

# Diversity of over Storey Plant Communities of Tropical Forest Covers of Balasore District, Odisha, India

## Abstract

The structure and function of a forest ecosystem is maintained by upper storey vegetation layer which principally consist of tree species. The tropical forest covers of Balasore, one of the coastal district of Odisha was analysed for structure, composition and diversity of upper storey vegetation layer ( $\geq 30$  cm circumference at breast height). A total of 94 tree species representing 77 genera and 38 families were recorded in this area. The average number of species per family was nearly equal to 2.5 and per genus was  $>1.2$ . The species diversity index and concentration dominance of the forest were 3.68 and 0.055, respectively. The Importance Value Index (IVI) of species ranged from 0.55 to 40.47. The estimated diversity indices indicated heterogeneity of the tropical forest covers of Balasore district in composition, structure and function. Thus rich over storey plant diversity with many of them as rare occurrence in the area supports the need of conservation for future use and sustenance.

**Keywords:** Floristic composition; Species diversity; Concentration of dominance; IVI; Conservation

## Research Article

Volume 8 Issue 1 - 2018

**RK Mishra<sup>1\*</sup>, S Parhi<sup>2</sup> and AK Biswal<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Wildlife and Biodiversity Conservation, North Orissa University, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, North Orissa University, India

**\*Corresponding author:** RK Mishra, Department of Wildlife and Biodiversity Conservation, North Orissa University, Takatpur, Baripada-757 003, India, Email: rabikumishra@gmail.com

**Received:** February 05, 2016 | **Published:** January 09, 2018

## Introduction

Vegetation diversity assessment in tropical forests have mostly been concentrated on tree species than other plant life forms, because tree species diversity is an important aspect of forest ecosystem structure and fundamental to tropical forest biodiversity. Tropical forests, the major repository of biodiversity, are undergoing rapid fragmentation and degradation all over the world [1]. They covers 7 % of the earth's land surface, but harbours more than half of the world's species [2] and are currently disappearing at an overall rate of 0.8 to 2 % per year [3]. The declining of tropical forests in different parts of the world is most probably due to the activities of human kind [4]. Phytosociology is the study of the characteristics, classification, relationship and distribution of plant communities and it is useful to collect data to describe the quantitative change of each species studied and how they relate to other species in the same community. Further, such studies serve as a pre-requisite for investigating the details of primary productivity of tropical ecosystems and can be used for environmental impact assessment studies in future with reference to understand the changes experienced in the past and continuing on into the future.

Most of the developed and developing countries have these basic studies and defined with the help of vegetation maps [5]. Presently as the tropical forests in most part of the world are under immense anthropogenic pressure require careful management intervention to maintain their overall biodiversity and sustainability [6]. The Indian subcontinent rich in biodiversity and as the 12 mega-diversity centres of the world facing problem in disappearance of tropical forests at an alarming rate due to anthropogenic activities and invasion of invasive species [7]. During the period 2009 to 2011 net and gross deforestation rate in India was -0.03 and -0.43, respectively [8]. In Odisha, forest covers

covering about 37.34% of the state's geographical area and about 7.66% of country's forest [9] having net and gross deforestation rate during 1935 to 2010 was -0.69 and -0.79, respectively [8]. Balasore, one of the coastal district of Odisha located at 20° 48' to 21° 59' north latitude and 86° 16' to 87° 29' east longitude having a total forest area of 351 sq.km consisting of 23 sq.km of dense forest, 126 sq. km of moderate dense forest and 202 sq. km of open forest [10]. A study mentioned that the gross deforestation rate of the district was found 0.69 within a period of 31 years from 1973 to 2004 [8]. As per the State of Forest Report, Govt. of India from 2003 to 2007 the comparative account of decrease in close forest cover including very dense and moderate dense forest of the district was 1.5 sq. km per year.

Thus accurate quantification of vegetation provides information in formulating various action plans or management plans for their restoration. In the second texts vegetation analysis pertaining to Phytosociology and community structure of over storey vegetation layer in different forest covers of Odisha was made by various workers [11-13]. However, it remains as a neglected area with no such studies was made for the tropical forest covers of Balasore. Keeping paucity on quantitative information on over storey plant composition, diversity, and community characteristics the present study was designed to assess the species diversity, richness, abundance and distribution of over storey vegetation layer existing in the study area.

## Materials and methods

### Study area

The Phytosociological study of upper storey vegetation layer (tree) was carried out in Balasore district, Odisha. The district lies between 20° 48' to 21° 59' north latitude and 86° 16' to 87° 29' east longitude (Figure 1). The district is surrounded by Medinipur

district of West Bengal in its northern side, Bay of Bengal in its east, Bhadrak district in its south and Mayurbhanj and Keonjhar districts on its western side. The climate of the district is mostly hot and humid. Annual mean temperature and precipitation of Balasore is 32 °C and the average rain fall is 1583mm [14]. Relative humidity is generally high throughout the year and varying in the range of 50% to 90%. The district total geographical area is 3806 sq km. nearly 9.22% of the geographical area of the district is covered by forest which plays an important role in the economy of the district.

### Phytosociological analysis

Upper storey vegetation layer of tropical forest covers of the district was analysed by quadrat method. A total of 42 quadrats of 20 m x 20m size were laid down at different aspects. The size and number of quadrats laid down during survey was ascertained as per species area curve [15] and running mean method [16]. All the tree species in quadrats were enumerated and identified following Saxena & Brahmam [17]. All the trees occurring inside the quadrat were recorded and measured for girth at breast height level (1.37m) from the ground. Quantitative data collected during field survey were subjected to analyze various Phytosociological parameters following standard methods of calculations and formulae [15,16,18-20]. The frequency values obtained were grouped in frequency classes to study the homogeneous/heterogeneous nature of vegetation [21]. To assess the overall

impact of a species Importance Value Index (IVI) was determined by adding relative frequency, relative density and relative basal area as per Cottam & Curtis [18].




Figure 1: Location map of forest covers in Balasore district of Odisha.

**Relative Frequency (%):** Relative dispersion of individual species in an area in relation to the number of all the species occurred.

$$\text{Relative Frequency (\%)} = \left( \text{Frequency of the species} / \text{Frequency of all species} \right) \times 100$$

**Relative Density (%):** Relative Density is the numerical strength of a species in respect to the total number of individual of all the

species. It was calculated following the equation as:

$$\text{Relative Density} = \left( \text{Density of the species} / \text{Density of all species} \right) \times 100$$

**Relative Dominance (%):** Dominance is the parameter which is determined by the value of basal area. For the comparative analysis relative dominance is determined. It is the coverage value

of a species with respect to the sum of coverage of the rest of the species in the area. It was calculated as:

$$\text{Relative dominance} = \left( \text{Basal area of the species} / \text{Basal area of all the species} \right) \times 100$$

**Importance Value Index:** Importance Value Index is used to determine the overall impact of each species in the community structure. It was calculated by the addition of the percentage

values of the relative frequency, relative density and relative dominance (Relative basal area).

$$IVI = \text{Relative dominance (Relative basal area)} + \text{Relative density} + \text{Relative frequency.}$$

Species diversity of upper storey vegetation layer was determined with the Shannon-Wiener diversity index [22]. Concentration of Dominance (CD) was calculated to evaluate the level of dominance of a species within a community and was expressed by Simpson's index [23].

Where, ni: Number of individuals belonging to the species

N: Total number of individuals in the sample

**Shannon-wiener index (Shannon & Wiener, 1963):** It is a measure of the average degree of 'uncertainty' in predicting to what species an individual chosen at random from a collection of S species and N individuals. It was estimated by using formula:

**Dominance index (Simpson, 1949):** It is a measure of dominance since it weighted towards the abundances of commonest species. It was estimated by using formula:

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{n_i}{N} \right) \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{N} \right)$$

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^s \left( \frac{n_i}{N} \right)^2 \text{ or } P_i^2$$

Where "ni" and "N" are same to Shannon-Wiener index.

## Results and Discussion

### Floristic composition

A total of 94 species belonging to 77 genera and 38 families were recorded from the study area. A majority of the families were represented by only one or two species (Table 1). The most common families were Euphorbiaceae (10 species) and Rubiaceae (8 species) followed by Combretaceae (5 species), Caesalpiniaceae = Ebenaceae = Anacardiaceae = Apocynaceae = Verbenaceae = Oleaceae (4 species each), Rutaceae = Barringtoniaceae (3 species each), etc. The number of species

per genus was more than 1.2 and that per family was nearly equal to 2.5. The species richness of 94 species over 16.8 ha area of the district reflects a moderate level of diversity in forests of Eastern Ghats (Table 1). The results of the study compared well to other inventories conducted in tropical forests both in India and elsewhere. Murphy and Lugo [24] emphasized that average tree species richness of a dry tropical forest community ranges from 35 to 90. Condit [25] recorded 63 species from 50 ha plot at Mudumalai Forest Reserve, India to 996 species in 52 ha plot at Lambir, Malaysia. In a recent assessment of species richness in southern Eastern Ghats, Pragasam and Parthasarathy [26] recorded 272 species in 60 ha sampled area.

**Table 1:** Species composition, frequency (%), density (Plants/ ha), basal area (m<sup>2</sup>/ha), A/F ratio, Importance Value Index (IVI), Species diversity (H/) and concentration of dominance (Cd) of over storey vegetation layer in tropical forests of Balasore district.

Name of the Species	Family	Density (Plants/ha)	Frequency (%)	Basal Area (m <sup>2</sup> /ha)	A/F	IVI	Species Diversity (H/)	Concentration of Dominance (Cd)
<i>Acacia leucophloea</i> (Roxb.) Willd	Mimosaceae	2.38	9.523	0.009	0.049	1.13	0.019	0.000011
<i>Acacia pennata</i> (L.) Willd.	Mimosaceae	1.19	4.761	0.144	0.11	1.05	0.01	0.00003
<i>Actinodaphne angustifolia</i> (Bl.) Nees in Wall	Lauraceae	4.761	9.523	0.1	0.1	1.81	0.033	0.00004
<i>Aegle marmelos</i> (L.) Corr.	Rutaceae	2.38	9.523	0.096	0.049	1.43	0.019	0.000011
<i>Alangium salvifolium</i> (L.f.) Wang.	Alangiaceae	1.19	4.761	0.053	0.11	0.74	0.01	0.000003
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i> (L.) R.Br.	Apocynaceae	2.38	4.7619	0.2	0.2	1.41	0.019	0.000011
<i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> (Roxb. ex. Dc.) Wall.ex Guill. &Perr	Combretaceae	9.523	33.333	0.233	0.02	4.82	0.057	0.0002
<i>Anthocephalus chinensis</i> (Lam.)A. Rich. Ex Walp.	Rubiaceae	4.761	9.523	0.1	0.1	1.91	0.033	0.00004
<i>Antidesma ghasembilla</i> Gaertn.	Euphorbiaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.03	0.1	0.66	0.01	0.000003
<i>Aporosa octandra</i> (Buch.-Ham.ex D.Don)	Euphorbiaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.007	0.1	0.57	0.011	0.000003
<i>Barringtonia acutangula</i> (L.) Gaertn	Barringtoniaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.13	0.1	1.02	0.011	0.000003
<i>Bauhinia malabarica</i> (Roxb.)	Caesalpiniaceae	1.19	4.761	0.174	0.1	1.16	0.011	0.000003
<i>Bauhinia purpurea</i> L.	Caesalpiniaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.019	0.1	0.62	0.011	0.000003
<i>Bauhinia semla</i> Wunderl	Caesalpiniaceae	2.38	4.761	0.203	0.2	1.42	0.018	0.000011
<i>Bombax ceiba</i> L.	Bombacaceae	9.523	19.047	0.631	0.049	5.05	0.057	0.000171
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb.Ex	Burseraceae	25	33.333	1.16	0.04	10.18	0.116	0.00118
<i>Bridelia airy-shawii</i> P.T.Li	Euphorbiaceae	3.571	14.285	0.23	0.03	2.45	0.026	0.000024
<i>Buchanania lanzan</i> Speng.	Anacardiaceae	22.619	28.571	0.375	0.049	6.73	0.107	0.000964
<i>Canthium dicoccum</i> (Gaertn.)Teijsm & Binnend.	Rubiaceae	3.571	9.523	0.041	0.07	1.4	0.026	0.000024
<i>Careya arborea</i> Roxb.	Barringtoniaceae	7.142	23.809	0.07	0.025	3.15	0.045	0.0001

<i>Casearia graveolens</i> Dalz	Flacourtiaceae	4.761	19.047	0.019	0.02	2.26	0.033	0.000043
<i>Cassia fistula</i> L.	Caesalpiniaceae	7.142	19.047	0.059	0.08	2.73	0.045	0.00001
<i>Chionanthus macrophylla</i> (Wall.Ex G.Don) Bl.	Oleaceae	5.952	4.761	0.076	0.5	1.47	0.039	0.00007
<i>Chionanthus mala-elengi</i> (Dennst.)Green	Oleaceae	8.333	14.285	0.264	0.08	3.22	0.051	0.000131
<i>Cleistanthus collinus</i> (Roxb.) Benth. Ex. Hook.f.	Euphorbiaceae	8.333	14.285	0.131	0.08	2.76	0.051	0.000131
<i>Combretum roxburghii</i> Spreng	Combretaceae	1.19	4.761	0.001	0.11	0.56	0.01	0.000003
<i>Croton roxburghii</i> Balak	Euphorbiaceae	25	38.095	0.493	0.03	8.23	0.116	0.001177
<i>Cycas circinalis</i> L.	Cycadaceae	1.19	4.761	0.01	0.1	0.59	0.01	0.000003
<i>Dalbergia lanceolaria</i> L.f.	Moraceae	2.38	4.761	0.29	0.2	1.73	0.018	0.000011
<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i> Roxb.	Fabaceae	2.38	9.523	0.23	0.049	1.9	0.019	0.000011
<i>Dalbergia volubilis</i> Roxb.	Fabaceae	1.19	4.761	0.002	0.1	0.56	0.01	0.000003
<i>Dillenia pentagyna</i> Roxb.	Dilleniaceae	11.904	23.809	1.299	0.04	8.1	0.067	0.0003
<i>Diospyros sylvatica</i> Roxb.	Ebenaceae	4.761	14.285	0.037	0.049	1.94	0.033	0.000043
<i>Diospyros malabarica</i> (Desr.) Kostel	Ebenaceae	5.952	9.523	2.215	0.13	9.33	0.039	0.00007
<i>Diospyros melanoxyton</i> Roxb.	Ebenaceae	17.857	23.809	0.116	0.06	4.78	0.09	0.000601
<i>Diospyros montana</i> Roxb.	Ebenaceae	7.142	14.285	0.122	0.07	2.56	0.045	0.0001
<i>Erhetia laevis</i> Roxb.	Ehretiaceae	1.19	4.761	0.001	0.1	0.56	0.011	0.000003
<i>Fagerlindia fasciculata</i> (Roxb.)Tirveng.	Rubiaceae	9.523	28.571	0.2	0.02	4.33	0.057	0.000171
<i>Garuga pinnata</i> Roxb	Burseraceae	1.19	4.7619	0.014	0.1	0.59	0.01	0.000003
<i>Glochidion lanceolarium</i> (Roxb.) Dalz	Euphorbiaceae	16.66	19.047	0.196	0.09	4.51	0.086	0.000523
<i>Grewia tilifolia</i> Vahl.	Tiliaceae	1.1904	4.761	0.011	0.1	0.59	0.01	0.000003
<i>Haldinia cordifolia</i> (Roxb.) Ridsd.	Rubiaceae	8.333	19.047	0.468	0.04	4.32	0.051	0.000131
<i>Heterophragma roxburghii</i> L.	Bignoniaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.007	0.1	0.57	0.01	0.000003
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> (Buch-Ham.)Wall.ex G Don	Apocynaceae	19.047	57.142	0.285	0.01	8.23	0.095	0.000683
<i>Holoptelia integrifolia</i> Roxb.	Ulmaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.016	0.1	0.6	0.01	0.000003
<i>Ixora pavetta</i> Andr.	Rubiaceae	2.38	4.761	0.011	0.21	0.75	0.019	0.000011
<i>Ixora undulata</i> Roxb.	Rubiaceae	2.38	9.523	0.048	0.049	1.26	0.019	0.000011
<i>Kydia calycina</i> Roxb.	Malvaceae	2.38	9.523	0.261	0.049	2.01	0.019	0.000011
<i>Lagerstroemia paviflora</i> Roxb.	Lythraceae	3.571	9.523	0.037	0.07	1.39	0.026	0.000024

<i>Lannea coromandelica</i> (Houtt.)Nerr.	Anacardiaceae	7.142	14.285	0.124	0.07	2.57	0.045	0.0001
<i>Leea asiatica</i> (L.) Ridsdale	Vitaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.008	0.1	0.58	0.01	0.000003
<i>Ligustrum gamblei</i> Fl.Hassan Dist.	Oleaceae	1.19	4.761	0.01	0.1	0.6	0.01	0.000003
<i>Limonia crenulata</i> Roxb.	Rutaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.036	0.1	0.68	0.01	0.000003
<i>Litsea glutinosa</i> (Lour) Robins.	Lauraceae	1.19	4.7619	0.025	0.1	0.64	0.011	0.000003
<i>Litsea monopetala</i> (Roxb.) Pers.	Lauraceae	1.19	4.7619	0.024	0.1	0.63	0.011	0.000003
<i>Macaranga peltata</i> (Roxb.) Muell.-Arg.	Euphorbiaceae	9.523	33.333	0.062	0.02	4.22	0.057	0.000171
<i>Madhuca indica</i> Gmel.Syst	Sapotaceae	21.428	14.285	0.232	0.2	4.91	0.104	0.000865
<i>Mallatous philippensis</i> (Lam.) Muell.-Arg	Euphorbiaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.005	0.1	0.57	0.011	0.000003
<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.	Anacardiaceae	8.333	9.523	5.451	0.18	20.98	0.051	0.000131
<i>Melia azadirachta</i> L.	Meliaceae	1.19	4.761	0.045	0.1	0.71	0.01	0.000003
<i>Meyna spinosa</i> Roxb.ex Link.	Rubiaceae	1.19	4.761	0.011	0.1	0.588	0.011	0.000003
<i>Milusa velutina</i> (Dunal) Hook.f.	Annonaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.001	0.1	0.54	0.011	0.000003
<i>Morinda pubescens</i> Sm.	Rubiaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.002	0.1	0.56	0.011	0.000003
<i>Murraya paniculata</i> (L.) Jack,	Rutaceae	2.38	4.761	0.009	0.21	0.74	0.019	0.000011
<i>Nyctanthes arbor-tristis</i> L.	Oleaceae	1.19	4.761	0.018	0.1	0.61	0.011	0.000003
<i>Ochna squarrosa</i> L.	Ochnaceae	1.19	4.761	0.006	0.1	0.57	0.01	0.000003
<i>Oroxylum indicum</i> (L.) Vent	Bignoniaceae	3.571	14.285	0.086	0.03	1.95	0.026	0.000024
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae	3.571	9.523	0.03	0.07	1.36	0.026	0.000024
<i>Polyalthia suberosa</i> L.	Annonaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.021	0.1	0.62	0.01	0.000003
<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> (L.)Pierre	Fabaceae	19.047	19.047	1.721	0.1	10.17	0.095	0.000683
<i>Premna calycina</i> Haines	Verbenaceae	5.952	4.7619	0.174	0.5	1.81	0.039	0.00007
<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> Roxb.	Fabaceae	13.095	23.809	0.231	0.04	4.53	0.072	0.000323
<i>Pterospermum acerifolium</i> (L.)Willd	Sterculiaceae	1.19	4.761	0.041	0.1	0.69	0.01	0.000003
<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> (Lour.) Oken. Allg	Sapindaceae	14.285	28.571	0.31	0.03	5.35	0.077	0.000384
<i>Shorea robusta</i> Gaertn.f	Dipterocarpaceae	134.523	66.666	4.753	0.06	40.47	0.312	0.034092
<i>Soymida febrifuge</i> (Roxb.)	Meliaceae	3.571	4.7619	0.04	0.3	1.03	0.026	0.000024
<i>Spondias pinnata</i> (L.f.)Kurz	Anacardiaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.001	0.1	0.57	0.01	0.000003
<i>Sterospermum coalis</i> (Buch.-Ham.ex Dillw.) Mabblerley.	Bignoniaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.001	0.1	0.59	0.011	0.000003

<i>Strychnos nox-vomica</i> L.	Strychnaceae	2.38	4.7619	0.041	0.21	0.86	0.019	0.000011
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.) Skeels	Myrtaceae	13.095	42.857	0.491	0.01	6.97	0.072	0.000323
<i>Syzygium operculatum</i> Roxb.	Myrtaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.144	0.1	1.06	0.01	0.000003
<i>Tectona grandis</i> L.f.	Verbenaceae	3.571	4.761	0.028	0.3	0.97	0.026	0.000024
<i>Terminalia alata</i> Heyne. ex Roth	Combretaceae	70.238	61.904	1.496	0.03	19.87	0.225	0.009294
<i>Terminalia bellirica</i> (Gaertn.)Roxb.	Combretaceae	10.714	23.809	0.37	0.04	4.71	0.062	0.000216
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	Combretaceae	3.571	9.523	0.063	0.07	1.48	0.026	0.000024
<i>Trema orientalis</i> (L.) Bl.	Ulmaceae	2.38	4.7619	0.04	0.2	0.88	0.019	0.000011
<i>Trewia nudiflora</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae	4.761	9.523	0.245	0.11	2.28	0.033	0.00004
<i>Vitex peduncularis</i> Wall.ex Schauer	Lamiaceae	1.19	4.7619	0.021	0.1	0.62	0.01	0.000003
<i>Vitex pinnata</i> L.	Verbenaceae	3.571	9.523	0.159	0.07	1.82	0.026	0.000024
<i>Vitex trifolia</i> L.	Verbenaceae	1.19	4.761	0.252	0.1	1.43	0.011	0.000003
<i>Wendlandia tinctoria</i> (Roxb.) DC	Rubiaceae	7.142	14.285	0.122	0.07	2.56	0.045	0.0001
<i>Wrightia arborea</i> (Dennst) Mabb.	Apocynaceae	9.523	14.285	0.119	0.09	2.88	0.056	0.000171
<i>Wrightia tinctoria</i> (Roxb.) R.Br.	Apocynaceae	14.285	23.809	0.113	0.049	4.28	0.077	0.000384
<i>Xantolis tomentosa</i> (Roxb.) Rafin.	Sapotaceae	20.238	28.571	0.347	0.049	6.3	0.099	0.000772
		728.571		28.453		300	3.681	0.055475

### Distribution pattern of species

Of the 94 tree species recorded in the study area most of the species exhibited contiguous distribution (70 species). Only few species exhibited random (17 species) and regular (7 species) distribution. Contiguous distribution was more pronounced by *Chionanthus macrophylla*, *Premnacalycina*, *Soymida febrifuge*, *Tectonagrandis*, *Ixorapavetta*, *Murrayapaniculata*, *Strychnos nox-vomica*, etc. Species showed regular distribution were *Anogeissus latifolia*, *Macaranga peltata*, *Holarrhaena pubescens*, *Careya arborea*, *Fragelindia fasciculata*, *Syzygium cumini* and *Caseariagraveolens* (Table 1).

### Raunkiaer's frequency class distribution

The over storey species had a wide range of occurrence in the study site ranging in frequency from 4.76 to 66.67 % and most of the species occurred only twice (Table 1). The distribution of the species into Raunkiaer's frequency classes showed that most of the species encountered were in class "A" followed by class "B" and equal in "C" and "D" (Figure 2). This indicates that most of the species had low frequency as would be expected in typical species-abundance distribution in tropical forests [27]. Raunkiaer [21] frequency distribution holds good for this forest cover also. However this forest had only four classes instead of five as

described in the law. This was due to its higher heterogeneous nature and deviation from the normal frequency distribution as described by Raunkiaer [21].




Figure 2: Raunkiaer's frequency class distribution of over storey vegetation layer of the study area.

## Stand structure

Structural parameters like density (plants/ha), basal area ( $\text{m}^2/\text{ha}$ ) and frequency (%) of upper-storey vegetation layer of the study area is presented in Table 1. Species wise density of individuals having  $\geq 30\text{cm}$  girth ranged from 2 to 134 plants/ha and the total density of 729 plants/ha. Maximum density was recorded for *Shorearobusta* (134) followed by *Terminalialalata* (70), *Croton roxburghii* (25), *Boswelliaserrata* (25), *Buchnanialanzan* (22.62), *Madhucaindica* (21.43) and *Xantolishtomentosa* (20.24). The minimum density of less than equal to two was observed for many species like *Aporusaoctandra*, *Spondiaspinnata*, *Miliusavelutina*, *Bauhinia purpurea*, *Sterospermumcoalis*, *Litsiamonopetala*, *Vitexpenducularis*, *Syzygiumoperculatum*, etc. Rest of the species showed intermediate range of density per hectare.

The tree density of 729 individuals/ha recorded in the present investigation is lower as compared to densities reported from Saddle Peak of North Andaman Islands and Great Andaman Groups (946-1137 trees/ha, [28]) and well comparable to tropical forests of Kalkad, Western Ghats (575-855 trees/ha, [29]), Similipal, Odisha [30, 31], Brazil (420-777 trees/ha, [32]), seasonally deciduous forest of Central Brazil (734 trees/ha, [33]), Semi deciduous forest of Piracicaba, Brazil (842 trees/ha, [34]) and Costa Rica (617 trees/ha, [35]). Basal area of upper storey vegetation in the study area ranged from 0.001-5.451 $\text{m}^2/\text{ha}$ , the highest for *Mangiferaindica* and lowest for many species like *Ethetialaevis*, *Sterospermumcoalis*, *Spondiaspinnata* and *Miliusavelutina* (Table 1). Basal areas for some important timber species such as *Shorearobusta*, *Tectonagrandis* and *Dalbergialanceolaria* were 4.753, 0.028 and 0.290  $\text{m}^2/\text{ha}$ , respectively. The overall basal area estimated was 28.453 $\text{m}^2/\text{ha}$ . *Mangiferaindica* contributed maximum of 19% to the total basal area followed by *Shorearobusta* (16.61%), *Diospyrosmalabarica* (7.72%) and *Pongamiapinnata* (6%). The total contribution that resulted from this associated combination of *Mangifera-Shorea-Diospyros-Pongamia* was 50%. The overall basal area estimated of upper storey vegetation layer is well within the reported range of various Indian tropical forests [36] and lower than the value reported from Monteverde of Costa Rica (62  $\text{m}^2/\text{ha}$ , [37]). High basal area is a characteristic feature of mature forest stand and serves as a reflection of high performance of the trees. It may also presuppose the development of an extensive root system used efficient nutrient absorption, growth suppressing of subordinate plants as they intercept much of the solar radiation that might otherwise reach the forest floor.

## Ecological importance of species

Importance Value Index (IVI) is the measurement of relative contribution of a species to the entire community and suggesting the ability of a species to establish over an array of habitats. However, there is no single perfect way of assessing the relative contribution of a species. The abundance of a species can be represented by several measures such as relative density, relative frequency and Importance Value Index (IVI). Though frequency and density values are suitable for herbs and shrubs [39], IVI is important information for tree species. On the basis of IVI, *Shorearobusta* was found as the dominant species having IVI of 40.47 followed by *Mangiferaindica* (20.98), *Terminalialalata*

(19.87), *Boswelliaserrata* (10.18), *Pongamiapinnata* (10.17), etc. *Miliusavelutina* had IVI of 0.054 was considered as the rare species of the study area (Table 1). All other tree species showed intermediate range of IVI. High IVI values exhibited by those species clearly indicate the ecological importance of corresponding species. Such measurement of over storey vegetation layer also helps in understanding the ecological significance of a species in its community/habitat. Higher is the IVI more ecological significance of the species in a particular ecosystem [27]. Furthermore, information of IVI would of prime importance in deciding the management options for specific host population of native wildlife that is facing the danger of local extinction due to heavy human pressure surrounding this forest cover.

## Diversity measures

Species diversity and concentration of dominance of upper-storey vegetation layer of the study area is given in Table 1. Measurement of biodiversity of specific area (local scale) on the basis of species richness does not provide a complete understanding about the individuals of the species in an ecosystem as it suffers from the lack of evenness or equitability. Shannon Wiener's index of diversity is one of the popular measures of species diversity. It ranged from 0.01 to 0.312 across the study area with a total diversity value of 3.68. Maximum species diversity of 0.312 was experienced by *Shorearobusta* while the minimum of 0.01 was experienced by many species of the study area indicating that over storey vegetation layer of Balasore was highly diverse. The species diversity is generally higher for tropical forests, which is reported as 5.06 and 5.40 for young and old stand, respectively [39]. For Indian forests the diversity index ranges between 0.83-4.1 [41]. Higher species diversity index in tropical forests as reported by Knight [40] in comparison to the present investigation may be due to differences in the area sampled and lack of uniform plot dimensions. In contrast to species diversity the concentration of dominance of such vegetation layer ranged from 0.000003 to 0.03. Maximum value was experienced by *Shorearobusta* and minimum by many species (Table 1). The range of concentration of dominance estimated for over-storey vegetation layer of the district implies that most of the species are equitably distributed while very few species showed the degree of dominance [42]. The range of concentration of dominance estimated of the study area is less than those recorded in Nelliampathy (0.085; [43]) and tropical dry deciduous forests of Western India (0.08- 0.16; [44]) and indicates the absence of single species dominance.

## Conclusion

Tropical forest covers of Balasore district supports a diverse plant community. The rich plant diversity is worthy for its conservation to check it from further reduction in species richness, rapid deforestation and forest fragmentation. The rare species of the area identified based on IVI must need proper attention to determine their conservation status and key functions. Further research on mapping of such species with respect to their concentrated distribution in some pockets of the study area and study of their key ecological and structural functions would help to identify locations for conservation actions.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Divisional Forest Officer (DFO), Balasore Wildlife Division and their staff for their help rendered during the study period to carry out the research work.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Myers N (1996) Two key challenges for biodiversity: discontinuities and synergisms. *Biodiversity Conservation* 5(9): 1025-1034.
2. Wilson EO (1992) *The Diversity of Life*. Belknap, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
3. Sagar R, Raghubanshi AS, Singh JS (2003) Tree species composition, dispersion and diversity along a disturbance gradient in a dry tropical forest region of India. *Forest Ecology and Management* 186: 61-71.
4. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) (2005) *The State of Food and Agriculture*, Rome, Italy.
5. Naidu MT, Kumar OA (2016) Tree diversity, stand structure, and community composition of tropical forests in Eastern Ghats of Andhra Pradesh, India. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity* 9(3): 328-334.
6. Yam G, Tripathi OP (2016) Tree diversity and community characteristics in Talle Wildlife Sanctuary, Arunachal Pradesh, Eastern Himalaya, India. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity* 9(2): 160-165.
7. Ramachandran A, Radhapriya P, Jayakumar S, Dhanya P, Geetha R (2016) Critical analysis of forest degradation in the southern eastern ghats of India: comparison of satellite imagery and soil quality index. *PLoS ONE* 11(1): 1-19.
8. Reddy CS, Dutta K, Jha CS (2013) Analysing the gross and deforestation rates in India. *Current Science* 105(11): 1492-1500.
9. SPCB (2006) Orissa: State of environment Orissa. Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, Orissa.
10. FSI (Forest Survey of India) (2015) *Tree Cover: India State of Forest Report*, Dehradun, India.
11. Mishra RK, Upadhyay VP, Mohanty RC (2008) Vegetation ecology of the Similipal biosphere reserve, Orissa, India. *Journal of Applied Ecology and Environmental Research* 6(2): 89-100.
12. Mishra RK, Upadhyay VP, Mishra PK, Mohanty RC (2011) Ecological problems of tree species in two protected ecosystems of Eastern Ghats, India. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 32(1): 115-119.
13. Mishra RK, Upadhyay VP, Pattanaik S, Nayak PK, Mohanty RC (2012) Composition and stand structure of tropical moist deciduous forest of Similipal Biosphere Reserve, Orissa, India. *Intech Books*, Croatia.
14. [www.yr.no./place/India/Orissa/Balasore/Statistics.html](http://www.yr.no./place/India/Orissa/Balasore/Statistics.html)
15. Misra R (1968) *Ecology Work Book*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi, India.
16. Kershaw KR (1973) *Quantitative and Dynamic Plant Ecology*. Edward Arnold Ltd, London.
17. Saxena HO, Brahmam M (1994-1996) *The Flora of Orissa*. Vol. I-IV Regional Research Laboratory (CSIR), Bhubaneswar and Orissa Forest Development Corporation Ltd, Bhubaneswar, India.
18. Cottom G, Curtis JT (1956) The use of distance measures in the phytosociological sampling. *Ecology* 37(3): 451-460.
19. Tüxen R (1956) Die heutige potentiellen natürliche vegetation also Gegenstand der vegetationskartierung, *Angew Pflanz. Stolzenau, Germany* 13: 4-42.
20. Curtis, JT (1959) *The Vegetation of Wisconsin, An Ordination of Plant Communities*. University Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
21. Raunkiaer C (1934) *The Life Forms of Plants and Statistical Plant Geography*, Oxford University Press, UK, pp. 632.
22. Shannon CE, Wiener W (1963) *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. University Press, Illinois, USA.
23. Simpson EH (1949) Measurement of diversity. *Nature* 163: 688.
24. Murphy PG, Lugo AE (1986) Ecology of tropical dry forest. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 17: 67-88.
25. Condit R, Ashton PS, Baker P, Bunyavechewin S, Gunatilleke S, et al. (2000) Spatial pattern in the distribution of tropical tree species. *Science* 288(5470): 1414-1418.
26. Pragasan LA, Parthasarathy N (2010) Landscape-level tree diversity assessment in tropical forests of southern Eastern Ghats, India. *Flora* 205(11): 728-737.
27. Odum EP (1971) *Fundamentals of Ecology*. WB Saunders Co, Philadelphia.
28. Padalia H, Chauhan N, Porwal MC (2004) Phytosociological observations on tree species diversity of Andaman Islands, India. *Current Science* 87(6): 799-806.
29. Kadavul K, Parthasarathy N (1999) Structure and composition of woody species in tropical semievergreen forest of Kalayan hills, Eastern Ghats, India. *Tropical Ecology* 40: 247-260.
30. Mishra RK, Pattanaik S, Mohanty RC (2014) Effect of disturbance on seed germination and seedling growth of *Cassia fistula* (L.), *Albizia lebbbeck* (L.) Benth. and *Dalbergiasisoo* Roxb. Of Similipal Biosphere Reserve, Odisha, India. *Forest Res* 3:130.
31. Pattanaik S, Dash A, Mishra RK, Nayak PK, Mohanty RC (2015) Seed germination and seedling survival percentage of *Shorea robusta* Gaertn.f. in buffer areas of Similipal Biosphere Reserve, Odisha, India. *Journal Ecosystem Ecography* 5(1): 1-4.
32. Campbell DG, Stone JL, Rosas A (1992) A comparison of the Phytosociology and dynamics of three floodplain (Varzea) forest of known ages, Rio Jurua, western Brazilian Amazon. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 108: 231-237.
33. Felfili JM, André R, Nascimento T, Fagg CW, Meirelles EM (2007) Floristic composition and community structure of a seasonally deciduous forest on limestone outcrops in Central Brazil. *Revista Brasil Botany* 30(4): 611-621.
34. Viana VM, Tabanez AAJ (1996) Biology and conservation of forest fragments in the Brazilian Atlantic Moist Forest. In *Forest patches in tropical landscapes* J Schelas & R Greenberg (Eds.), Island Press, Washington, USA.
35. Heaney A, Proctor J (1990) Preliminary studies on forest structure and floristics on volcan Barva, Costa Rica. *Journal Tropical Ecology* 6: 307-320.
36. Sapkota IP, Tigabu M, Oden PC (2009) Spatial distribution, advanced regeneration and stand structure of Nepalese Sal (*Shorea robusta*) forests subject to disturbances of different intensities. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257: 1966-1975.



37. Nadkarni NMT, Matelson J, Haber WA (1995) Structural characteristics and florist composition of a neo tropical cloud forest. Monteverde, Costa Rica. *Journal Tropical Ecology* 11: 484-495.
38. Ludwig JA, Reynolds JF (1988) *Statistical Ecology*. New York, J Wiley, p. 1-44.
39. Airi S, Rawal RS, Dhar U, Purohit AN (2000) Assessment of availability and habitat preference of Jatamansi: a critically endangered medicinal plant of west Himalaya. *Current Science* 79(10): 1467-1470.
40. Knight DH (1975) Aphytosociological analysis of species rich tropical forest on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Ecological Monographs* 45(3): 259-289.
41. Visalakshi N (1995) Vegetation analysis of two tropical dry evergreen forests in southern India. *Tropical Ecology* 36: 117-127.
42. Pascal JP, Pellissier R (1996) Structure and floristic composition of a tropical evergreen forest in south west India. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 12: 190-216.
43. Chandrashekara UM, Ramakrishnan PS (1994) Vegetation and gap dynamics of a tropical wet evergreen forest in the Western Ghats of Kerala, India. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 10(3): 337-354.
44. Kumar, JIN, Sajish PR, Kumar RN, Bhoi RK (2010) Wood and leaf litter decomposition and nutrient release from *Tectonagrandis* Linn. F. in a tropical dry deciduous forest of Rajasthan, Western India. *Journal of Forest Science* 26(1): 17-23.