

The non-Responsiveness to Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Agents in the Treatment of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Abstract

In this editorial, the definition and potential risk factors of the non-responsiveness to the intravitreal applications of anti-vascular endothelial growth factors in the patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration were summarized. To know the potential risk factors for the non-responsiveness to these agents may prevent unnecessary treatment interventions and unrealistic patient expectations.

Keywords: Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor; Age-related macular degeneration; Non-responsiveness; Definition; Non responders; Potential risk factors

Editorial

Volume 6 Issue 6 - 2017

Burak Turgut*

Department of Ophthalmology, Firat University, Turkey

***Corresponding author:** Burak Turgut, Associate Professor of Ophthalmology, Firat University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Ophthalmology, 23119, Elazig, Turkey, Tel: +904242333555; Fax: +904242388096; E-mail: burakturgut@firat.edu.tr, drburakturgut@gmail.com

Received: April 27, 2017 | **Published:** April 28, 2017

Abbreviations: Anti-VEGF: Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor; n-AMD: Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration; BVCA: Best Corrected Visual Acuity; PED: Pigment Epithelium Detachment

Editorial

The anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) agents including bevacizumab, ranibizumab, and aflibercept have been widely used in the current treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration (n-AMD), and they are beneficial in most n-AMD patients [1-5]. However, some patients cannot respond to the treatment as expected. In recent studies, it has been showed that the incidence for non responsiveness to bevacizumab in treatment naïve n-AMD ranges between approximately 40 and 50% while as the percent of non responsiveness to ranibizumab was between approximately 10-20% [6-9]. Although there is currently no more evidence in the literature, a recent report demonstrated that the rates of non responsiveness to aflibercept in the treatment of n AMD based on best corrected visual acuity (BVCA) and fundus findings were approximately 8% and 13%, respectively [10].

The absence of expected response to an anti-VEGF agent has been named in different forms as “incomplete response, poor response, non response, unresponsive, tolerance, tachyphylaxis, rebound, treatment resistant, refractory to anti-VEGF, resistance to anti-VEGF” in current ophthalmology literature [11]. However, non responsiveness is exactly different from above mentioned most nomenclatures. If the mistaken diagnosis, tachyphylaxis, and complications secondary to the drug’s itself or its application were excluded, the non responsiveness to intravitreal anti-VEGF injection in the treatment of n-AMD is defined as the loss (more than 0.2 or 5 letters) or no change in BVCA compared to baseline with persistent macular hemorrhage in fundus examination, intraretinal or subretinal fluid on optical coherence tomography and the leakage in fluorescein angiography despite to a protocol including 3 or 6 consecutive monthly intravitreal anti-VEGF injections [6-12]. However, there is no consensus on the defining of

the non responsiveness. In the light of the recent reports, it can be considered that the potential risk factors for non-responsiveness to anti-VEGF agent in the treatment of n-AMD are an initial lesion with subfoveal fibrosis or atrophy in retina pigment epithelium and photoreceptors, lesion in large size, type 1 choroidal neovascularization, serous pigment epithelium detachment (PED), haemorrhagic PED, fibrovascular PED, polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy, foveal scarring and vitreomacular traction, outer retinal tubulation, cystoid degeneration in outer retina, genetic disposition or an anti-VEGF resistance [Table 1, 13-22].

Table 1: The possible risk factors for non-responsiveness to anti-VEGF agents in the patients with nAMD [6-22].

Possible Risk Factor for Non-Responsiveness
Subfoveal fibrosis/scarring
Atrophy in RPE and photoreceptors
Large lesion size
Type 1 CNV
Serous PED
Hemorrhagic PED
Fibrovascular/vascular PED
PCV
VMT
ORT
Cystoid degeneration in outer retina
Genetic disposition
Anti-VEGF resistance

Table Abbreviations: RPE: Retina Pigment Epithelium; CNV: Choroidal Neo-Vascularization; PED: Pigment Epithelium Detachment; PCV: Polypoidal Choroidal Vasculopathy; VMT: Vitreo-Macular Traction; ORT: Outer Retinal Tubulation; Anti-VEGF: Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

Conclusion

To know the definition and the potential risk factors of the anti-VEGF non responsiveness in the treatment of n-AMD would prevent unnecessary treatment interventions, unrealistic patient expectations, and economic consumption.

References

1. Avery RL, Pieramici DJ, Rabena MD, Castellarin AA, Nasir MA, et al. (2006) Intravitreal bevacizumab (Avastin) for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 113(3): 363-372.
2. Abraham P, Yue H, Wilson L (2010) Randomized, double-masked, sham controlled trial of ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: PIER study year 2. *Am J Ophthalmol* 150(3): 315-324.
3. Chang TS, Bressler NM, Fine JT, Dolan CM, Ward J, et al. (2007) Improved vision-related function after ranibizumab treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: results of a randomized clinical trial. *Arch Ophthalmol* 125(11): 1460-1469.
4. Heier JS, Brown DM, Chong V, Korobelnik JF, Kaiser PK, et al. (2012) Intravitreal aflibercept (VEGF trap-eye) in wet age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 119(12): 2537-2548.
5. Ogura Y, Terasaki H, Gomi F, Yuzawa M, Iida T, et al. (2015) Efficacy and safety of intravitreal aflibercept injection in wet age-related macular degeneration: outcomes in the Japanese subgroup of the VIEW 2 study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 99(1): 92-97.
6. Suzuki M, Nagai N, Izumi-Nagai K, Shinoda H, Koto T, et al. (2014) Predictive factors for non-response to intravitreal ranibizumab treatment in age-related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol* 98(9): 1186-1191.
7. Lux A, Llacer H, Heussen FM, Jousseaume AM (2007) Non-responders to bevacizumab (Avastin) therapy of choroidal neovascular lesions. *Br J Ophthalmol* 91(10): 1318-1322.
8. Krebs I, Glittenberg C, Ansari-Shahrezaei S, Hagen S, Steiner I, et al. (2013) Non-responders to treatment with antagonists of vascular endothelial growth factor in age-related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol* 97(11): 1443-1446.
9. Otsuji T, Nagai Y, Sho K, Tsumura A, Koike N, et al. (2013) Initial non-responders to ranibizumab in the treatment of age-related macular degeneration (AMD). *Clin Ophthalmol* 7: 1487-1490.
10. Nagai N, Suzuki M, Uchida A, Kurihara T, Kamoshita M, et al. (2016) Non-responsiveness to intravitreal aflibercept treatment in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: implications of serous pigment epithelial detachment. *Sci Rep* 6: 29619.
11. Yang S, Zhao J, Sun X (2016) Resistance to anti-VEGF therapy in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a comprehensive review. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 10: 1857-1867.
12. Lalwani GA, Rosenfeld PJ, Fung AE, Dubovy SR, Michels S, et al. (2009) A variable-dosing regimen with intravitreal ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: year 2 of the PrONTO Study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 148(1): 43-58.
13. Yamashiro K, Tomita K, Tsujikawa A, Nakata I, Akagi-Kurashige Y, et al. (2012) Factors associated with the response of age-related macular degeneration to intravitreal ranibizumab treatment. *Am J Ophthalmol* 154(1): 125-136.
14. Dirani A, Ambresin A, Marchionno L, Decugis D, Mantel I (2015) Factors influencing the treatment response of pigment epithelium detachment in age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 160(4): 732-738.
15. Amoaku WM, Chakravarthy U, Gale R, Gavin M, Ghanchi F, et al. (2015) Defining response to anti-VEGF therapies in neovascular AMD. *Eye* 29(6): 721-731.
16. Suzuki M, Nagai N, Shinoda H, Uchida A, Kurihara T, et al. (2016) Distinct responsiveness to intravitreal ranibizumab therapy in polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy with single or multiple polyps. *Am J Ophthalmol* 166: 52-59.
17. Turgut B, Tanyildizi R (2013) The frequency of the nonresponsiveness to intravitreal injection of the anti-vascular endothelial growth factor agent in neovascular age related macular degeneration. *Int J Ophthalmic Pathol* 2: 3.
18. Korb C, Zwiener I, Lorenz K, Mirshahi A, Pfeiffer N, et al. (2013) Risk factors of a reduced response to ranibizumab treatment for neovascular age-related macular degeneration—evaluation in a clinical setting. *BMC Ophthalmol* 13: 84.
19. Rich RM, Rosenfeld PJ, Puliafito CA, Dubovy SR, Davis JL, et al. (2006) Short-term safety and efficacy of intravitreal bevacizumab (Avastin) for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Retina* 26(5): 495-511.
20. Brantley MA, Fang AM, King JM, Tewari A, Kymes SM, et al. (2007) Association of complement factor H and LOC387715 genotypes with response of exudative age-related macular degeneration to intravitreal bevacizumab. *Ophthalmology* 114(12): 2168-2173.
21. Manoj S (2011) Why does anti VEGF treatment fail in age related macular degeneration (AMD). *Kerala Journal of Ophthalmology* 23(3): 282-286.
22. Lee AY, Raya AK, Kymes SM, Shiels A, Brantley MA (2009) Pharmacogenetics of complement factor H (Y402H) and treatment of exudative age-related macular degeneration with ranibizumab. *Br J Ophthalmol* 93(5): 610-613.