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.55</th>
<th>0.93</th>
<th>1.25</th>
<th>1.36</th>
<th>1.49</th>
<th>1.52</th>
<th>1.58</th>
<th>1.61</th>
<th>1.64</th>
<th>1.68</th>
<th>1.73</th>
<th>1.81</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Set 5: The data set is from Lawless [26]. The data given arose in tests on endurance of deep groove ball bearings. The data are the number of million revolutions before failure for each of the 23 ball bearings in the life tests and they are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17.88</th>
<th>28.92</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>41.52</th>
<th>42.12</th>
<th>45.6</th>
<th>48.8</th>
<th>51.84</th>
<th>51.96</th>
<th>54.12</th>
<th>55.56</th>
<th>67.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68.44</td>
<td>68.64</td>
<td>68.88</td>
<td>84.12</td>
<td>93.12</td>
<td>98.64</td>
<td>105.12</td>
<td>105.84</td>
<td>127.92</td>
<td>128.04</td>
<td>173.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

In the present paper some of the important mathematical properties including moment generating function, mean deviations, order statistics, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, entropy and stress strength reliability of two-parameter Lindley distribution (TPLD) of Shanker & Mishra [1] have been derived and discussed. The distribution has been fitted to some real lifetime data-sets to test its goodness of fit over exponential and Lindley distributions. It is obvious from the fitting of TPLD that it gives better fitting than exponential and Lindley distributions and hence TPLD is preferable over exponential and Lindley distributions for modeling lifetime data-sets from different fields of knowledge.
Table 1: MLE’s, \(-2\ln L\), AIC, AICC, BIC, K-S Statistics of the fitted distributions of data sets 1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Estimate of Parameters</th>
<th>(-2\ln L)</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>AICC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>K-S Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\hat{\theta})</td>
<td>(\hat{\alpha})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data 1</strong></td>
<td>Lindley</td>
<td>0.816118</td>
<td>60.50</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>62.72</td>
<td>63.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential TPLD</td>
<td>0.526316</td>
<td>40.71</td>
<td>44.71</td>
<td>45.41</td>
<td>46.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data 2</strong></td>
<td>Lindley</td>
<td>0.062988</td>
<td>253.99</td>
<td>255.99</td>
<td>256.13</td>
<td>257.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential TPLD</td>
<td>0.032455</td>
<td>231.82</td>
<td>235.82</td>
<td>236.25</td>
<td>236.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data 3</strong></td>
<td>Lindley</td>
<td>0.186571</td>
<td>638.07</td>
<td>640.07</td>
<td>640.12</td>
<td>642.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential TPLD</td>
<td>0.196210</td>
<td>635.75</td>
<td>639.75</td>
<td>639.87</td>
<td>639.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data 4</strong></td>
<td>Lindley</td>
<td>0.996116</td>
<td>162.56</td>
<td>164.56</td>
<td>164.62</td>
<td>164.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential TPLD</td>
<td>0.663647</td>
<td>91.56</td>
<td>95.56</td>
<td>95.63</td>
<td>97.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data 5</strong></td>
<td>Lindley</td>
<td>0.027321</td>
<td>231.47</td>
<td>233.47</td>
<td>233.66</td>
<td>234.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential TPLD</td>
<td>0.013845</td>
<td>223.52</td>
<td>227.52</td>
<td>228.12</td>
<td>229.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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