Vision Loss after Cranial Radiotherapy: Case Report and Review

Abstract

Background: The ocular manifestations of various types of radiation vary from patient to patient. As the primary sites of damage are endothelial cells, co-existing microvascular diseases, such as diabetes and hypertension, increase the risk of developing retinopathy and maculopathy from radiation treatment. Prompt recognition of findings and initiation of treatment may delay or decrease associated vision loss.

Case Report: A 62-year-old male presents to the emergency department complaining of persistent hiccups for three days. Further testing revealed right basal ganglia CNS lymphoma for which he received chemotherapy and external beam radiation. He was referred to the eye clinic from the oncology department with complaints of blurred vision in both eyes. His systemic medical history was remarkable for Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus Type 2 and hypertension. On examination, the visual acuity was 20/40 in each eye. Fundus examination revealed cotton wool spots, macular edema and marked capillary non-perfusion in the posterior poles of both eyes. Over the course of a year, his vision deteriorated to 20/400 in each eye. Considering the patient’s good control of his underlying microvascular diseases, radiation retinopathy was considered likely etiology for the relatively rapid progression of retinal findings and concurrent worsening of vision. Despite a series of Intravitreal Avastin Injections administered in an attempt to stabilize vision, the patient’s vision was unable to be restored.

Conclusion: Extensive patient education is imperative for patients undergoing radiation treatment, due to ocular or periocular neoplasms, considering the various possible ocular manifestations. Coexisting microvascular diseases should be taken into consideration as they increase the risk of developing retinopathy. This case report reviews the histopathology, risk factors, natural course and long term sequelae of radiation treatment to familiarize the practicing eye care professional with contemporary evaluation and therapeutic considerations for this potentially vision threatening condition.
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Introduction

Since the 1800’s, radiation has been utilized in the treatment of several types of cancers. Emil Grubbe was the first American physician to employ this treatment on a neoplasm in 1895 [1]. By 1933, the first signs of retinal injury following radiation were noted by H.B. Stallard [2]. He noted hemorrhages, exudates, optic nerve head swelling, optic atrophy and retinal pigment epithelial changes in fundi of patients with treatment of various ocular neoplasms. As the primary site of damage are endothelial cells, co-existing microvascular diseases such as diabetes and hypertension increase the risk of developing retinopathy and maculopathy from radiation treatment. This case report highlights the ocular manifestations of radiation retinopathy (RR) resulting from external beam radiation involving the globe and ocular adnexa. The histopathology, risk factors, natural course and long term sequelae of radiation treatment are reviewed to familiarize the practicing eye care professional with contemporary evaluation and therapeutic considerations for this potentially vision threatening condition.

Case Presentation

A 62-year-old male presented to the Emergency department complaining of persistent hiccups for three days that were accompanied with chest pain. Patient’s systemic history included Insulin dependent Diabetes Mellitus type II, Peripheral nerve disease, hypertension, chronic hepatitis C, Colonic polyps, primary CNS Lymphoma, Post-traumatic stress disorder, major depressive disorder, seizure disorder and lumbar radiculopathy. His active medications were listed as Atenolol, Dexamethasone, Diazepam, Insulin (Novolin), Levetiracetam, Lisinopril, Multivitamins, Sennosides, Triamcinolone acetonide cream and Zolpidem. Documented allergies include shellfish and strawberries.

This patient is an established patient at eye clinic with an extensive ocular history of mild non-proliferative diabetic
In OD and two in OS, there was no significant improvement noted in visual acuity. As seen in the OCT findings from above, the foveal contour is disrupted and the macular thickness has significantly decreased. Over the course of two years after the initial radiation dosage was administered, patients’ visual acuity decreased from 20/40 to 20/400 OD and OS. Due to the optic neuropathy and notable macular ischemia present in both eyes, vision was not able to be restored (Figure 3).

Discussion

Cancerous tumors are commonly treated with radiation therapy because of its ability to control growth. During this procedure, DNA of the cancerous tissue is damaged, leading to cellular death. According to the International System of Units (SI), the unit used to measure ionizing radiation is called gray (symbol: Gy). The total dose of radiation is fractionized so the normal cells have time to recover since the tumor cells are less capable of repair between cycles [3]. The three main divisions of radiation therapy are differentiated by their radiation source: external beam radiation therapy (EBRT), brachytherapy and systemic.
radioisotope therapy. The source of EBRT is outside of the body where brachytherapy uses sealed radioactive sources placed exactly in the area of treatment. Systemic radioisotopes are a form of target therapy that is either infused into the bloodstream or ingested [3].

Chemotherapy is sometimes used as an adjunct for the treatment of certain types of tumors. Study done by O’Neill et al. [4] has shown that combined modality therapy with chemo and radiation did not produce an overall survival advantage. Subsequently, a long-term survival study performed by Abrey et al. [5] showed that combined modality therapy did improve survival, but relapse was common. They also noted that severe damage to the nervous system (neurologic toxicity) was a significant complication, especially in patients over the age of 60. Results of this include changes in behavior, cognitive functioning, dementia, and balance and coordination problems. Similar to neurotoxicity, WBRT has substantial impact on ocular health. Incidence of retinopathy increases steadily at doses higher than 45 Gy, lowest reported dose being 11 Gy. RR has been reported within 6 months and up to 8.5 years after initiation of treatment [6]. Our patient was treated with EBRT over the course of one year. Fraction size and total dosage is unknown as the treatment took place in another hospital.

The ocular manifestations of radiation treatment are very well documented and appear to be secondary to damage of the vasculature of the retina, choroid, and optic nerve. These include glaucoma, cataract, optic neuropathy, maculopathy, retinopathy, epiphora, dry eye and ectropion [7]. Cataract may result after low doses of radiation to the lens of the eye and can easily be corrected through surgical treatment. Dry eye is a resultant of damage to the lacrimal gland during radiotherapy. Macular ischemia and optic neuropathy are more serious complications that may result in irreversible vision loss. Fortunately, severe optic neuropathy after cranial radiation is uncommon at low doses. Optic neuropathy is further broken down into optic papillopathy and retrobulbar optic neuropathy, due to its anatomical location. In its acute state, signs of optic papillopathy include ischemic whitening of the retinal nerve fibers entering the optic nerve, edematous optic disc swelling, circumpapillary exudative subretinal fluid and retinal edema, and linear hemorrhages on and around the optic disc [8].

Retinopathy portrays clinical features such as cotton wool spots, intraretinal hemorrhages, microaneurysms and hard exudates. Histologically, the walls of arteries and capillaries thicken which lead to loss of endothelial cells [9]. Damage to the vasculature will cause capillary closure with capillary non-perfusion becoming very prominent on a fluorescein angiogram, as seen in our patient. As a result of such ischemic conditions, neovascularization can occur, which in turn can cause vitreous hemorrhages and retinal detachment [10]. Finger and Kurli have described stages of radiation retinopathy in regards to the clinical signs, symptoms, location, and best method of visualization and the risk of vision loss. The (Table 1) is included below [11].
According to this classification, our patient was at stage 1 at initial presentation and progressed to stage 4 within one and a half years after initiation of treatment. On his fluorescein angiogram, marked retinal ischemia greater than 5 disc areas was present. Risk factors that increase the chances of developing RR include Diabetes, Hypertension, simultaneous chemotherapy, older age and pregnancy. Coexisting microvascular diseases, such as diabetes and hypertension, increase the risk as the primary site of damage is endothelial cells. In comparison, diabetes will cause more microaneurysm formation and initial loss of pericytes where radiation retinopathy will lead to early loss of endothelial cells [7].

Table 1: Finger classification of radiation retinopathy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Best viewed by</th>
<th>Risk of vision loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Extramacular</td>
<td>Ophthalmoscopy</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cottonwool spots</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Extramacular</td>
<td>Ophthalmoscopy</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Retinal haemorrhages</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Extramacular</td>
<td>Ophthalmoscopy</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Retinal microaneurysms</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Angiography</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ghost vessels</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Exudate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Unfixed edema</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chorioiditis atrophy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Retinal ischaemia (&lt;5 DA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Any combination of the above plus</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Retinal neovascularisation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Macular neovascularisation-new onset</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Vitreous haemorrhage</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Retinal ischaemia (&gt; or = 5 DA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Macular</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laser photocoagulation is a widely used treatment for proliferative retinopathy caused by radiation. Chaudhuri et al. [12] reported a case in which full regression of neovascularization of the disc and retina was seen just two weeks of panretinal photocoagulation. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetone is also an approved treatment of macular edema that can result in decreased retinal thickness and improved visual acuity. The mechanism behind its success includes down regulation of VEGF, inhibition of arachidonic acid pathway and reduction of blood-retinal barrier breakdown. Case reported by Hong et al. showed that intravitreal triamcinolone acetone injections were successfully able to decrease macular edema and improve visual acuity in a patient with RR from radiation therapy of breast cancer that metastasized to the brain [13]. In our case, intravitreal anti-VEGF injections were used, as laser photocoagulation was not an option for this patient due to their physical and cognitive constraints. A report by Finger and Chin [14], showed that intravitreal bevacizumab improved or maintained vision, and reduced hemorrhage and retinal edema. Due to the limited amount of research on treatment guidelines, further clinical trials should be performed to establish whether early PRP, Intravitreal steroid and anti-VEGF injections would be valuable in decreasing the onset of radiation induced retinopathy.

Conclusion

Depending on the location of the tumor, patients may only have two choices: probable death from the underlying disease or possible blindness as a complication from the treatment. Most patients are willing to accept the later. Patients being treated with radiation, to ocular or periorcular neoplasms, should be made aware of these possible complications. Coexisting microvascular diseases should be taken into consideration as they increase the risk of developing RR. Laser photocoagulation, intravitreal steroid injections and anti-VEGF injections are used as treatments to potentially improve vision and decrease the chances of vision loss. However, further clinical studies need to be performed to establish proper guidelines of treatment. As neurotoxicity can significantly impact cognitive functions, options for treatment become limited. From this case, we conclude that once macular ischemia and optic neuropathy have developed as a result of radiotherapy; there are very low chances of vision recovery.
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