

Source of Variation	DF	Mean Squares									
		Height (m)	Single Cane Weight (kg)	Diameter (cm)	Stock Population (Number/ha)	Cane yield (ton/ha)	Sucrose Percent Cane (%)	Sugar Yield (ton/ha)	Pol (%)	Brix	Purity (%)
Genotype	2	0.369**	0.022 ^{ns}	0.161**	745241**	16395.31**	4.818**	8914**	8.812**	10.2264***	8.30 ^{ns}
Seed Source	1	0.250 ^{ns}	0.467***	0.017 ^{ns}	270344.8 ^{ns}	126250**	0.001 ^{ns}	15074***	0.004 ^{ns}	0.0004 ^{ns}	0.04 ^{ns}
Genotype* Seed Source	2	0.352**	0.064 ^{ns}	0.104**	781172**	1075071**	0.996 ^{ns}	5486**	0.938 ^{ns}	0.2794 ^{ns}	15.82**
Soil type	1	0.06 ^{ns}	0.026 ^{ns}	0.025 ^{ns}	108301**	2828.83**	1.818 ^{ns}	8440**	0.608 ^{ns}	0.6834 ^{ns}	82.45***
Genotype* Soil type	2	0.010 ^{ns}	0.002 ^{ns}	0.015 ^{ns}	655172 ^{ns}	1310.34 ^{ns}	2.132 ^{ns}	361 ^{ns}	3.755 ^{ns}	3.6100**	2.26**
Seed Source* Soil type	1	0.020 ^{ns}	0.006 ^{ns}	0.011 ^{ns}	23793 ^{ns}	142.76 ^{ns}	5.437**	2850 ^{ns}	7.896**	4.5227**	16.78 ^{ns}
Genotype* Seed Source * Soil type	2	0.016 ^{ns}	0.094 ^{ns}	0.099**	174000 ^{ns}	16356**	4.884**	2044 ^{ns}	7.384**	5.8866**	11.35 ^{ns}
CV (%)		11.8	13.1	6.22	14.4	18.8	9.92	18.8	8.31	5.89	2.5

“**” indicates $P > 0.001$ but < 0.05 ; “***” indicates $P < 0.001$ while “ns” indicates $p > 0.05$ at 5% probability level.

Table 1: ANOVA for comparison of micropropagated and conventional seed sources of three sugarcane genotypes.

Seed Source	Tukey's Standardized Range(HSD) Grouping								
	Stalk Height(m)	Single Cane Weight (kg)	Diameter(cm)	Stock Population (Number/ha)	Cane Yield (ton/ha)	Sucrose Percent Cane (%)	Sugar Yield (ton/ha)	Pol (%)	Brix
Conventional	2.47 ^a	1.46 ^a	2.57 ^a	150193.1 ^a	1219.281 ^a	10.96 ^a	238.69 ^a	15.80 ^a	17.70 ^a
Tissue culture	2.30 ^a	1.23 ^b	2.52 ^a	146972.4 ^a	1180.776 ^b	10.95 ^a	197.77 ^b	15.78 ^a	17.69 ^a

Remark: Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Table 2: Response of sugarcane genotypes to the two seed sources.

Genotypes	Stalk Height (m)	Single Cane Weight (kg)	Diameter (cm)	Stock Population (Number/ha)	Cane Yield (ton/ha)	Sucrose Percent Cane (%)	Sugar Yield (ton/ha)	Pol (%)	Brix	Purity (%)
NCO-334	2.54 ^a	1.30 ^a	2.42 ^c	152413.79 ^b	198.137.93 ^b	10.22 ^c	204.52 ^b	14.81 ^c	16.68 ^c	88.36 ^b
B52-298	2.20 ^c	1.34 ^a	2.64 ^a	132413.79 ^c	177.434.49 ^c	11.68 ^a	200.55 ^c	16.42 ^a	18.48 ^a	88.62 ^b
N14	2.43 ^b	1.39 ^a	2.57 ^b	160920.68 ^a	223.679.75 ^a	11.27 ^b	249.61 ^a	16.13 ^b	17.91 ^b	89.91 ^a

Remark: Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Table 3: Comparison of sugarcane genotypes NCO-334, B52-298 and N14.

Genotype	Seed Source	Soil Type	Mean $\pm$ SE				
			Stalk Diameter(cm)	Cane Yield (ton/ha)	Sucrose Percent Cane (%)	Pol (%)	Brix
B52-298	Tissue culture	Luvisol	2.72 $\pm$ 0.09 ^b	105.876 $\pm$ 2.83 ^k	13.23 $\pm$ 0.63 ^a	18.62 $\pm$ 0.76 ^a	20.23 $\pm$ 0.60 ^a
		Vertisol	2.52 $\pm$ 0.09 ^d	176.552 $\pm$ 2.83 ⁱ	9.75 $\pm$ 0.63 ^j	14.52 $\pm$ 0.76 ^g	17.01 $\pm$ 0.60 ^h
	Conventional	Luvisol	2.71 $\pm$ 0.09 ^b	221.279 $\pm$ 2.83 ^d	10.87 $\pm$ 0.63 ^f	15.72 $\pm$ 0.76 ^d	17.73 $\pm$ 0.60 ^f
		Vertisol	2.60 $\pm$ 0.09 ^c	220.114 $\pm$ 2.83 ^{d^e}	11.62 $\pm$ 0.63 ^c	16.81 $\pm$ 0.76 ^{ab}	18.97 $\pm$ 0.60 ^b
N14	Tissue culture	Luvisol	2.53 $\pm$ 0.09 ^d	233.028 $\pm$ 2.83 ^c	10.86 $\pm$ 0.63 ^f	15.50 $\pm$ 0.76 ^e	17.07 $\pm$ 0.60 ^h
		Vertisol	2.36 $\pm$ 0.09 ^f	217.355 $\pm$ 2.83 ^e	11.01 $\pm$ 0.63 ^e	16.09 $\pm$ 0.76 ^c	18.42 $\pm$ 0.60 ^c
	Conventional	Luvisol	2.61 $\pm$ 0.09 ^c	184.893 $\pm$ 2.83 ^h	11.95 $\pm$ 0.63 ^b	16.69 $\pm$ 0.76 ^b	17.92 $\pm$ 0.60 ^e
		Vertisol	2.79 $\pm$ 0.09 ^a	255.286 $\pm$ 2.83 ^a	11.26 $\pm$ 0.63 ^d	16.24 $\pm$ 0.76 ^c	18.24 $\pm$ 0.60 ^d
NCO-334	Tissue culture	Luvisol	2.47 $\pm$ 0.09 ^e	170.231 $\pm$ 2.83 ^j	10.59 $\pm$ 0.63 ^g	14.99 $\pm$ 0.76 ^f	16.42 $\pm$ 0.60 ^j
		Vertisol	2.45 $\pm$ 0.09 ^e	196.666 $\pm$ 2.83 ^f	10.25 $\pm$ 0.63 ⁱ	14.92 $\pm$ 0.76 ^f	16.99 $\pm$ 0.60 ⁱ
	Conventional	Luvisol	2.50 $\pm$ 0.09 ^d	189.117 $\pm$ 2.83 ^g	9.57 $\pm$ 0.63 ^k	13.97 $\pm$ 0.76 ^h	15.96 $\pm$ 0.60 ^k
		Vertisol	2.25 $\pm$ 0.09 ^g	242.069 $\pm$ 2.83 ^b	10.49 $\pm$ 0.63 ^h	15.35 $\pm$ 0.76 ^e	17.35 $\pm$ 0.60 ^g

Remark: Means with the same letter are not significantly different

Table 4: Separation of significant means for the interaction effects of genotype, seed source and soil type.

Genotype	Seed Source	Mean $\pm$ SE			
		Stalk Height (cm)	Stalk Population (Number/ha)	Sugar Yield (ton/ha)	Purity (%)
B52-298	Tissue culture	1.93 $\pm$ 0.12 ^e	119138 $\pm$ 2.53 ^f	155.83 $\pm$ 0.44 ^e	88.68 $\pm$ 0.90 ^c
	Conventional	2.47 $\pm$ 0.12 ^c	145690 $\pm$ 2.53 ^c	245.26 $\pm$ 0.44 ^b	88.56 $\pm$ 0.90 ^c
N14	Tissue culture	2.50 $\pm$ 0.12 ^{ab}	176201 $\pm$ 2.53 ^a	245.28 $\pm$ 0.44 ^b	88.78 $\pm$ 0.90 ^c
	Conventional	2.36 $\pm$ 0.12 ^d	145621 $\pm$ 2.53 ^d	253.95 $\pm$ 0.44 ^a	91.04 $\pm$ 0.90 ^a
NCO-334	Tissue culture	2.49 $\pm$ 0.12 ^b	145586 $\pm$ 2.53 ^e	192.19 $\pm$ 0.44 ^d	89.53 $\pm$ 0.90 ^b
	Conventional	2.59 $\pm$ 0.12 ^a	159241 $\pm$ 0.53 ^b	216.86 $\pm$ 0.44 ^c	87.19 $\pm$ 0.90 ^d

Remark: Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Table 5: Separation of means for the interaction effects of genotype by seed source.